

No. _____

In The
Judicial Board
of the
Undergraduate Students Association
of
UCLA



A.J. Goldman

v.

USAC Election Board

P E T I T I O N F O R C O N S I D E R A T I O N

A.J. Goldman

ajgoldman@gmail.com

May 2, 2018

USAC Election Board
Kerckhoff Hall, Room 519

n/a
info@usacelectionboard.com

Signatures (official use only):

Chief Justice

Clerk

PART I: JURISDICTION

According to Section 11.4 of the USAC Election Code, I am permitted to appeal a sanction decision made by the USAC Election Board.

PART II: VIOLATIONS

Enumeration of Violations

The Election Board has unfairly and illogically sanctioned my campaign signboard (see Sanction C26-S2018), claiming that its metal frame (which the wording of the Election Code itself does not regulate at all) exceeds size regulations within the Election Code. They have quoted Section 8.2.2.ii.3 of the Election Code, which has an 8-foot width limit, as well as a 32 square-foot area limit, both of which are clearly referring to the size of the banner itself, not the frame used to hold up the banner. However, even if it were referring to the total size of the signboard (including the frame and the banner), then nearly every signboard out there should have been sanctioned under the same complaint. I have taken measurements of the other signboards on BruinWalk, and by the Election Board's logic, nearly all of them have at least one dimension exceeding the 8 foot "width" limit or the 32 square foot "surface area" restriction. The Election Board's sole responsibility is to govern over election proceedings in a fair and unbiased manner. By sanctioning my signboard for this "broken rule" (which I still maintain does not exist) and none of the other ones, they have shown a clear bias, thus violating their own mission statement.

PART III: RAMIFICATIONS

This sanction is trying to force me to take down my signboard entirely, which would hurt the reach of my campaigning efforts. Additionally, the sanction itself has given me bad publicity, which also hurts my campaigning efforts.

PART IV: REMEDY SOUGHT

The Election Board has two options that would restore their stance as an unbiased third-party:

1. Reverse my sanction and publish a retraction, apologizing for unfairly targeting my campaign's signboard.
 2. Sanction and force the removal of every other signboard on BruinWalk that has any dimension exceeding 8 feet in "width" (i.e. pretty much all of them).
-

PART V: INFORMATION

Petitioner: A.J. Goldsman
SID: [REDACTED]

Council: Joseph Levin
SID: [REDACTED]

PART VI: STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY

By signing below, I hereby attest that the above information is true to the best of my knowledge. Furthermore, I have read and understand the Judicial Board Procedure (Rules).

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: May 2, 2018



A.J. Goldsman
Petitioner

DATED: May 2, 2018



Joseph Levin
Counsel for Petitioner