UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION COUNCIL

Tuesday March 8, 2011
417 Kerckhoff Hall
7:00 p.m.

PRESENT: Jasmine Hill, Stephanie Lucas, Cris Santos, Matt Spring, Emily Resnick, Gatsby Miller, Suza Khy, Charles Ma, Jamie Yao, Kinnery Shah, John Christian De Vera, Rustom Z. Birdie, Linda Phi, Isaac Rose, Dr. Debra Geller, Dr. Berky Nelson, Willard Tressel, Patricia Zimmerman, Bob Williams, Roy Champawat, Katrina Dimacali

ABSENT:

GUESTS: Stephen Moock, Tiffany Lin, Amina Husain, Ernesto Zumaya, Milagros Villalobos, Paul E. Garcia, Cody Geib

I. A. Call to Order

-Hill called the meeting to order at 7:04 pm.

B. Signing of the Attendance Sheet

The attendance sheet was passed around.

II. Approval of the Agenda

-Spring moved to strike the holistic education presentation from the agenda.
-Zimmerman said they might want to add an action item if they’re going to hold a meeting next week
-De Vera added a meeting to vote for a meeting next week to new business.
-Spring moved and De Vera seconded to approve the agenda, as amended.
-Hill called for Acclamation.
-Hill asked if there were any objections to approval by Acclamation. There being none, the agenda was approved, as amended.

III. Approval of the Minutes

A. *March 1st, 2011

-De Vera asked about the EVP Travel Grant.
-Santos said that it is spelled Raza and it’s not an acronym.
-Spring moved and De Vera seconded to approve the minutes, as amended.
-Hill called for Acclamation. Hill asked if there were any objections to approval by Acclamation. There being none, the agenda was approved, as amended.

IV. Public Comments

Tiffany Lin and Amina Husain—SHAC
They came in to talk about the UC SHIP movement. UCOP had a movement to put all the student health plans under one plan. UCI said that they would not be joining the movement. They said they’re not sure where our administration stands at this point. They are hoping that UCLA will not be joining this plan. The administration saw that it would be cost effective if one of the largest schools to join UC SHIP, which will reduce risks and cost. It is still not beneficial to what they pay now for SHIP. They want them to decide by tomorrow. GSA decided to tell administration to take UCLA student voice into consideration.
-Hill thanked them for their presentation.

V. Special Presentations
There were no Special Presentations this week.

VI. Appointments
A. *Marbella Allen for Community Activities Committee Alternate
Miller moved to table appointments until the appointee arrived. Santos seconded.
- Lucas said that Allen had a strong application that discusses community service organizations. She has motivation to continue in the future. Her con was that she didn’t have a strong understanding of the position institutionally. She was approved unanimously with a vote of 3-0-0.
- Miller called a point of order
- Lucas moved to approve Marbella Allen for Community Activities Committee Alternate. De Vera seconded.
- Allen introduced herself. She is a second year political science major and Spanish minor.
- Hill asked her why she thought service is important
- Allen said she participated in the UCLA community service projects, specifically the education process working with underprivileged children in Compton.
- Hill said that ARC was concerned with what CAC does.
- Allen said that she would work as a liaison between the CAC and projects. She would advise the groups.
- Spring said that from the interview, he was impressed on her commitment to community service. He called to question Allen for CAC. With a unanimous vote, Allen was approved for Community Activities Committee Alternate.

VII. Fund Allocations
A. Academic Success Referendum Fund
Khy said that the community service commission applied for Alternative Spring Break. They made a presentation on this last week. They are receiving a full $700 for their request.

B. Academic Affairs Mini-Grant
There was no business for the Academic Affairs Mini-Grant this week.

C. EVP Travel Grant
There was no business for the EVP Travel grant this week.

D. Cultural Affairs Mini-Grant
There was no business for the Cultural Affairs Mini-Grant this week.

E. *Contingency Allocations
- De Vera moved to approve contingency allocations for this week. Resnick seconded.
- Moock said they had 8 applications.
- Facilities commission had weak goals and objectives. They are recommended $272.40.
- The President’s Office pre-professional Alumni Symposium had no documentation for honorarium so they were docked $100.
- Financial Supports Commission had a good application and got the full funding awarded.
- Sigma Gamma Rho had a weak description of program and turned in their application late. They got $250 total.
- Crossroads Campus Ministries requested $500 for retreat. They didn’t have a list of attendees or an agenda. Their documentation was unclear. They were docked to $350.
- Textbook Scholarship was rewarded the full funding. They had a great application.
- Muslim Union had a weak statement of need and was lacking documentation for honorarium and a bio of who he was. They were rewarded $300.
- MAPS spring quarter retreat got the retreat max.
- Simple Truth was hosting an event called “Does God exist?” They requested $194.75. They were rewarded $175.00.
- Phi asked what the difference was between the textbook scholarship and textbook buyback requests.
-Birdie said that they both use the same methods of advertising.
-Hill asked if she could see the application for number 4.
-Hill asked if there were any other questions.
-De Vera called to question contingency allocations. Yao seconded. With a vote of 11-0-0, the contingency allocations for the week of 3/8/11 were approved.

F. Capital Contingency
Spring moved to approve capital contingency. Phi seconded.
-Moock said that the Engineering Society requested money. They discussed their request and got $1,100.00.
-De Vera said that on the bottom, there is a typo.
-Moock said that he could send out an updated matrix
-Khy asked what the amount left was for capital contingency.
-Moock said that he doesn’t have that number
-Zimmerman said they have around $21,000 left after this week’s allocations.
-De Vera asked where the office of the engineering society is.
-Moock said it is in Boelter 5800 E.
-Spring moved to call to question capital contingency. De Vera seconded. With a vote of 11-0-0, capital contingency was approved.
-Zimmerman said that in the budget, it is around $25,000. She said it might be different but they are around that range.

VIII. Officer and Member Reports

President – Jasmine Hill

Hill thanked everyone for their updates. A campus wide email will go out tomorrow. They will also alert scholarship winners tomorrow. Hill said that she will be at the regents meeting next week. One of their priorities is to work with the speaker of the assembly. One of the things they will work on is a piece of legislation to add another student regent over spring break. March 30th will be the alumni symposium. They will have representatives from Sony and Nickelodeon. They will have representatives from different spaces.

Internal Vice President – Stephanie Lucas

Lucas said they have been collecting data on what kinds of groups are at UCLA. She passed around the statistics to council. Lucas said that there are various data pieces. She said 90% of student groups don’t apply for funding. They had a breakdown of which groups are applying. Lucas talked about outreach they might want to go to. Saferides last week was the last of the quarter. There were 91 students. They applied for funding to go South of Wilshire. The other J-board appointment was contacted and they are now moving forward
-Hill asked if she saw the email she sent. She said it would be effective in the next couple of weeks.
-Lucas said that she would put up the applications for the student speakers commencement. Last Wednesday they had a contingency workshop to get a grasp of the student population. Two student groups didn’t know they could apply for food. They also had an issue with when allocations from FiCom are emailed out.
-Khy asked if they are delineating active and non-active student groups on the charts
-Lucas said it only contains the active groups
-Zimmerman said that on Wednesday they put little cheat sheets on everyone’s door regarding capital contingency.
-Hill said that one of the solutions could be that FiCom could email the webmaster the second after the allocation is approved. This way, they know that they can conceptualize their allocation.
-Birdie asked what the next step for that is.
-Hill said that they just need to see when FiCom can do this
-Zimmerman said that if she emails it to her that she can send it to the webmaster
-Birdie said that anyone could send it to her
Hill said it might be best for FiCom to send it in case there are amendments.

**External Vice President--Chris Santos**

Santos said that they were going to send letters to the editor regarding the budget cuts. They would be asking people to donate to things that students need the most. This will be sent out tomorrow. Santos said the local elections happened today. The local affairs team registered voters for the past few weeks encouraging students to vote. Santos said that the state team has been working on consolidating the week of action for week 1 of spring quarter. He said it is important that the tax extensions pass. They will be having a lot of lobby visits with key legislatures. They will have voter registration every week of spring quarter. They will have faculty presentations as well for the members to talk about the budget the first day of class. They will educate students on tax extensions week 1. Today, the chancellor agreed to come out to the press conference next Monday. He said it will be at 2pm in Bruin Plaza. They will have more details later.

-Resnick said that it’s hard to get people to vote and she appreciates the cheat sheet and the work they’ve been doing.

**Academic Affairs Commissioner--Suza Khy**

Khy said yesterday was the ethnic studies symposium. She thanked the council members that went. There was good dialogue. Tomorrow, she will be meeting with regent Kieffer. She got feedback from council. Khy asked if anyone could make it tomorrow.

-Phi said that she could attend

-Khy said that Dean Smith wanted council members present. This will be 1:50-2:30 tomorrow. Khy said that they will be looking at a core curriculum. As students, they would be providing their student experiences. She asked council what they would like her discuss. Khy said that she would talk about high enrollment and cuts to lectures. She said that this is a chance to talk about their academic experience. Khy said that they could email or call her before tomorrow.

-Phi asked where it would be

-Khy said that it would be in Murphy on the second floor. The GE workgroup proposal would be forwarded to Vice Provos Scott Wall. The course is called Community and Conflict in the Modern World. They would be looking at how communities are formed and how the communities engage and interact with each other and what this teaches about them. She talked about community and how their history has biases and how the class would deal with that. Khy said they are hopeful that it passes. There will be another committee that will look at implementation. It would hopefully be implemented next spring.

-Hill said that the symposium was phenomenal.

-Miller moved to go into appointments. Spring seconded.

IX. Old Business

*There was no Old Business this week.*

X. New Business

A. *California DREAM Act Resolution* Santos

-Santos said that it is important to take a stance for the hard work of undocumented students. He read the resolution as follows:

**RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF AB 130 AND AB 131 KNOWN AS THE 2011 CA DREAM ACT**

Presented March 8, 2011

WHEREAS, University of California student fees have increased from $3,429 in 2000 to $10,302 in 2010; and
WHEREAS, Assembly Bills 130 and 131 were introduced by Assemblymember Cedillo on January 11, 2011; and
WHEREAS, AB 130 would open access to institutional aid to all eligible AB 540 undocumented students; and
WHEREAS, AB 131 would allow eligible AB 540 undocumented student access to any financial aid program run by the State of California; and
WHEREAS, in order to be eligible, an undocumented student would need to have attended a California high school for three or more years, graduated from a California high school or obtained a G.E.D., currently attend a California public institution of higher education and have signed an affidavit with his or her university; and
WHEREAS, in the event that the State of California passes the bills and that Governor Brown signs them, the Regents of the University of California will still need to approve the provision in order for it to take effect in our system; and
WHEREAS, Assemblymember Donnelly introduced Assembly Bill 63 on December 10, 2010, which would eliminate the right of AB 540 undocumented students from paying in-state fees at public institutions of higher education; and
WHEREAS, many states around the country are currently drafting, debating or in the process of implementing hateful anti-immigrant and anti-dreamer legislation; and
WHEREAS, opponents of undocumented students and immigrants in general constantly rely on the use of degrading and dehumanizing language such as “illegal alien” to describe these communities; and
WHEREAS, the Chancellor of the University of California, Los Angeles Gene Block has previously expressed his support of both the Federal and the California DREAM Act in written form, but never in a press conference setting; and
LET IT BE RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council stands in full support of both Assembly Bills 130 and 131 as presented by Assemblymember Cedillo.
LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that the USAC also stands in opposition to Assembly Bill 63 because it would eliminate many of the opportunities, like AB 540, that undocumented students have worked so hard to attain.
LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that the USAC strongly urges all students, student organizations, administration, faculty, and workers to drop the use of the words “illegal” and “alien.”
LET IT BE FINALLY RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council urges Chancellor Block to express his support of the California DREAM Act at a press conference organized by undocumented students and allies.

Sponsors:
Cristopher Santos
USAC External Vice President
Jasmine Hill
USAC President
Linda Phi
USAC Student Welfare Commissioner

-Hill said that the “and” before the “let us be resolved” didn’t need to be there.
-Yao said that Donnelly was spelled incorrectly
-De Vera said that the D in Dreamer needed to be capitalized.
-Khy asked how they would reflect that the Chancellor agreeing to speak in a press conference about the DREAM Act.

1 http://www.aroundthecapitol.com/billtrack/text.html?bvid=20110AB13099INT
2 http://www.aroundthecapitol.com/Bills/AB_131/20112012/
-Santos said that he would add a section that read would commend Chancellor Block for agreeing to speak at a press conference about the DREAM Act.

-Dr. Nelson asked about the previous whereas if it is redundant.

-Santos said that the lines in question read: WHEREAS, the Chancellor of the University of California, Los Angeles Gene Block has commends his support of both the Federal and the California DREAM Act in written form, but never in a press conference setting5. He moved to change the last Let it Finally be resolved to read “LET IT BE FINALLY RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council commends Chancellor Block for agreeing to express his support of the California DREAM Act at a press conference organized by undocumented students and allies,” where it previously said WHEREAS, the Chancellor of the University of California, Los Angeles Gene Block has previously expressed his support of both the Federal and the California DREAM Act in written form.

-Khy said that the second part of the “whereas” should be reworded.

-Dr. Nelson said that they don’t need to add the second part of the resolution because he’s speaking to it in the latter part of the resolution.

-Hill said that the resolution now commends him for being present at the press conference that’s coming up. The previous piece has been struck.

-Spring called to question the resolution and the printing in the Daily Bruin during week 1. De Vera seconded.

-Zimmerman said that they are approving contingency for the ad.

-Rhode said thank you.

-Santos read the revised resolution:

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF AB 130 AND AB 131 KNOWN AS THE 2011 CA DREAM ACT
Unanimously approved March 8, 2011
WHEREAS, University of California student fees have increased from $3,429 in 2000 to $10,302 in 2010; and
WHEREAS, Assembly Bills 130 and 131 were introduced by Assemblymember Cedillo on January 11, 2011; and
WHEREAS, AB 130 would open access to institutional aid to all eligible AB 540 undocumented students; and
WHEREAS, AB 131 would allow eligible AB 540 undocumented students access to any financial aid program run by the State of California; and
WHEREAS, in order to be eligible, an undocumented student would need to have attended a California high school for three or more years, graduated from a California high school or obtained a G.E.D., currently attend a California public institution of higher education and have signed an affidavit with his or her university; and
WHEREAS, in the event that the State of California passes the bills and that Governor Brown signs them, the Regents of the University of California will still need to approve the provision in order for it to take effect in our system; and
WHEREAS, Assemblymember Donnelly introduced Assembly Bill 63 on December 10, 2010, which would eliminate the right of AB 540 undocumented students from paying in-state fees at public institutions of higher education; and
WHEREAS, many states around the country are currently drafting, debating or in the process of implementing hateful anti-immigrant and anti-Dreamer legislation; and
WHEREAS, opponents of undocumented students and immigrants in general constantly rely on the use of degrading and dehumanizing language such as “illegal alien” to describe these communities; and

1 http://www.aroundthecapitol.com/billtrack/text.html?bvid=20110AB13099INT

LET IT BE RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council stands in full support of both Assembly Bills 130 and 131 as presented by Assemblymember Cedillo.

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that the USAC also stands in opposition to Assembly Bill 63 because it would eliminate many of the opportunities, like AB 540, that undocumented students have worked so hard to attain.

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that the USAC strongly urges all students, student organizations, administration, faculty, and workers to drop the use of the words “illegal” and “alien.”

LET IT BE FINALLY RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council commends Chancellor Block for agreeing to express his support of the California DREAM Act at a press conference organized by undocumented students and allies.

Sponsors:
Cristopher Santos
USAC External Vice President
Jasmine Hill
USAC President
Linda Phi
USAC Student Welfare Commissioner

- With a vote of 11-0-0, the Resolution in support of AB 130 and AB 131 known as the 2011 CA DREAM Act was approved.

B. *Alta Gracia Product Resolution- Santos
-Santos introduced the resolution. He yielded two minutes to one of his staff members involved in the drafting of the resolution.
-Villalobos said that the resolution keeps a positive impact in society. It would increase the order from Alta Gracia.
-It is a resolution to encourage UCLA to buy from conscious companies who have the living wages.
-Miller asked about the specific amount that is mentioned in the resolution.
-Santos said they wanted to get the resolution passed soon. He said there are changes they will be making after talking to ASUCLA appointees. He moved to approve the resolution and the publishing of the resolution week 2 of spring quarter in the Daily Bruin. Phi seconded.
-Santos read the resolution as follows:

RESOLUTION TO INCREASE OFFERING OF UNION-MADE LIVING-WAGE WRC VERIFIED APPAREL SUCH AS ALTA GRACIA AT UCLA BOOKSTORE

Presented March 8, 2011

WHEREAS students and employees of the University of California, Los Angeles have the responsibility to advocate for workers’ rights in all arenas where university money is spent, whether on campus or through global supply chains; and

WHEREAS the efforts of the students and employee efforts have been lifeblood of the movement to support workers’ rights in the global apparel industry throughout history i.) Workers’ Rights Consortium affiliation ii.) Designated Suppliers Program affiliation iii.) defending BJ&B workers in Villa Altagracia, Dominican Republic (1998, 2002, 2006/2007) iv.) cutting contracts with Russell Athletic (2009/2010) v.) cutting contrast with Nike (2009/2010); and

WHEREAS steep competition and price pressure in the apparel industry set the stage for exploitative conditions for workers that make University of California, Los Angeles apparel; and
○ Long hours and low pay are commonplace. Most garment workers barely make enough to cover their basic needs. Though the apparel industry provides needed jobs, it often fails to compensate workers at levels that would fuel development to local communities. In many cases, factories have failed to pay even legal minimum wages.

○ Human rights violations are far too frequent in the apparel industry.

■ Civil liberties such as the freedom of association are often infringed upon. Workers who attempt to advocate for their rights are commonly intimidated, fired, black-listed, threatened, and sometimes the victims of violence. 2

■ In a situation where factory managers have substantial power over workers, verbal abuse and even physical abuse are not unheard of.

■ In countries where poverty and desperation are widespread, factories look for younger hires for their agility and complacency, violating international child labor laws.

● Women, who make up vast majority of the apparel industry’s workforce, often face rights violations based on gender. Forced pregnancy tests, termination for pregnancy, sexual harassment, and worse violations have often been reported. 3

B. Workers’ rights violations have been a significant issue—forced or unpaid overtime is common. Factories often fail to comply with minimum wage laws, short workers on paychecks, or close illegally. 4

WHEREAS the university community has the right to the option of university apparel that guarantees human rights and safe and healthy working conditions for workers in the textile industry via an impartial body; and

WHEREAS apparel industry workers have the right to a life of dignity and living-wage which “covers the cost of meeting a family’s basic needs: food and water, housing and energy, clothing, health care, transportation, education and childcare, as well as modest funds for savings and discretionary spending.”5 and

WHEREAS the University of California Code of Conduct states that ‘licensees and their contractors must provide wages and benefits which comply with all applicable laws and regulations and which match or exceed the local prevailing wages and benefits in the relevant industry or which constitute a ‘living wage,’ whichever provides greater wages and benefits.” 6 and

WHEREAS less than 1% of the apparel industry pays a living wage; and

WHEREAS independent labor rights watchdog Workers’ Rights Consortium has over a decade of experience monitoring collegiate apparel at more than 180 universities. 7 and

WHEREAS the WRC has developed a living-wage calculation that covers a household’s basic needs based on a cost of living study, information which is readily available for the use of any brand or factory via a WRC consultation; and

WHEREAS union-made living-wage WRC verified brands, such as Alta Gracia Apparel, are readily available and affordable to universities in the apparel market; and

WHEREAS the Undergraduate Student Association Council denounces violations of human rights and workers rights in Los Angeles, in the United States, and overseas,

WHEREAS, the United States Student Association and University of California Student Association boards have passed resolutions in support of Alta Gracia

WHEREAS, UCLA’s undergraduate student government is a constituent of the aforementioned bodies,
WHEREAS, ASUCLA has an extant contract with Alta Gracia to produce UCLA-licensed apparel

WHEREAS, said apparel is offered at the UCLA Store

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Undergraduate Students Association Council of the University of California, Los Angeles commends ASUCLA for licensing Alta Gracia Apparel.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council requests that ASUCLA increase their order of Alta Gracia Apparel for the 2011-2012 school year, to $250,000.00 of merchandise to be sold in ASUCLA establishments.

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that the students of the University of California, Los Angeles support and encourage ethical business practices, workers’ rights, and human rights as conscious consumers.

Sponsors:

Cristopher Santos
USAC External Vice President
John Christian De Vera
USAC Facilities Commissioner
Matt Spring
USAC General Representative 1

1. www.workersrights.org
3. Ibid.
4. www.workersrights.org, www.usas.org,
7. www.workersrights.org
8. Ibid.
9. www.altagraciaapparel.com

-Santos said that there are changes. The second to last “let it further be resolved” could be changed. He had a conversation with Mark, an ASUCLA appointee, and the commitment that is being looked at. The resolution would be amended to read “BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council commends ASUCLA’s purchase of $70,000 in Alta Gracia Apparel for the Spring 2011 quarter.”

-Phi said that in the second whereas, it should say contrasts not contrast.

-Santos said when he sent it the format got messed up. He apologized.

-De Vera said that instead of UCLA store to change it to the bookstore

-Miller said that on page two on the fourth whereas, he is concerned where this numerical fact came from without documentation. He mentioned specifically the line, “WHEREAS, less than 1% of the apparel industry pays a living wage.”

-Santos said there should be a footnote or source.

-Villalobos asked if she could email it tonight or right now

-Resnick said that there is a format under number 6

-Santos said that he would double check and come back to them
Champawat said that with the living wage, Alta Gracia is one of the first companies that went through the equation to show that they go through the living wage. He said that the store has been buying from Alta Gracia for some time. Duke put a large order. Champawat said that they have to make good buying decisions. They are working with them to improve their product. The first order was $16,000. They committed to an order of $70,000. It is hard to commit a larger amount because they don’t want to commit to buy a large amount and then not sell it. He said that it could go to $250,000 if they push for the product. They are committed to the product. This group sets the standard for everyone else and they want to support them.

Spring said that in “WHEREAS the Undergraduate Student Association Council denounces violations of human rights and workers rights in Los Angeles, the United States, and overseas, there should be an S on students for USAC.”

Santos read the revised line, which read, “WHEREAS the Undergraduate Students Association Council denounces violations of human rights and workers rights in Los Angeles, the United States, and overseas.”

De Vera asked if they could amend the resolution to pertain to the winter quarter.

Phi said that under the third whereas on the second to last bullet point, to change it to “Women make up the vast majority of the apparel industry’s workforce…”

Santos said that he would like to add “Let it further be resolved that USAC is committed to maintain this level of investment for future years,” before the let it be finally resolved. De Vera seconded.

Resnick said that the title doesn’t clarify what WRC is and suggested that it be spelled out.

Santos said it would be second week of next quarter because this will be worker’s appreciation week. Before this issue, looking at the work put on brings us back to reality and we should recognize them and their work.

De Vera called to question the RESOLUTION TO INCREASE OFFERING OF UNION-MADE, LIVING-WAGE, WORKER’S RIGHTS CONSORTIUM-VERIFIED APPAREL SUCH AS ALTA GRACIA AT UCLA STORE with the publishing of the resolution of a one third ad using contingency funding second week of winter quarter. Spring seconded.

Hill said the question raised was if it is done by acclamation, the resolution doesn’t have to be read again.

Dr. Nelson said no.

With a vote of 11-0-0, the RESOLUTION TO INCREASE OFFERING OF UNION-MADE, LIVING-WAGE, WORKER’S RIGHTS CONSORTIUM-VERIFIED APPAREL SUCH AS ALTA GRACIA AT UCLA STORE and the publishing of the resolution of a one-third ad second week of winter quarter using contingency was approved.

C. Meeting Next Week

- Miller said that last quarter they wanted the meeting before finals week. He said that last quarter they had the same conversation and he was wondering why it was coming up again.
- Hill said that they didn’t move the hearing time.
- Spring said they need BOD hearings approved.
- Hill said that this was an oversight and that they should have moved the BOD deadline earlier in the quarter.
- Birdie asked if they are ready for next week.
- Hill said yes and the hearings ended yesterday.
- Zimmerman said that it is better that BOD is ready a week prior so this wouldn’t be a problem next year.
- Spring asked if they would have enough people there if they have the meeting.
- Hill said that there would be 9 people there. They would reach quorum.
- Spring asked about the chair.
- Hill said the chair could vote.
- Spring asked if they could strike the office reports. The meeting would be 10-15 minutes to expedite the BOD process.
- Spring called to question the USAC meeting during finals week.
- Miller asked for clarification on the meeting. Miller seconded
- Phi asked if there are any other contingency allocations being tuned in.
- Yao said that there would be.
- Hill said that it sounds like BOD and contingency would be on the agenda. They could strike officer reports, etc. but they are by no means voting to change the agenda right now.

USAC MINUTES 3/8/11 10
-With a vote of 11-0-0, the motion to have a USAC meeting during finals week was approved.

XI. Announcements
-Hill said that she would be reaching out to people. She said that Calpirg was able to finish a pledge drive. They wrote a resolution that talked about reducing that threshold. They need three councilmember’s to agree to bring it to the table. She said this is one thing that needs to happen. If they don’t bring it to the table, the resolution doesn’t get voted on.
-Santos said that Monday at 2pm at Bruin Plaza would be the press conference with the chancellor.
-Phi said that they just had mental health week. She said that if anyone wants a table, to let her know what size and she would give them one.
-Spring said that he would be sending a Google doc to council to fill out. This would include the office’s mission, what they ran on, what they accomplished, etc. This would be put into the Daily Bruin and put into the newsletter. This should be done sometime during Spring break.
-Yao said that the second issue of the CSC E-Newsletter would be out. The theme is Woman’s Issues because it’s Women’s History month.
-Zimmerman said Waiverpool is due on Friday, March 11. She said that it makes a difference and they should utilize this resource. Bruin Plaza events should also apply. They also have funding for the communal office space. They are doing spring cleaning over spring break. They don’t want to throw things away. If any of the items belong to them, they should take it out. Parking permits requests should be emailed to Hill. The handbooks are being created for next year’s council. This would be a living document. These handbooks should grow each year. This year, there would be an introduction little packet as well as the handbook. They would get exit cheat sheets, which have to do with installations and signing recs. She said they are working on this and it would be great for them to help her be a better resource for them.
-Miller thanked Spring for his input on the shirts. They talked about changing the shirt design to make it more inclusive. They want to have the shirts inclusive yet easily understood.
-De Vera reminded the council that OSAC town hall is this Thursday.
-Hill said that she responded to the council if they requested a permit.

XII. Signing of the Attendance Sheet

The attendance sheet was passed around.

XIII. Adjournment

- Miller moved and Santos seconded to adjourn the meeting.
- Hill called for Acclamation. Hill asked if there were any objections to approval by Acclamation. There being none, the meeting was adjourned at 8:21p.m. by Acclamation.

XIV. Good and Welfare

Respectfully Submitted,

Katrina Dimacali
USAC Minutes Taker
2010-2011