

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION
COUNCIL

Tuesday February 1, 2005
417 Kerckhoff Hall
8:00 P.M.

PRESENT: Avila, Bhuiyan, Chan, Corella, Gaulton, Gruenberg, Lee, McLaren, Martinez, Nelson, Palma/Saracho, Tripathi, Tseng, Tuttle, Villarin, Williams, Wood

ABSENT: Vu

GUESTS: Lisa Bonos, Marc Child, Gustavo DeHaro, Melinda Dudley, Nathan Lam, Joyce Lin, Emmanuel Martinez, Brian Neesby, Saba Riazati, Sandra Rita, Roy Samaan, Debra Simmons, Charlie Stein, Joseph Vardner, Narges Zohoury

I. A. Call to Order

- Palma/Saracho called the meeting to order at 8:28 P.M.

B. Signing of the Attendance Sheet

Corella passed around the Attendance Sheet

II. Approval of the Agenda

- Villarin asked to have a CRC report added under Old Business.
- Gruenberg asked to be added to the Officer and Member Reports.
- Gaulton asked to be added to the Officer and Member Reports.
- Lee asked to be added to the Officer and Member Reports.
- Tripathi asked to be added to the Officer and Member Reports.
- Corella asked that a discussion about USAC Surplus be moved up to immediately after Special Presentations.
- Emmanuel Martinez asked that a BOD report be added under Special Presentations.
- Eligio Martinez moved and Avila seconded to approve the Agenda as amended.
- *Minutes Taker's note: No vote was taken on this motion.*

III. Approval of the Minutes

January 11, 2005

- Lee moved and Martinez seconded to approve the Minutes of January 11, 2005.
- Tseng called for Acclamation. Palma/Saracho asked if there were any objections to approval by Acclamation. There being none, the Minutes of January 11, 2005 were approved by Acclamation.

January 18, 2005

- Wood moved and Martinez seconded to approve the Minutes of January 18, 2005.
- Tseng called for Acclamation. Palma/Saracho asked if there were any objections to approval by Acclamation. There being none, the Minutes of January 18, 2005 were approved by Acclamation.

IV. Special Presentations

- Wood said that even though this Special Presentation was listed on the Agenda as "Hunger Clean Up", and listed her as the presenter, it was actually going to be on the

topic of Exclusionary Zoning, and would be presented by Marc Child. Wood then introduced Child, a representative from CalPIRG, to make the presentation.

Exclusionary Zoning / Low Income Housing – Marc Child, CalPIRG Representative

- Child said that Los Angeles has the largest homeless population of any city in the United States. He said that there is currently legislation pending that would provide for housing corporations to receive benefits for setting aside 20 percent of new developments for low income housing. Child said that this Friday there would be a student delegation going to a meeting to present signatures from the students, and it would be great to get the support of USAC. He passed out some information and said that if anyone wanted more information they could email him at pujab@ucla.edu.
- Palma/Saracho asked about the petition.
- Child said that there was a petition being circulated among the professors, and that they already had a lot of student signatures. He said that it was essentially electronic, and an email would suffice as a signature.

ASUCLA Board of Directors Updates –

Undergraduate BOD Members Gustavo DeHaro and Emmanuel Martinez

- Gustavo DeHaro said that he wanted to update council on the search for the new Executive Director.
- Emmanuel Martinez said that he could not actually disclose much of the information, but he said that the search for the new ASUCLA Executive Director had begun. He said that they had already posted the job description, and they were planning to start holding group interviews in April. Martinez said that they would be including the executive members of USAC in the decision, as they were the undergraduate representatives. He said that he also wanted to talk more about the proposed ASUCLA fee increase. Martinez said that UCLA has the lowest fee of any UC, and said that ASUCLA is one of the largest student organizations with the largest budget. He said that they had been discussing this for five years now, and they were ready to propose this to the students. Martinez said that the fee would be phased in gradually over a 5-year period until it reaches \$55, and then it would be indexed in relationship to inflation.
- DeHaro said that they wanted to increase funding for student groups on campus and also take care of ASUCLA's capital expenditure needs.
- Emmanuel Martinez said that this was not a "Bombshelter Fee", as some people on campus were calling it. He said that this fee was not going to any one project, rather it would go into all areas that benefited its constituents, the students. Martinez said that there were some details that still needed to be figured out. He elaborated by saying that he would be returning to the council meeting in a couple of weeks with more definite language. Martinez said that another important thing is that the fee is needed because ASUCLA has a student-majority board, and the BOD wants to make sure that it stays that way. He asked council if they had any questions.
- Gruenberg asked the Board members if they felt the proposed fee had a good chance of being approved if the terms of the fee increase are acceptable to various University Administrators.
- DeHaro said yes, that they had been in close communication with key University Administrators, and they did not expect any surprises.
- Williams said that the only thing he would add is that ASUCLA is a unique organization, and the Board Members really want to make sure that they maintain the structure of the Board which keeps the power in the hands of the students. He suggested that everyone should work together to ensure this outcome, and he applauded the efforts of the appointed members of the BOD who were bringing this news to council tonight.
- Emmanuel Martinez said that this fee is to ensure that the composition of the board stays in the student majority, especially if ASUCLA is going to be asking students to invest in the organization. He said that the BOD has even gotten the Chancellor to

agree to the point that, if the Board ever loses its student-majority composition, the students would have the right to revote on the fee increase.

- Palma/Saracho said that being able to sustain student governance is important, as it is possibly the greatest thing about ASUCLA. He said that students have a direct influence on the work done by ASUCLA, and he applauded the work of Williams, and the Board members. Palma/Saracho said that maintaining a student majority Board of Directors would always be a condition of the fee increase.
- Williams said that ASUCLA has the support of UCLA Administrators for the goals the Board is working towards.

V. New Business

Discussion of USAC Surplus

- Corella told Council Members that this item was on the agenda for "Discussion" at tonight's meeting, and would be on a future agenda for "Action." She said it involved setting aside funds from Surplus for two special projects, the first of which was installation of a keycard system for student offices in Kerckhoff; the second of which was the possibility of hard-wiring student offices for Internet access.
- Corella then asked Debra Simmons, Student Government Accounting Manager, to provide further information about the Surplus funds.
- After Simmons distributed copies of the balance sheet regarding Surplus, she called Council's attention to several important factors on the balance sheet. First, she said that all bills had been paid. She then said that 5% of the accumulated revenue had been set aside as an Accumulated Reserve Allocation for unanticipated loss. Simmons pointed out that the \$10,000 that Council had approved for allocation to the Community Service Commission towards purchase of a van was accounted for, as well as the funds that USAC had approved for transfer into the accounts of the Campus Events Commission and the Cultural Affairs Commission (\$6,500, each). On the topic of installing a keycard system, Simmons said that this possible project was being presented for Council's consideration in light of the recent break-ins and thefts in USAC offices. She said that the cost was roughly estimated at about \$500 per door, and said further that the system would also work with Bruin Cards.
- Tuttle said that card keying this place would change the culture. He said that it would change the feel of this place, and it would be a major change. Tuttle said that there is nothing more important than the future. He said that a couple of people have been ripping the place off, perhaps someone very close to council, but said he was convinced that a response of installing a keycard system was a very bad idea. He reiterated that it would change the feel of the place and said that, once it is done it will be hard to undo. Tuttle said that Kerckhoff would become a building of closed doors, and drop-ins would become a thing of the past.
- Gruenberg said that his understanding about the break-ins is that it was not an issue of keys versus keycards, but rather an issue of door, or lock, strength. He asked if any other options, including strengthening the doors or the locks, or perhaps added surveillance, had been explored.
- Williams interjected that it was premature to be talking about installing a keycard system because the head of ASUCLA's Security Department was still looking into other alternatives. Williams closed by asking Council to allow him some time to explore the issue further.
- Tseng asked Williams that, if a new form of entry was installed, could a feature be added that would allow Council Members to get into the building after 11:00 p.m.
- Williams replied that he didn't know the answer to that question, but could have someone in the Security office look into it.
- Palma/Saracho asked Simmons if the \$15,000 estimate covered just the council offices.
- Simmons said it was a ballpark figure which would cover approximately 30 doors.
- Palma/Saracho asked if the whole building would have to go on the system if some offices went on it.

- McLaren said that, it was her understanding that it would not be necessary to put the whole building on the system.
- Palma/Saracho asked about the hardwiring of the offices.
- McLaren said that hardwiring the building for internet access is something that has been evaluated periodically over the years in order to provide internet access to all student offices in Kerckhoff Hall. She said that, because of an ever-increasing demand for internet services, they thought it was a good idea to bring it to Council this year for consideration. She said that, after USAC's Computer Center Operators had compiled an inventory of all computers in student offices in Kerckhoff, she and Karen Noh had done a walk-through with experts from CTS to get an estimate of what it would cost to install data ports in every student related office.
- Palma/Saracho asked about getting the building wireless.
- Williams said that his understanding was that the building was scheduled to become wireless on UCLA's tab very soon. However, he pointed out that there would be some expense in upgrading computers to be able to receive wireless transmission.
- Simmons reminded McLaren that the reason for hardwiring the student offices was to have them connected to the ASUCLA network.
- McLaren acknowledged that Simmons' point was well taken, and said further that the wireless system that was being installed would allow access only to the Bruin On-Line infrastructure, not to the ASUCLA network.
- Returning to Simmons' report about the \$10,000 that had been allocated for the CSC van, Gruenberg said that Council had not been updated on CSC's funding concerns. He asked Lee how she was doing with her budget.
- Lee said that she had been monitoring the fundraising by her groups, and would be looking at her budget again soon. She said that CSC was rather on-track with the fundraising, through a combination of old-fashioned bake sales and also trying to get grants. Lee said that she was optimistic that they would raise the amount needed.

VI. Appointments

Election Board Chair – Nathan Lam

- Chan said that the Appointments Review Committee (ARC) met today to discuss 3 appointments. He said that the nominee for Elections Board Chair, Nathan Lam, was here to take questions. Chan said that the ARC was impressed by Lam's experience on campus, and his determination to make this year's election process go smoothly.
- Palma/Saracho asked if there was a written summary of the ARC's recommendations for these appointments.
- Chan said that there was not because ARC had met only 3 hours ago.
- Wood also spoke on Lam's behalf, saying that he was very involved with different campaigns. She said that she felt he had seen a lot of different things, and thought that he would be able to handle unexpected things that came up this year. Wood also said that Lam sought to increase voter turnout, which she saw as an important goal for any E-Board Chair.
- Gruenberg asked Lam, aside from why he thought he would be a good E-Board Chair, why he wanted to do it.
- Lam said that he thought he would learn a lot from it and grow from the experience.
- Gruenberg said that voter turnout was in a downswing, and asked Lam's opinion on online voting.
- Lam said that he urged caution about making voting easier as an attempt to increase turnout. He said that the emphasis should be on increasing students' interest in the elections, not spending time making voting easier. Lam said that if students wanted to vote, he was confident that they would.
- Gruenberg said that MyUCLA needed to be notified about whether or not they would be conducting the elections. He said that there had been a problem with the hours, as MyUCLA was a 24-hour service, and it had been hard for them to have elections only

- during some of those hours. Gruenberg asked Lam's opinion on having less than 24-hour per day voting.
- Lam said that he didn't think students would not vote because of the hours; that if students wanted to vote they would.
 - Gruenberg asked if he would be in favor of increasing the hours for voting to 24.
 - Lam said that he would not.
 - Gruenberg asked if he would change his opinion if that prevented the use of MyUCLA.
 - Palma/Saracho said, as a point of clarification, it was ultimately up to council to make the decision about whether or not to use MyUCLA. He said that if this was an issue for Gruenberg, it needed to be brought up soon, but Lam would have to do what council told him regardless of his personal opinion.
 - Wood said that, as she understood it, the election had been 24-hours last year. *A number of members of council indicated that Wood's understanding was inaccurate.*
 - Palma/Saracho pointed out that the runoff election had seen a higher turnout last year than both elections for two years prior, despite the fact that the runoff election had limited hours and the two prior elections had 24-hour voting.
 - Tripathi asked how Lam planned to increase voter turnout.
 - Lam said that he thought a lot of new students did not know what was going on, so he wanted to work with The Office of Residential Life to get information to the students on the Hill.
 - Tuttle asked if Lam agreed with him that allowing his staff to cheat in the election would probably bother him for the rest of his life.
 - Lam said that it would.
 - Tuttle said that here at UCLA, one of the most popular things to talk about was politics, and it was important to have a clean election. He said that all that was at stake was Lam's good name. Tuttle said that this was something he brought up with any appointee, for either Election Board or Judicial Board. He said that there would be a whole lot of people watching, and again that the only thing at stake was Lam's good name.
 - Palma/Saracho said that he thought it was not right to question someone's integrity for this, or any other appointment.
 - Tuttle said that he completely disagreed, and said that he always asked this question of the nominees for Election Board Chair and Judicial Board Chair. He said that this was not personal, and he had been doing this for 25 years.
 - Palma/Saracho said that the questions Tuttle was asking could be asked of any person on this campus, from any student to the Chancellor.
 - Tuttle said that he agreed, and he thought that was also a good idea. He said that all that was at stake here was the peaceful transfer of power. Tuttle said that this was the question to be asked, and he said that if he was a member of the State Senate, these are the same questions he would be asking.
 - Palma/Saracho thanked Lam for answering the questions about his integrity, 'though he did not think it was necessary for Lam to do so.
 - Martinez moved and Villarín seconded to appoint Nathan Lam to the position of Election Board Chair.
 - Gruenberg said that Lam should not take these questions personally, as he could see that Lam had served UCLA for years. He said that, in all the positions that Lam had held, he would have had to take a stance, or be passionate on an issue. Gruenberg said he believed that, in the position of Election Board Chairperson, Lam would have to be the exact antithesis of that. In other words, Gruenberg explained, Lam would have to be completely objective.
 - Villarín said that she completely disagreed with Gruenberg's statement that the position of Election Board Chair was devoid of passion. She said that this responsibility required a passion for fairness. Villarín said that she thought *Gruenberg* was wrong. She thanked Lam for standing up there and taking the abuse from these questions about his integrity. Villarín said that if Gruenberg had any other questions about Lam's integrity, then perhaps Gruenberg should approach him outside of the meeting.

- Tseng said that he had worked with Lam before, and found that he had always been very objective. He said that everything they had worked on together had been to promote fairness, equality, and justice.
- Palma/Saracho called for a vote on the motion that was on the table.
- Council voted to approve the appointment of Nathan Lam to the position of Election Board Chair with a vote of 11 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

Wooden Center Board of Governors (WCBOG) – Charlie Stein

- Chan said that attached to the Agenda was Charlie Stein's application for the Wooden Center Board of Governors. He said that Stein currently served on the WCBOG despite not having the power to vote, and said that this appointment would give him that right. Chan said that Stein had been fulfilling his duties thus far, so the ARC had no problem in appointing him.
 - Jenny Wood stepped out of the meeting.*
 - Tripathi said that Stein worked with him in his office, and he spoke to Stein's credit. He said that Stein went to all of the Wooden Center Board meetings, and he was sure that Stein would continue going even if he didn't receive this appointment.
 - Stein said that he usually went to the meetings with Tripathi. He said that he would continue to attend even if he's not appointed.
 - Martinez moved and Tseng seconded to approve the appointment of Charlie Stein to the Wooden Center Board of Governors.
 - Council voted to approve the appointment of Charlie Stein to the Wooden Center Board of Governors with a vote of 10 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.
- Jenny Wood returned to the table.*

Student Conduct Committee – Terrence Chan

- Darren Chan said that Terrence Chan was well suited to this position, as he was already serving in a similar capacity on the Hill. He said that the ARC's consensus was that he was not just qualified, but maybe even overqualified. D. Chan closed by saying that said that there was enough time in T. Chan's schedule for him to fulfill his responsibilities.
- Tripathi moved and Martinez seconded to approve the Appointment of Terrence Chan to the Student Conduct Committee
- Council voted to approve the appointment of Terrence Chan to the Student Conduct Committee with a vote of 11 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

VII. Fund Allocations

- Corella said that this week there were 9 regular allocations and 2 discretionary.
- Avila moved and Martinez seconded to approve the Contingency Fund Allocation Recommendations.
- Tseng called for Acclamation. Palma/Saracho asked if there were any objections to approval by Acclamation. There being none, the Contingency Fund Allocation Recommendations were approved by Acclamation.

Queer Alliance, Mispacha, Blaque, La Familia, Queer X Girl

Requested: \$3,510.28

Recommended: \$1,757.68

The Finance Committee recommended the allocation of \$1,757.68 for the cost of Travel for the UCLAGBTIA Conference to be held from February 18th to February 20th.

Hunger Project

Requested: \$117.34

Recommended: \$117.34

The Finance Committee recommended the allocation of \$117.34 for the cost of supplies for Game Nights.

Vietnamese Language and Culture**Requested: \$1,548.00****Recommended: \$ 774.00**

The Finance Committee recommended the allocation of \$774.00 for the partial cost of Transportation for REACHE.

PREP**Requested: \$2,048.00****Recommended: \$ 650.00**

The Finance Committee recommended the allocation of \$650.00 for the partial cost of Transportation for PREP's Day in a Life (DIAL) program to be held on February 4th, 2005.

Office of the External Vice President**Requested: \$821.00****Recommended: \$523.00**

The Finance Committee recommended the allocation of \$523.00 for the full cost of Registration and the partial cost of Housing for the UC Students Association Lobby Conference 2005 to be held from February 4th to February 7th.

Office of the External Vice President**Requested: \$6,647.15****Recommended: \$3,248.10**

The Finance Committee recommended the allocation of \$2,998.10 for the partial cost of Travel and \$250 for the partial cost of Housing for the UC Students Association Legislative Conference to be held from March 19th to March 22nd.

Community Service Commission**Requested: \$344.00****Recommended: \$258.00**

The Finance Committee recommended the allocation of \$258.00 for the partial cost of Transportation for the Project Reaching Bigger Goals event to be held on February 7th, 9th, 14th, and 16th.

CCM**Requested: \$516.00****Recommended: \$258.00**

The Finance Committee recommended the allocation of \$258.00 for the partial cost of Transportation for the Summa Conference to be held on February 5th.

D T D - Delta Tau Delta Fraternity**Requested: \$4,554.40****Recommended: \$ 840.00**

The Finance Committee recommended the allocation of \$440.00 for the partial cost of Registration and \$400 for the partial cost of Lodging for the Western Division Conference to be held from February 10th to 13th.

Asian Pacific Coalition**Requested: \$1,380.44****Recommended: \$ 500.00**

In compliance with the discretionary authorization granted to her in the 2004-2005 Finance Committee Guidelines, Corella recommended the allocation of \$500.00 for the partial cost of Graphics for the Where's The Love program held February 1st.

Facilities Commission**Requested: \$1,270.60****Recommended: \$ 500.00**

In compliance with the discretionary authorization granted to her in the 2004-2005 Finance Committee Guidelines, Corella recommended the allocation of \$500.00 for the partial cost of Facilities for Campus Safety Awareness Week held from January 31st to February 3rd.

VIII. Officer and Member Reports

Facilities Commissioner – Pavan Tripathi

- Tripathi said that this was Campus Safety Week. He added that tomorrow there would be a fire safety demonstration in Bruin Plaza. Tripathi also said that there would be a number of seminars and lectures taking place throughout the week. He added that SWC would be holding a free First-Aid certification class tomorrow night, and said that he had some fliers about the events if anyone wanted them.

Community Service Commissioner – Crystal Lee

- Lee said that this week was CSC's Advocacy Week. She passed around fliers and said that there had also been a full-page advertisement in the Daily Bruin. Lee also said that her commission had passed out 1500 educational brochures. Lastly, she added that tomorrow night there would be a panel of speakers in Young 2200 at 7:00 p.m.

- Palma/Saracho said that the advertisement had looked really good, and he was sending his interns to the event tomorrow night.

- Lee said that there would be another Advocacy Week 7th week, and it would be about disabilities.

Campus Events Commissioner – Jason Gaulton

- Gaulton said that Wednesday and Thursday they would be showing "Team America" from 7:00 to 9:00 p.m. He also said that there would be a sneak for "Constantine" starting at 8:00 p.m. Gaulton said that the next collection for UCLA Happenings would be tomorrow night, and said that he would be happy to pass any information along. Gaulton also said that the first meeting had been held for World Awareness Week, which might undergo a name-change if they came up with a better name. He added that he would try to set a meeting time that worked with more peoples' schedules. Gaulton also said that a new committee had been set up by Campus Events to handle external affairs like ECP and other USAC issues. He said that he would bring the members of the new committee to council next Tuesday to introduce them.

Financial Supports Commissioner – Alex Gruenberg

- Gruenberg apologized for not being at the meeting last week, but said that his Scholarship Seminar had gone very well. Gruenberg said that the Dodgeball tournament was not coming together as they had hoped, as there was only one team confirmed, and they were hoping for about 60 by now. Lastly Gruenberg applauded Joe Vardner who spoke at a press conference today on the growing concern about new textbook editions coming out too often, resulting in unnecessary expenses for the students.

General Representative #1 – Jenny Wood

- Wood said that Get Tested Week had gone really well, with over 500 students being tested for HIV/AIDS and other STDs. Wood then said that the Dodgeball Tournament would be a great event, and challenged everyone to form a team within their offices. She added that there would be teams from UCLA Catering, Dining Services, and hopefully everyone else too. She said that tickets would not be available at CTO, but that they would be available at the door. Regarding the Tsunami Relief Coalition, Wood said that ASUCLA was helping out by promoting and accepting donations at the

registers in all their restaurants and stores. She said that donations could be made in increments of one or five dollars.

- Tripathi asked if the testing done in the vans was blood or swab.
- Wood said that the vans last week had done blood tests.
- Tripathi asked how one could get tested by the swab.
- Wood said that this was a new test, and that the supplies were limited. She said that the vans that came every first Thursday had a limited supply, so the swab test had to be requested if wanted.

Administrative Representative – Berky Nelson

- Nelson said that everyone should have gotten an email about the dinner meeting with the Administration on February 22nd at 5:30 p.m., and he asked council if anyone would be unable to be there.

Everyone on council indicated that they would be able to come.

External Vice President – John Vu

- Palma/Saracho said that Vu was not at tonight's meeting because he was off lobbying. He said that Vu had left these fake checks to be sent to the governor. Palma/Saracho said that the check amounts were intended to reflect the amount of debt that students expected to leave college with, signifying the problem of higher education costs. He then asked everyone to pass out checks to their staff.

Internal Vice President – Darren Chan

- Chan said that, as had been brought up a couple of months ago, the IVP office was planning to put out a publication. He said that, just recently, he had sent out applications for the editorship of this new publication which was entitled, The Unofficial Guide to UCLA. Chan said that, as of last week, they had already received 2-dozen applications.
- Vardner brought up another matter, saying that packets had been sent out to local businesses and the area Homeowners Association to get everyone invested in the Westwood Community. He said that the IVP's office was working to bring together residents of Westwood and the North Village to discuss ways to improve the community. He added that the only way for the community to improve toward a common goal was to get everyone working together.
- Gruenberg asked if a date for a meeting with all parties had been set.
- Vardner said that it had not, as the venue was still being decided upon.

President – Allende Palma/Saracho

- Palma/Saracho said that he had met with the Daily Bruin staff to discuss some of the issues regarding their coverage of USAC. He said it seemed to him that the reporters were focusing more attention on negative matters than on all the good things that council was doing. He added that one recommendation had been to maintain better communication with the Daily Bruin reporters, Melinda and Saba, about current issues and events. Palma/Saracho also recommended that council use the Viewpoint section more if they felt that their office was not being covered adequately.
- Gaulton said that, just as council wanted the Daily Bruin to seek council out better, council should also seek out the Daily Bruin to exchange information.
- Palma/Saracho said that his internship program was continuing, and said that their weekly meetings would continue to be held from 2:00 to 6:00 p.m. on Fridays.

IX. Old Business

A. ECP Task Force Report

- Tseng said that there would be four parts to this ECP update. First off, Tseng told council that the ECP survey had already been filled out by 1,300 students. Tseng pointed out that this gave the movement some momentum. Tseng also said that there

had been a lot of negative coverage about the survey but, in its defense, he said that all the right people in the UCLA administration had approved it.

- Villarín moved on to publicity, and passed out some fliers to council. She said that there were 10,000 fliers that had been printed, and said that they had already given out a whole lot of them. Villarín also passed around the schedule for distributing flyers, and asked people to sign up for as much time as they could. She thanked Avila and Lee for having already had their staffs sign up to flyer, but she said that there was one really bad part about the publicity issue. Villarín said that she thought it a bit hypocritical for Gruenberg to question the integrity and commitment of someone working for council, as he had been dodging her phone calls and email attempts to get him working on the ECP campaign.
- Gruenberg said that he would be happy to sign up to distribute flyers, and apologized if there had been any miscommunication between Villarín and himself.
- Tripathi said that there was also a need for a lot more signboards.
- Avila apologized in advance, but then said that he needed to get his signboard back for an event coming up.
- Villarín said that was okay, that she would make more, plus this made everyone else more culpable for their signboard-lending shortcomings.
- Gaulton said that Villarín had permission to put fliers on the corners of his signboards.
- Avila said that if nobody had things in the glass cases near the bridge then Villarín should feel free to use half of his.
- Palma/Saracho said that Tseng had been working with publicity crews to develop easy messages to give to students. He said that Tseng had distributed these messages on Instant Messenger, TheFacebook.com, and during row-walks.
- Gruenberg said that he had contacted all of the Vice-Presidents on the hill to make sure that the publicity was going as planned in the residence halls.
- Wood moved on to Education. She said that the ECP Task Force had created literature that would be put up on every floor. Wood also said that the website had been updated. She asked if everyone with websites could also please put links to the survey. Wood said that the ECP survey locations on campus had encountered some difficulties with the wireless connection to the Internet, but said that they had spoken with BOL staff and hoped that the problem would be taken care of. Wood said that another great thing for council to do would be to mass-message all their friends on TheFacebook.com. Wood also said that Meyerhoff Park would be used as a central location to educate people about ECP, with a banner on display and the ECP song playing on repeat. She said that she would be there on the microphone, along with other members from council, just to increase the hype.
- Tseng said he had another suggestion for how to educate students about ECP, after which he demonstrated a technique to use when Council Members saw each other on campus.

Tseng and Wood then demonstrated an annoyingly loud and obvious conversation about how super the ECP campaign was and how everyone should get involved and be sure to take the survey.

- Tseng said that it was important for everyone on council to work together to make the ECP campaign a success. He said that there were things that council members were already doing, but they should completely integrate ECP education into their everyday life. Tseng asked council to take out a sheet of paper. He then asked everyone to make a list of the classes that they would be making presentations in. He also asked that they list the organizations that they attend and how many members would be notified about ECP. He then asked members to list what list servers council would be sending information to. Lastly, he asked council to note whether or not they would be putting up "away" messages on instant messenger.
- Martínez reminded Tseng that he couldn't do anything.
- Tseng told council that Martínez wasn't doing anything. Tseng then held up a chart showing attendance at ECP meetings.

The Chart had each commission listed, followed by green smiley-faces or red ugly-faces to depict attendance at or absence from the ECP General Body Meetings.

- Tseng said that new standards would be established for how to get a smiley-face, as the current system had loopholes that allowed interns to sign-in for an officer, and then leave the meeting. He also said that he would be publishing the chart in the Daily Bruin to show the commissions that did well and those that did poorly.
- Avila said that funds should not be spent on such an ad. He then outlined many points describing why this was a bad idea.
- Tseng said that he was not serious, that he was only trying to motivate members to do better. Tseng then asked Nelson how many fliers could be put in CSP.
- Nelson said that 15 fliers could be dropped off at CSP each week. He said that they would be posted within the week.
- Tseng asked what would happen if each commission gave CSP 15 fliers.
- Nelson said that it didn't work that way. He said that if they were essentially the same flier, then it would not go up 150 times. Nelson did promise, however, that a flier would be put up in every location that CSP posted.
- Avila said that since everyone handed out quarter sheets, perhaps they could put the ECP information on the back of the sheets.
- Villarín said that was a great idea. She said that she already had the format since quarter sheets for ECP had been made. She added that she would send out the file so that everyone could put the ECP design on the backs of their quarter sheets.
- Tseng said that lastly, he needed to talk about budget transfers. He asked if anyone had turned in budget transfer forms in the last couple days.
- Wood said that for people who hadn't transferred the money, to please do so now.
- Tseng asked Gruenberg and Tripathi how much they would be able to give, since they had not yet pledged an amount.
- Gruenberg said that he would not be able to give near \$500; that he would have to cut from other programming to do that. He asked if there was an actual number that Tseng needed.
- Wood said that the money that had been donated had essentially been exhausted, including computer costs, daily Bruin costs, and flier costs. She said that they still needed money for education materials and future daily Bruin advertisements. Wood said that there was a need, and it would continue.
- Gruenberg asked if basically the ECP task force would use whatever they could get.
- Wood said that this was the principle.
- Gaulton said that since that was the attitude, a definite amount would be appreciated.
- Tripathi agreed.
- Wood said that they were trying to be flexible, and extra money would be used to increase advertising in the Daily Bruin. She said that each office should just give as much money as they can.
- Tseng asked council how they should make sure that everyone is contributing to the campaign. He said that it is not fair for one office to do so much, while other offices do not do reasonably enough. Tseng said that the General Representatives had donated at least 50% of their staff. He asked council what a good standard would be for the other commissions.
- Gruenberg said that one thing to be conscious of was that some commissions were a lot smaller than others. He said that to set some arbitrary number would not be useful or fair to the various commissions. He added that he would be open to suggestions, but he thought everyone was doing what they could.
- Villarín said that nobody should say that they are doing everything that they can (not to say that the General Representatives are doing everything) because the General Representatives have been doing most of the work by far. She said that to say that one person cannot be spared is unfair to the balance of power.
- Gruenberg said that it seemed unfair to hold a smaller commission to the same standard as a larger one, and added that the ECP campaign was never the primary concern of the Financial Supports Commission.

- Villarin retorted that it was not the primary concern of the General Representatives Office either, but that they had dropped what they were doing and devoted themselves to it. She told Gruenberg that Tseng had been diagnosed with Migraines because, in contrast to Gruenberg, Tseng had been working so hard...
- Palma/Saracho interrupted, saying that everyone on council recognized the work of the General Representatives Office. He said that everyone should be trying to make sacrifices, but that council understood if it was hard to expend bodies.
- McLaren mentioned the two internship programs, and asked if these interns were helping on the campaign.
- Tseng said that he had spoken with Palma/Saracho, and he had agreed to credit his interns with community service hours for working on the ECP campaign.
- McLaren asked about the OCHC interns.
- Chan said that the officers the OCHC interns worked for set the responsibilities of those interns. He also added that he had attended several intern meetings yesterday, and ECP had come up at each one of them. Chan said that the folks at OCHC understood the importance of this issue, and said that he was confident that a lot of the 1300 students who had filled out the survey came from the hill.
- Tseng said that the whole idea of bringing the chart was to encourage people to send more people to the task force meetings, as more help was required. He said that he did not want people to leave the meeting with distaste for ECP or a feeling of discouragement. Tseng said that he was not trying to accuse anyone of not doing work, and perhaps the chart had been a bad idea. He said that he would be following up with everyone to make sure that everyone was together and on board with the campaign. Tseng also said that he was diagnosed with Migraines, but that he got some great medicine and now they were gone.

Council all laughed at this.

- Tuttle asked if there was a way for the public to get involved in the campaign. He also asked if it was possible to go back to the Internal Review Board and see if they would like to participate in the passing out of the survey. He added that it could increase the manpower tremendously. Tuttle said that it would also give a name to the people who wanted to help.
- Tseng said, as a Point of Clarification, that he did not think they could do that.

B. Constitutional Review Committee (CRC) Report

- Villarin said that the Constitutional Review Committee (CRC) met for the first time yesterday. She said that they had prioritized what they wanted to look at in the Bylaws, with the Base Budget Language and language pertaining to student groups at the forefront. Villarin said that she would be the chairperson, with Chan being the minutes-taker at the meetings. Villarin also said that they would meet on Mondays, at 8:00 p.m., in whichever dining hall had the best food.

X. Announcements

- Eligio Martinez said that since he couldn't be part of the ECP campaign, he was keeping busy with the issue of Global Consciousness. He passed out to Council some fliers about the current happenings in that effort.
- Avila said that he was publishing a Total Wellness magazine, and said that they were selling advertising space. He said that it would be distributed all over, and told council to let him know if they wanted to advertise in it.
- Wood reminded council that everyone had agreed to donate \$50 to the Tsunami Relief Coalition, and said that she would be giving everyone Budget Transfer Forms with the correct amount already written in.
- Tuttle said that he had gone to a meeting of a language club, and told council that if they were interested then they should email him and he would give them the details.
- Avila asked if the club was limited to the languages that were already represented, or if others could come.

- Tuttle said that this was all part of the English program, and said that this was new, but this thing was going, and if a cluster came together, Russian for example, then this would get started. He said that if anyone was planning to travel, that this was good stuff.
- Bhuiyan said that there was an upcoming concert, as well as Eclectic, on Thursday night. He said that he was trying to be like Gaulton, so he scheduled an Indy-Band.

XI. Signing of the Attendance Sheet

Corella passed around the attendance sheet.

XII. Adjournment

- Martinez moved and Tripathi seconded to adjourn.
- Lee called for Acclamation. Palma/Saracho asked if there were any objections to approval by Acclamation. There being none, the meeting was adjourned at 10:51 p.m. by Acclamation.

Respectfully Submitted,
Michael Keesler
USAC Minutes Taker