

FINAL

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION  
COUNCIL

Tuesday August 11, 2009  
417 Kerckhoff Hall  
7:00 p.m.

PRESENT: Flores, Bawa, Li, Farmer, Lin, Faelnar, Mullins, Tengco, Banani, Huddy, Nguyen, Tep, Tuttle, Nelson, Casillas

ABSENT: Wu

GUESTS: Patty Zimmerman, Chris Grays, Abdallah Jadallah, Chris Flores, Debra Geller, Sheena Santa Maria

I. A. Call to Order

*- Flores called the meeting to order at 7:03pm.*

B. Signing of the Attendance Sheet

*The attendance sheet was passed around.*

II. Approval of the Agenda

- Flores added OCHC partnership with USAC
- Bawa stated that Austin Ford will not be at the meeting and will not be reviewed at the following meeting.
- Flores stated that the Undie Run and SOOF guidelines will be action items.
- Banani added a special officer report.
- Faelnar, Li, and Tep asked to strike their funding reports.
- Bawa moved and Farmer seconded to approve the agenda. Nguyen objected.
- Nguyen stated that the banner action item should be covered by Nguyen and Faelnar.
- Farmer moved and Bawa seconded to approve.
- Council unanimously voted to approve the agenda, as amended.

III. Approval of the Minutes

*There were no minutes to be approved this week.*

IV. Public Comments

**Abdallah Jadallah—Commentary on potential Undie Run Resolution**

Jadallah wished to state his disappointment with USAC members drafting an Undie Run resolution. He stated that USAC should be focused on a student first agenda. He said that he believes Undie Run is an unsafe event and he feels that the council should not be focusing on it. He also stated that the council should be focusing on student fees and empowerment instead of the Undie Run. He said that the school is facing a major budget deficit and should be focusing more on that, instead of saving Undie Run.  
*-Flores thanks Jadallah for his time.*

V. Special Presentations

**Chris Flores- IVP for On Campus Housing Committee (OCHC)**

-Chris Flores said that his job as IVP of OCHC is to be a liaison between USAC and OCHC. He said that he wishes to form a strong relationship between OCHC and USAC. He said that he is the main contact to help raise USAC awareness on the hill. He would like to make certain that all first year residents are aware of what USAC is doing for them. He also stated that he would like to increase leadership opportunities and

promote attending committee meetings to residents- he personally will be attending 2-3 meetings per quarter. He will also like to maintain constant communication with USAC, and communicate USAC affairs with OCHC.

-Tengco asked how often the council meets, what issues they cover, and who serves on it.

-Flores responded that 9 executive members, EVPs from every building and advisors make up the council. He said they cover all on-hill programs. OCHC meets weekly during fall, winter, and spring quarters.

-Cinthia Flores asked if he is the main contact to ensure programs such as Election Invasion. Chris Flores responded that he is.

-Li asked if OCHC invalidates directors that she has assigned to serve for Election Invasion.

-Flores responded that it does not, they will all work together.

-Cinthia Flores thanked Flores for his time.

VI. Appointments

**A. Sheena Santa Maria- Campus Programs Committee**

-Bawa moved and Tengco seconded to approve Santa Maria to CPC.

-Mullins asked Santa Maria what the most important thing she would like to accomplish is.

-Santa Maria responded that she would like to make certain that all student groups are represented equally. She would also like to promote student collaboration, because student groups should work together instead of against each other.

-Bawa moved and Farmer seconded to call to question.

-Santa Maria was unanimously approved to CPC with a vote of 11-0-0.

**B. Jamie Yao- Community Service Mini Fund Committee**

-Bawa moved and Tengco seconded to table the approval of Jamie Yao.

VII. Fund Allocations

**A. Contingency Allocations**

*There were no Contingency Allocations this week.*

**B. SOOF Updates**

*There were no SOOF Updates this week.*

**C. Academic Success Referendum Fund**

*There was no business for the Academic Success Referendum Fund this week.*

**D. Academic Affairs Mini-Grant**

*There was no business for the Academic Affairs Mini-Grant this week.*

**E. Travel Grants**

*There were no Travel Grants this week.*

**F. Cultural Affairs Mini-Grant**

*There was no business for the Cultural Affairs Mini-Grant this week.*

**G. SGOF Updates**

-Grays said that the total budget is \$40,703. He reviewed the individual budget for each office.

-Grays said that each office did not receive requests for advertisements, because the funding is for events.

-Mullins moved and Huddy seconded to add changes to SGOF allocations to the standing agenda, as an action item. There were no objections.

-Mullins moved and Huddy seconded to approve the funding allocations.

- Tuttle and Nelson voiced objections with only having verbal communication of the distribution of the SGOF allocations.

- Mullins moved to remove his motion as stated.
- Grays re-sent the SGOF allocations to the council.
- Bawa stated that the council listserv is available to the entire board.
- Zimmerman also stated that under the website, under contacts, each member can also access the list serv.
- Flores asked if there were any additional questions.
- Farmer moved to approve the allocations- there was already a motion on the table.
- Mullins moved to call to question the motion to withdraw the motion to approve the funding allocations.
- Flores said that council members in favor of removing the motion should raise their hand. There were 11 opposed to the motion to remove the approval motion.
- Mullins moved and Li seconded to call to question. Nguyen objected to allow for further discussion.
- The committee would need to reach a 2/3 majority opposed to the call to question in order to continue debate.*
- There were a total of 8 in favor of ending allocation debate, and 3 opposed.
- The SGOF allocations were approved with a vote of 10-1-0.

-Deborah Simmons, advisor for SGOF, passed around guidelines for the SGOF allocations. She stated that any concerns with the bylaws can be addressed by approving a new set of bylaws in the current school year. She said that Brian Tan will be signing all requisition forms to apply for funding for the upcoming year. She said that there are quarterly deadlines for each request. She asked that each council member set-up direct deposit to ensure that stipends be received before the campus closes down for two weeks over winter break. Simmons stated that in many instances, funding may not be used for food items. She said that if there are any issues with funding approvals, to please make certain to fill out requisition forms, and her staff will address all concerns. She then further reviewed the process to fill out all requisition forms.

- Farmer wanted to know if donation money also was restricted to certain items.
- Simmons said that items restricted from SGOF funding could be paid for by donations.
- Flores thanked Simmons for her time.*

#### VIII. Officer and Member Reports

##### **President – Cinthia Flores**

-Flores has been working with the Dean’s office to develop a plan for commencement speakers. She would like to develop a plan A, plan B, plan C method to make certain that there are always back-ups for commencement speakers. She would also like to develop a communication method to make certain that student voice is heard when deciding on the commencement speaker. She said that the UCSA congress met and plans to develop the President’s council once more.

##### **Internal Vice President – Shahida Bawa**

-Bawa said that she attended UCSA as well, and it was a great experience. She said that she was involved in the Graduate Student Health Insurance council, and this year the council is going to push forth a system wide insurance program. She said that the Graduate Students will pilot this program, which is parallel to the program being drafted by the government—she called it a true monumental task. She will be following up on the progress. She also went to the Student Fee Advisory council meeting, and the members wished to know how UCLA operated. She said that this priority of the university has now paved the way for other universities. She said that there is a new risk management supplemental fund available, and this new fund does not infringe upon CAC allocations. Bawa forwarded an email from CSP about funding processes.

- Tuttle asked to discuss the gender neutral concept.
- Bawa said that in residential halls, there would be gender neutral facilities on campus. She said that this could help people who do not identify with one gender feel more comfortable and safe on campus.

##### **External Vice President – Susan Li**

-Li stated that over the past weekend, UCLA had sent a delegation to the University of California Student - Association (UCSA) Congress in UC Santa Cruz from August 6-9, 2009. Students were able to attend issue-based workshops, identity-based caucuses, and able to contribute and decide on the action items for the year. Every UC campus was represented at the Congress, including both Undergraduates and

Graduates. The congress voted and decided that the following were the top issues that would be pursued: 1) Undergraduate Issue: Making the Cal Grants Mandatory. Li said that currently, Cal Grant funding is discretionary and is able to change yearly. While there will be full-funding for the upcoming year for the Cal Grants, there is a plan for it to be phased out in the next two years. What this undergraduate-selected campaign will call for is a piece of legislation that will put the issue, Mandatory Cal Grant Funding, straight to ballot so that the voters can decide whether or whether not to make Cal Grant funding mandatory. The Graduate student Issue: 2) Graduate Student Health Insurance. Li said that today, there is no set of corresponding statewide guidelines for graduate students. The GSHIP campaign calls for reciprocity within the UC system that would allow graduate students to utilize their medical plan on any UC campus, for mental health care coverage, affordable and quality dependent coverage, and ability to choose own doctors. Li also said that UCSA will also be working on a. the DREAM ACT [Development, Relief and Education for Alien Minors], b. SAFRA [Student Aid and Fiscal Responsibility Act].

#### **Community Service Commissioner- Farhan Banani**

-Banani said that there are 20 projects under his commission. He works with them and provides them with the resources that they will need to carry out their projects. He provided each group with training materials at a general retreat. There were 50 individuals who attended the retreat, and there will be a make-up day for those who could not attend the summer retreat. He said his commission has also been involved with SREC, he and Bawa sit on the SREC. He said the launch date for the new website will be next Monday. He discussed the Community Service Record, which has been developed to help students log their personal community service hours completed. He also discussed the Community Service day which is held annually on campus for all students.

-Tressel asked if all of the CSC websites are linked to the ASUCLA site.

-Zimmerman said that previously, all sites had links. She said that the links used to have issues with connecting directly with websites, and that would need to be addressed.

#### **IX. Old Business**

##### **A. USAC Banner**

-Faelnar said that the council decided on a standing banner at the previous meeting. She requested that the council approve a funding allocation to cover the cost of the banner. She asked if the council would approve \$400.00 to make certain the \$350.00 would be covered in its entirety. Mullins moved and Banani seconded to make this an action agenda item.

-Faelnar moved and Mullins seconded to allocate \$400.00 from the Surplus Contingency fund.

-Tengco asked if the said funding source would be the first source requested from.

-Zimmerman stated that this would not be an issue.

-Bawa moved and Tep seconded to call to question.

-The council unanimously voted to allocate \$400.00 from the Surplus Contingency fund to cover the cost of the USAC standing banner.

#### **X. New Business**

##### **A. SOOF Guideline Changes**

-Grays stated that because there SOOF applications would now be electronic, a guideline change would be necessary to approve. Grays stated that the current application is presenting a burden on USAC as well as his council. He proposed that there would instead be stipulations for approval hearings. He and Tan developed three stipulations regarding hearings. 1) If a new student group had not applied for SOOF funding, they would be required to have a hearing. 2) If there were issues with the application, they would be required to have a hearing. 3) If a group requested a hearing, they would be eligible to have a hearing.

-Bawa moved and Tep seconded to approve the SOOF guideline changes.

-Grays stated that hearings will clarify any issues with applications. Grays said that hearings would not hurt student groups—it would help to increase the amount of points to their total score.

-Farmer asked if groups would have an advantage if they had well developed application with no issues.

-Zimmerman responded that it would not.

- Nelson asked if there are appeal mechanisms that need to be considered.
- Grays stated that under the guidelines, section 11, the process of appeals is addressed in its entirety.
- Debra Geller stated that there should be some mechanism developed to ensure that people who responded they had already applied for funding actually had.
- Tep asked if groups' scores would be considered before or after the hearing.
- Grays said the BRC would be meeting to decide when the final scores would be calculated, but he mentioned that he believed scores should be calculated after a group went through a hearing.
- Flores said that it would be wise to add a function to the online application, informing applicants that it would be wise to request a hearing had they not applied in a notable amount of time.
- Tuttle asked to ensure internal controls to make certain that all applications were legitimate.
- Zimmerman said that the SOOF guidelines require student groups to meet with CSP advisors within three days of applications. She said this feature is another step to ensure that each application is justifiable.
- Farmer suggested that every group meet with Grays for an overall meeting to review the application process, and then all hearing requirements would be mandated by Grays or Tan.
- Flores stated that groups should have the opportunity to request a hearing, because some applicants might be able to voice their programming ideas better than their answers on paper.
- Farmer said that a hearing does not have any true substance to improve application scores.
- Grays said that the reason for hearings is to hear the students' intentions.
- Tep said that it is very subjective to have the basis to hold hearings only depending on the choice of Tan and Grays. She said that it is very important to give the opportunity to hold hearings, as many people might voice their application better in a hearing.
- Huddy stated that the option to request a hearing should not be erased.
- Grays said that this is why the guideline changes have been proposed, to make the hearings optional.
- Flores said that if the guideline changes are approved, the committee should develop a function such as that proposed by Geller, to ensure that all applications were based on truth.
- Li moved and Tep moved to call to question. Hearing no objections to the call, the motion went to a vote.
- The council voted to approve the guideline changes with a total of 11 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

## **B. Undie Run Resolution- Tengco, Huddy, Mullins**

-The following was the initial Undie Run Resolution, as prepared by Tengco, Huddy, and Mullins:

- 1. WHEREAS Undie Run has been a beloved campus tradition since 2002 allowing thousands of UCLA students to relieve stress and celebrate the end of the quarter,*
- 2. WHEREAS UCLA students have cooperated with requests from the Administration in the past regarding route changes and other run-related issues,*
- 3. WHEREAS Undie Run is not officially sponsored by any campus organization and the Undergraduate Students Association Council has worked willingly with Administration to ensure the safety of students at the event,*
- 4. WHEREAS administrators announced the cancellation of Undie Run during the summer session without informing the Undergraduate Students Association Council,*
- 5. WHEREAS canceling Undie Run without exploring alternative solutions with the Undergraduate Students Association Council to the problems cited by administrators is contrary to the University of California's principle of shared governance,*
- 6. AND WHEREAS such sets a precedent of Administration not transparently consulting the Undergraduate Students Association Council before key decisions are made,*
- 7. THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED that the Undergraduate Students Association Council urges Administration to re-evaluate its decision regarding Undie Run and to find an alternative solution that will continue to ensure the safety of UCLA students,*
- 8. LET IT FURTHER BE RESOLVED that the Undergraduate Students Association Council urges school administrators to respect the system of shared governance before announcing key decisions,*

***9. LET IT FINALLY BE RESOLVED that the Undergraduate Students Association Council urges Administration to work with them mutually and transparently in finding solutions to key issues that affect the student body from here on out.***

-Mullins said that finding a solution to the issue poses many issues, number one being liability. He said that USAC is not attempting to sponsor Undie Run at this point. He said that this is not the intention of any of the three General Representatives.

-Mullins moved and Tengco seconded to approve the resolution.

-Tressel asked to clarify this statement. He referred to the USAC minutes from June 16, 2009 which directly mentioned that Bob Naples had referred to this issue. He said that at that meeting, administrative representatives had asked to talk with certain members of the administration.

-Mullins responded that he had met with certain members. He said that any idea of cancellation was not made clear, although the event was mentioned. He said that administrators would be meeting about the issue, but students would be included. He said that administrators met behind closed doors, and no students were allowed to come in on the decision.

-Tressel said it would be wise to discuss how to terminate this issue. He said that people who Mullins talked with are not members of the administration, and said that he should have met with David Lowenstein.

-Nelson said that according to what he has read, the reactions to the cancellation have been of utmost shock. He said that there are certain actions that have occurred that should have been acknowledged. He said that on June 16<sup>th</sup>, he stated that council members should have come up with other options. He said that no one had told him that Undie Run was over, but without him even attending, he knew that students needed to develop another alternative. He said that the University has allowed the Undie Run to take place so long as the safety of students could be maintained, but when the safety is jeopardized, then the University would need to step in. He cited the Midnight Yell as an example. He said that vandalism and safety concerns have been major issues with this event. He said that the University must take certain precautions to maintain the safety of all students, and when an event is no longer feasible, action must be taken. Nelson stated that Nancy Greenstein is not in any position to decide whether or not the event should take place. He said that nobody on the council approached him about alternative ideas, and he said that he is available. He cited news on the national scale. He said that things that are said by leaders will be taken as the truth by all constituents. He said that if a resolution is passed with false information, a precedent for error will be established. Nelson said that he is hurt by the idea that students would draft a resolution stating that they had no idea about the cancellation of an event.

-Tengco said that he was across the country during the June 16<sup>th</sup> meeting. He said that administration did not meet with the council to exhaust all the issues. He said that the cancellation has garnered local, national, and international attention. He said that Undie Run never had a trial period to make the event solely a UCLA event. He said that by canceling the event, the administration is saying the USAC does not have a voice.

-Mullins said that the council was blindsided by the suddenness of the cancellation of the event. He said the council was not notified about the actions the administration is going to take. Mullins said he would have enjoyed the opportunity to meet with many administration officials.

-Bawa said that she appreciates the work that each council member put to the resolution. She said that in the resolution, it is stated that the administration never met with USAC—which to her knowledge is untrue. She said that last year she did meet, as a member of SREC, to discuss the rerouting of the event. She said that the council has been approached about the issue. She said that she would not like riot police present at the next event. She said that she has concerns with condoning activities that disagree with UCLA policies. She said that she would not like to see any actions take place that harm students. She also said that Undie Run causes a \$15,000 expenditure every time the event takes place.

-Huddy said that he and Mullins met with administrators to discuss solutions with Greenstein and Naples, but there was never any feedback received. He said that his integrity may have been challenged by statements issued. He said that if the event is cancelled, there will be riots. There is nothing to ensure that the event will not take place. He said that the news release has demolished the opportunity of having this event remain positive.

-Farmer said that as an official who has had to control an event such as Undie Run, he has seen the negative impact from Undie Run. He has noticed how the irresponsibility of certain individuals affects the academic performance of other students. He said that the resolution has two focuses within itself, shared governance

and the Undie Run. He said the first does not require a resolution, and a connection between the two can skew the hopes of Undie Run.

-Li said that she agrees with the fact that the decision was very abrupt and that the administration did not allow time to exhaust any issues. She fears the chance of riots taking place because of the cancellation. She said there was a lack of middle ground when it came to making this solely a UCLA group. She said that there is already a Facebook group with over 3,000 members.

-Tressel said that USAC should have met sooner about this. He said that this resolution is contentious and it would be in the best interest to trash this resolution to have the opportunity to meet with administration tomorrow.

-Tuttle said that he is not familiar with the press release, so he does not understand the allusions to it. He said that by first hand observations, he does recognize the issues certain points of the resolution. He said that there have been opportunities for members to meet with administrators. He also said that the resolution is contentious and the comment that the event is "too far down the rabbit hole" is a bit overstated. He said that his advice is to get rid of the "whereas" statements and to move forward to meet with the administration over shared concerns. He said that it would be best to turn this into a positive event. He said there is a real health and safety concern and there are many people concerned about this issue. He said to go into discussions with eyes wide open, and it would be best to discuss administrators in the same way. He said that the resolution is a very weak read with reference to the case of shared governance. He said passing this resolution as drafted will push back the argument for shared governance thirty years.

-Lin said that the best course of action to take would be to instead find an alternative way to work this issue out. She said the purpose of Bruin Bash was to lessen the number of drunken students on Black Sunday.

-Tengco said that the shared governance concept was included to address that USAC was pushed back by the release of the news statement. He has concerns with the fashion in which the administration released the news release. Tengco said that he agrees that this is not a priority, but the reality is that there are nearly 4,000 students in an online group to save the Undie Run. He said that as elected officials, they were elected on the grounds that they would represent their constituencies. He said that group alone represents over 1/8 of that population, and he and the other General Representatives do not want other students to be hurt. He said the point of the resolution is to push that the administration takes the council seriously.

-Nguyen said he agrees with Tengco's statement, and would like to move forward with the amending of the resolution. He wished to friendly amend the wording about the abrupt cancellation paragraph:

***WHEREAS administrators announced the cancellation of Undie Run during the summer session without informing the Undergraduate Students Association Council,***

-Faelnar said that the best action to take would be to start discussions with administrators tomorrow. She said that this would make a much bigger statement. She said actions would speak much louder than the words in the statement.

-Banani said that with the resolution, the council is stating that administrators need to approach USAC before making any decisions. He said that this could establish a strong precedent that could come back to harm the council in the future.

-Huddy said that his would be step one. He said that this resolution can be brought to the administration as the voice of the students. He said that he has a 30 stage deck that outlines solutions for Undie Run. He wishes to see this resolution start the efforts.

-Tuttle said that he does not recall any meeting in which he could count a majority sharing ideas and opinions of the event. He said that to go in on a tort exposed issue without a majority opinion, could be very threatening. He said he heard interesting ideas in the last fifteen minutes that he had not heard of in past meetings.

-Bawa said that as an elected official, she does not need a resolution to state that she represents the student body. She said that each officer is given that right by inauguration, and she said it would be disempowering to state that the council needs a piece of paper to state that the voice of the students rests within the body. She stated her disappointment with the fact that her colleagues had not pushed further. She said in 2006, community service was de-sponsored by the University. She said that as an unelected community service program director, she had to push for funds that were frozen. She said it could ruin relationships with administration.

-Mullins said that the point of the resolution is not to bring back the event in the same liability heavy manner. He said that the point is to bring discussion with administrators back to the table. He said the point is to give the best shot, and at least provide some sort of alternative. He said the "door is not all the way

closed” statements do not match the press releases. He said that attacking each other will not have any positive outcomes, and he stated that he has exhausted everything that he has had to say.

-Nguyen said the point of the resolution is to provide for transparency. He said that right now there are students who feel they are on their own, and they are not even aware of the officials working very stringently to save the event.

-Tep said that she is all for working on alternatives, but she fears the repercussions of passing a resolution. She said that many things have taken place that makes her want to look at having another event in general. She said that action could speak much louder than the resolution.

-Li said that the resolution is symbolic, and it could serve as a mechanism.

-Tressel asked Tengco if he would bring the event back in the same fashion as Undie Run of spring quarter. Tengco responded that he would not. Tressel stated that the administration would not either.

-Faelnar moved and Farmer seconded to call to question the motion to approve the resolution.

-The motion to call to question did not reach a 2/3 majority. There were a total of 5 in favor, 4 in opposition, and 1 abstention.

-Tengco said that he has problems with stating that resolutions have no substance. He wants to come into meetings with administrators tomorrow with a resolution in hand. He said that this resolution would provide legitimacy. He urged USAC to approve the resolution, because it states that USAC wishes to further develop alternatives to the event.

-Geller said that the power to pass the resolution is a power of USAC. She said that there are other ways to get the attention of the administration. She said that a way to move forward, while appealing to constituents, is to be aware of what is being accomplished by resolutions passed. She said that if this is the right way to do so, then a resolution is the way to move forward. She did mention the potential negative reaction that the administration might have to the passing of this document.

-Farmer said that if the goal is to open up further discussion, then that is all the resolution should state. He said that the initial paragraph, declaring the event a beloved tradition by all students, is a very strong statement and assumes that all students do feel this way about the event. He said that symbolically, he does not agree with everything in the resolution.

-Tuttle went line by line and came up with revisions. He wished to get rid of the word “beloved”. He wished to only include paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 7, and 9—Eliminating 4, 5, 6, and 8. He said that in a highly arguable set of facts, certain paragraphs might create controversy. That proposed resolution would read:

***WHEREAS Undie Run has been a beloved campus tradition since 2002 allowing thousands of UCLA students to relieve stress and celebrate the end of the quarter,***

***WHEREAS UCLA students have cooperated with requests from the Administration in the past regarding route changes and other run-related issues,***

***WHEREAS Undie Run is not officially sponsored by any campus organization and the Undergraduate Students Association Council has worked willingly with Administration to ensure the safety of students at the event,***

***THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED that the Undergraduate Students Association Council urges Administration to re-evaluate its decision regarding Undie Run and to find an alternative solution that will continue to ensure the safety of UCLA students,***

***LET IT FINALLY BE RESOLVED that the Undergraduate Students Association Council urges Administration to work with them mutually and transparently in finding solutions to key issues facing affect the student body from here on out.***

-Huddy moved and Mullins seconded to strike all that has been written on the resolution, and insert the following where appropriate:

***WHEREAS Undie Run has been a beloved campus tradition since 2002 allowing thousands of UCLA students to relieve stress and celebrate the end of the quarter,***

***WHEREAS UCLA students have cooperated with requests from the Administration in the past regarding route changes and other run-related issues,***

***WHEREAS Undie Run is not officially sponsored by any campus organization and the Undergraduate Students Association Council has worked willingly with Administration to ensure the safety of students at the event,***

-Mullins moved and Tengco seconded to adopt the following resolution, replacing the originally proposed document:

*WHEREAS Undie Run has been a campus tradition since 2002 allowing thousands of UCLA students to relieve stress and celebrate the end of the quarter,*

*WHEREAS UCLA students have cooperated with requests from the Administration in the past regarding route changes and other run-related issues,*

*WHEREAS Undie Run is not officially sponsored by any campus organization and the Undergraduate Students Association Council has worked willingly with the Administration to ensure the safety of students at the event,*

*THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED that the Undergraduate Students Association Council urges Administration to re-evaluate its decision regarding Undie Run and to find an alternative solution that will continue to ensure the safety of UCLA students,*

*LET IT FINALLY BE RESOLVED that the Undergraduate Students Association Council urges Administration to work with them mutually and transparently in finding solutions to key issues that affect the student body from here on out.*

-Huddy moved and Tengco seconded to call to question. Hearing no objections, Flores moved the motion to individual vote.

-The council voted to approve the resolution with a total of 6 in favor, 3 in opposition, and 2 abstentions.

XI. Announcements

*There were no announcements at this meeting.*

XII. Signing of the Attendance Sheet

*The attendance sheet was passed around.*

XIII. Adjournment

- Mullins moved and Tengco seconded to adjourn.

- Flores called for Acclamation. Flores asked if there were any objections to approval by Acclamation. There being none, the meeting was adjourned at 10:45p.m. by Acclamation.

XIV. Good and Welfare

Respectfully Submitted,

Joseph Casillas  
USAC Minutes Taker  
2009-2010