

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION
COUNCIL

Tuesday, July 5, 2011
417 Kerckhoff Hall
7:00 p.m.

PRESENT: Emily Resnick, Kristina Sidrak, Joelle Gamble, Raquel Saxe, Daniel Soto, Jamie Yao, David Bocarsly, Dan Chikanov, Bob Williams, Dr. Deb Geller, Dr. Berky Nelson, Laureen Lazarovici, Patty Zimmerman

ABSENT: Kinnery Shah, Michael Starr, Andrea Hester, Tamir Sholklapper, Jason Smith, Katrina Dimacali

GUESTS: Erik Pena, Angus Beverly, Kelly Zhou, Angela Wong, John Joanino, Haidar Anwar, Thomas Vo, Willaim Ruiz, Matt Abularach, Veline Mojarro, Cynthia Jasso

I. Call to Order

- Resnick called the meeting to order at 7:01 pm.

A. Signing of the Attendance Sheet

The attendance sheet was passed around.

II. Approval of the Agenda

- Sidrak moved to strike Pezeshki and Masood from appointments as they were unable to make it to meeting
- Shah moved to strike the cultural affairs grant
- Abularach moved to strike capital contingency
- Gamble moved to strike travel grants
- Saxe moved to strike both capital contingency academic affairs grants.
- Soto moved and Gamble seconded to approve agenda, as amended.
- Resnick called for Acclamation. Resnick asked if there were any objections to approval by Acclamation. There being none, the agenda was approved, as amended.

III. Approval of the Minutes

*June 21, 2011

- Gamble moved and Soto seconded to approve the minutes, as amended.
- Resnick called for Acclamation. Resnick asked if there were any objections to approval by Acclamation. There being none, the minutes were approved, as amended.

IV. Public Comments

There were no public comments this week.

V. Special Presentations

There were no special presentations this week.

VI. Appointments

- Dr. Geller asked for clarification as to which appointments were one or two year terms.
- Resnick said that in order to prevent her from misinforming council or to prevent confusing, it is possible to ask the appointees to identify the length of their term.
- Dr. Nelson suggested that council trust their committees' recommendations, as they spent a lot of time and effort reviewing each applicant

A. * Steven Quan for Student Health Advisory Committee (SHAC)

- Sidrak said that Quan had solid a application, filled with diversity experiences, strong relationships with students and administrators. He is interested in career in healthcare, understands SHAC. He comes to Council with a recommendation of 3-0-0 approval from committee.
- Quan introduced himself as a 4th year neuroscience major from Southern California who was applying for SHAC
- Resnick asked why Quan was interested in applying for SHAC.
- Quan responded that he chose to apply for SHAC, and only for SHAC, because he wanted to involve himself with the community through clubs, service, research, volunteering and shadowing. He stated that the position is new to him and he wouldn't get the same opportunity elsewhere, particularly to the extent that he would be participating in healthcare management. He was excited to better his knowledge and exposure to healthcare, but also said that being part of SHAC would allows him to outreach and serve the students who don't get to speak out for themselves.
- Saxe asked what Quan's prior experience in USAC entailed.
- Quan said he was part of the General Representative 2 office with James Birks his Freshman year, then worked in the President's office with Cinthia Flores, and finally worked in the General Representative 1 office with Abularach Spring last year.
- Saxe followed up asking for the titles he held while in the formerly stated positions.
- Quan said he was administrative assistant of funding, the served as publicity director and dealt with Abularachers regarding the Daily Bruin, announcements for Town Halls, editorials, etc, finally he served as campus resources direct and worked on the Ticket to the Hill, the evening van service, and the Did You Know? Campaign.
- Dr. Geller inquired as to what Quan's time management strategies were to prevent his academics from suffering while taking on the workload and time required.
- Quan prides himself in being well organized and prioritizing time. He has delved in many clubs at a time and still is doing very well in school, so he believes he already has proven he's capable of that responsibility. He also said that he serves on another committee, so he is aware that being on one is not only about meetings, but things outside of meetings. In regard to time management, he said he is very disciplined, does not procrastinate, and is very passionate about SHAC and will give 110%.

- Yao moved and Chikanov seconded to approve Quan for SHAC.
- Bocarsly called to question Quan for Student Health Advisory Committee. Sidrak seconded.
- With a vote of 7-0-0 Quan was approved to Student Health Advisory Committee.

B. * Adam Swart for Transportation Services Advisory Board

- Sidrak motioned to approve Swart for Transportation Services Advisory Board. Chikanov seconded.
- Sidrak said that Swart worked to maintain the flyaway service in Westwood. He wants to continue doing so. Swart also would like to increase the frequency of BruinGo bus service and wants to make parking more affordable for students, and potentially wants to create a student lot. He comes to Council with a recommendation of 3-0-0 approval from committee.
- Gamble moved to table his appointment until he arrived. Soto seconded.

C. * Erik Pena for ASUCLA Communications Board

- Soto motioned to approve Pena for ASUCLA Communications Board. Gamble seconded.
- Sidrak said that Pena was appointed to Com Board in February 2011. He worked on the finance committee, so he has a strong grasp on the financial aspect of things, making him particularly suited to deal with the current financial climate. He comes to Council with a recommendation of 3-0-0 approval from committee.
- Pena introduced himself as a fourth year political science and history double major. He said his previous Com Board appointment just ended on June 30, 2011, where he sat on the Finance Committee. His position entailed looking at the numbers each month and discerning what issues were going on with such entities as the Daily Bruin, and other student media.
- He said that student media wants to make a smooth technological transition while still providing paper copies for reading on and off campus. In general, Pena said their goal was to increase readership overall, as an increase in readership leads to more advertisements and classifieds bringing in revenue. He said he has ideas such as having stands on the Hill to advertise and increase revenue, which would require work with ORL and Housing. He also suggested having a small page or column of the Daily Bruin dedicated to the Westwood community, including the homeowners in the North Village.
- Pena would like to promote digital products throughout the year, as well. He said that Bruinwalk Online has been recently revamped to act as UCLA's version of Wikipedia. The goal is to get the site geared towards visitors and prospective students so they can gain information about the school and resources. Pena said he sees it as a gateway to increase traffic to the Daily Bruin and Student Media. Also, the Local Research program that was released in March is hoped to become the UCLA version of Yelp – without the bias. The Marketing team for Daily Bruin has been interacting with Westwood businesses and asking the to participate. Pena said the Com Board supports these programs, and is looking to cash in investments and find other ways to get more sales.
- Also, as Pena is hopefully to become the Com Board's Financial Commission Chair, he said he would be able to tie Council and ASUCLA together further every month by reporting to ASUCLA. He also would like to make a contingency plan in the case that the

digital products don't work. For example, he suggested that the UCLA Radio application is currently free on iTunes and the website, but it is possible to put ads on the application to get revenue while keeping the application itself free. Pena said he feels like all of his plans of action are doable, and as he has almost a year on the committee under his belt, he feels like he has a firm understanding of what is going on and will be an effective appointment holder.

- Williams noted that Pena came to ASUCLA with a budget question and was thoroughly questioned, but hung in there during the meeting with good information. He said that Pena has his highest support.

- Sidrak called to question Pena for ASUCLA Communications Board. Bocarsly seconded.

- With a vote of 7-0-0 Pena was approved to ASUCLA Communications Board.

D. * John Joanino for Student Fee Advisory Committee

- Gamble motioned to approve Joanino for Student Fee Advisory Committee. Sidrak seconded.

- Sidrak said that Joanino is very passionate about improving visibility and sustainability through SFAC. He had mentioned that they posted only one blog throughout the year, and that that could be something to improve on. He has many leadership experiences, and believes that SFAC is incredibly important to student life and services. He comes to Council with a recommendation of 3-0-0 approval from committee.

- Joanino introduced himself as a sophomore sociology major who was applying for the position of SFAC.

- Dr. Geller asked why Joanino had an interest in SFAC, and inquired as to what his time management strategies were to prevent his academics from suffering while taking on the workload and time required of his position.

- Joanino responded that he was interested because, in his opinion, the student voice is the most important aspect of student affairs. He felt that it was important to assess and adjust student needs and was interested in advocating student needs. He said that in regard to time management, he tries to get things done ahead of time so that he has time for things that suddenly come up. Joanino also said that he knew the SFAC schedule was flexible, so it was important to him to also have a flexible schedule in order to have time to work with the administrators and representatives when needed.

- Dr. Nelson commented that the upcoming year will be one that is financially trying for the university and asked if Joanino would be willing to make the hard decisions determining which offices get funds and which do not.

- Joanino stated that it was not his responsibility to determine what office or program is more important than another. He believes getting the student voice heard and getting students involved is the most important part of his position.

- Abularach asked how Joanino planned on increasing SFAC visibility.

- Joanino responded that he believed the SFAC blog was a good plan of attack and wanted to reconvene this idea. He also wants to work with USAC to make presentations and connect SFAC to the different governing bodies on campus – particularly those on the Hill – as well as work with USAC to start supplementing other units in need of funds.

- Saxe mentioned the issue regarding Covell Tutorials, and asked how Joanino felt about the decision to no longer fund them.
- Joanino replied that it was unfortunate that a recommendation to continue funds was not made, but that UCLA and SFAC is known for its ability to determine the difference between what programs should be funded by the government versus by student funds and that crossing these lines creates a grey area that could lead to conflicts of interest in the future.
- Chikanov called to question Joanino for Student Fee Advisory Committee. Sidrak seconded.
- With a vote of 6-0-1 Joanino was approved to Student Fee Advisory Committee.

E. * Mallory Valenzuela for Student Fee Advisory Committee

- Bocarsly motioned to approve Joanino for Student Fee Advisory Committee. Yao seconded.
- Sidrak said that Valenzuela was a peer counselor for El Camino College, held an access internship with CPO that focused on affording and attending a UC school, student tuition and fee increases and how they are impacting UCLA directly. She comes to Council with a recommendation of 3-0-0 approval from committee.
- Valenzuela introduced herself as a third year Asian American studies and Sociology double major who is interested in the SFAC appointment. She said she is extremely passionate about student needs, and is very involved in the access project under Samahang Filipino. She has experience dealing with access and retention issues, and believes that it is important to break down jargon in order to explain and understand the fee situation – what the fees are going to be, how we will all be affected, etc – in an attempt to increase our ability to find solutions.
- Dr. Geller inquired as to what Valenzuela's time management strategies were to prevent her academics from suffering while taking on the workload and time required of her position.
- Valenzuela responded that she has held many positions including Gen Rep 1 Chief of Staff, sat on boards, etc, that taught her time management came down to understanding priorities. She feels that she has time for being a student, sets priorities for each committee, and has a good understanding of what are the urgent issues that need to be addressed.
- Dr. Nelson asked if Valenzuela was willing to prioritize where money is going in the upcoming year's financial crisis.
- Valenzuela responded that yes she was. She believes that there are a lot of undocumented and struggling students that deserved attention. She is an advocate for students who are struggling to retain themselves. Valenzuela said that she is a working student and wants to look at where money is going, what services are being hit, underutilized, and make sure that students are aware of what services are available.
- Saxe asked if Valenzuela believed that Covell tutorial was a student service or academic service, and what her opinion was on the situation.
- Valenzuela said that she was aware SFAC did not support Covell, and that it is something that comes from tuition, not student fees. She feels that we need to look at what our tuition is supposed to go towards and what student fees are supposed to go

towards. Valenzuela said that we can't blur lines between these two things or find short terms solutions.

- Saxe followed up by asking Valenzuela how she would go about looking into other ways to fund things.

- Valenzuela responded saying that she wants to break down the different power structures and understand the different committees. She would like to work with Chancellor Block, the Vice Chancellor, and committees in an attempt to collaborate, communicate, and solicit student voice so that all entities are involved and looked into when making financial decisions.

- Saxe called to question Valenzuela for Student Fee Advisory Committee. Chikanov seconded.

- With a vote of 6-0-1 Valenzuela was approved to Student Fee Advisory Committee.

F. *Angela Wong for Community Activities Committee

- Sidrak motioned to approve Wong for Community Activities Committee. Soto seconded.

- Sidrak said that Wong is currently the vice chair for CAC. She has impressive experience and knowledge of CAC and sees the challenges that community service groups face when trying to fill their goals. Wong also wants groups that work of campus to continue to receive their funding. She comes to Council with a recommendation of 3-0-0 approval from committee.

- Wong introduced herself as a fourth year economics/international area studies major.

- Resnick asked Wong what new ideas she has after a year serving as vice chair.

- Wong said she wanted to make their funding source more visible to other groups who could benefit from it. She also would like to utilize OrgSync more because people often have problems finding where funding is located, as well as move part of the application online.

- Yao asked Wong what her perspective of the CAC liaison role is after having served on the committee this year and asked how she would change it.

- Wong said that the committee gets assigned liaisons who make two visits each year to their groups. They are meant to serve as a resource about everything, but CAC liaisons need to work on accountability because some of them weren't as proactive or reaching out as much as they could have.

- Yao also asked Wong what the biggest problem with the applications were after she reviewed them.

- Wong said that applicants need to learn to pay more attention to detail. She feels like the application is lengthy but not overly complicated, though some people can't read it who are not familiar with it.

- Gamble asked if there was a problem with the way the application was currently for Abularached.

- Wong responded that it's not bad, but moving the application online would be helpful. She feels like the application is pretty straight forwarded, and they hold workshops to help fill it out.

- Yao asked what Wong what was most important during a hearing and what she looked for specifically during the hearing process.

- Wong said that she makes sure that their hearing corresponds with what they wrote in their application, particularly what the purpose of the group is.
- Abularach said that transportation is something more groups should receive funding for. He then asked Wong if she advocated for different sources for funding.
- Wong responded saying that she knows many different funding sources so she makes sure that the groups she works with knows of these, as well.
- Chikanov called to question Wong for Community Activities Committee. Soto seconded.
- With a vote of 7-0-0 Wong was approved to Community Activities Committee.

I. * Angus Beverly for Chancellor's Enrollment Advisory Committee

- Gamble motioned to approve Beverly for Chancellor's Enrollment Advisory Committee. Sidrak seconded.
- Sidrak said Beverly was very involved on campus and had interesting ideas for Enrollment. He comes to Council with a recommendation of 3-0-0 approval from committee.
- Beverly introduced himself as an extremely passionate person who wants students on campus who are involved and equally as passionate. In high school he did work with a group that questioned standardized testing.
- Resnick asked if Beverly had ideas for where he'd like to take the committee next year.
- Beverly responded that he'd like to have an alumni interview process for students teetering on admissions so that they have an opportunity to interview and so admissions would get the chance to know the student more.
- Gamble asked Beverly what his familiarity was with the structure of the committee and the administrators.
- Beverly said that he knew there was one undergraduate representative and that they met two times a quarter to discuss the admission process and what the students would like to see in the incoming class.
- Dr. Geller commented that it could be possible for Beverly to have a differing opinion from a senior faculty member, perhaps even one of his teachers. She asked if he had any experience challenging superior positions.
- Beverly said that he would be respectful, but would stay true to his opinion. He believes that he is working with students as a representative, and thus, should put forth their opinion despite the fact that it could sometime conflict with the faculty's.
- Dr. Nelson asked Beverly about his feelings regarding bringing in "out of state" students to drop the cost of "in state" tuition, though it cut the number of positions available to "in state" students.
- Beverly responded that he is open to out of state students, but he worries about the motives behind it. If it's simply for financial reasons, then a lot could be risked by cutting the positions available for in state students.
- Saxe asked Beverly his opinion about holistic admissions.
- Beverly said that it was an interesting approach, but that we shouldn't focus on it through our entire admissions process.
- Abularach inquired as to the difference between CORS and Chancellor's Enrollment. Saxe explained that CORS is under Academic Senate and Chancellor's is not.

- Dr. Geller furthered that one deals primarily with admissions and financial aid, while the other deals exclusively with admissions relations with schools. The Chancellor's committee can also work with Graduate admissions, as well.
- Yao asked Beverly if he believed that rising enrollment is need to offset cost, or if he thinks that it could pose a problem on campus.
- Beverly responded that it is not as black or white as it will help or it won't, but he believes that it will change the set up UCLA, as there will be more competition for classes, but there will also be more people who have the opportunity to experience UCLA. However, he believes that there still needs to be caution about how many students are admitted.
- Saxe noted that since this committee also had to do with financial aid, she wanted to know Beverly's opinion on the reduction of financial aid that comes from other student fees – such as the Blue and Gold Scholarships – which would essentially reduce financial aid in general.
- Beverly asserted that we need to continue the Blue and Gold Scholarships, especially now more than ever. He believes that it's important to have many outlets for aid, and can speak from experience as he is a student who receives financial aid.
- Sidrak called to question Beverly for Chancellor's Enrollment Advisory Committee.
Chikanov seconded.
- With a vote of 6-0-1 Beverly was approved to Chancellor's Enrollment Advisory Committee.

K. * Layhearn Tep for Student Fee Advisory Committee

- Yao motioned to approve Tep for Student Fee Advisory Committee. Soto seconded.
- Saxe inquired as to if this appointment was for one year.
- Resnick said yes.
- Sidrak said that Tep served on CRC so he has seen how budget cuts have impacted students and services on campus. He has also worked on the deferred payment plan. He comes to Council with a recommendation of 3-0-0 approval from committee.
- Tep introduced himself as a fourth year political science and Asian American studies double major. He stated that his goal is to work on transparency and student input. He wants to preserve the "bruin experience" and believes that the money the school has should be utilized in a better way. He has worked with students who have been hit the hardest by the fee increases. He pushed and helped implement the fee deferment plan, the Bruin Pay Plan, that allows student to pay for their tuition in installments because students were leaving one quarter in order to save money to pay for the next quarter and then were not coming back. He sat on SAC's Board of Governors so he has worked with many administrators and other students on SFAC. He has worked towards advocating for spatial needs for students, especially since UCLA is no longer a commuter campus, and he believes that space on campus can be more innovative. He has looked at cuts on different academic programs and gained student input on campus by working with different pockets of students, like transfers, first years in dorms, super seniors, etc. He believes that they need to find ways to gauge what students need.
- Resnick asked how Tep's experiences have shaped him and asked how they would impact his goals in office.

- Tep replied that he has been working to make sure that all students graduate, and this experience has helped shape him to stand up for what he believes in and to strive to really make a change on campus. He believes that one of the best things about UCLA is the students who are full of bright minds capable of really implementing change and advocating for one another. These people have inspired him to play a large role in his community, on campus, and in a larger scale. He believes that SFAC will help give him a broader understanding of funding, how fee increases impact students, and will enable them to put *Students* back in Student Fee Advisory Committee. The projected 10% increase will have an impact on campus and Tep asserted that it was important we learn about the increase and make sure that students are taken care of regardless of what happens.
- Soto asked how Tep would insure that the money allocated is used accountably, seeing as Tep is an advocate for using resources the university already has.
- Tep said he would like to have budget reviews, as well as reviews of the different programs. He believes that the combined knowledge of the SFAC team, as well as increasing student input is key in going about improving accountability. He feels that this is especially important currently, as virtually everything is on the chopping block.
- Saxe inquired as to Tep's tangible ideas for getting student input.
- Tep responded that he wanted to have everyone available during office hours, instead of just the chair so that students have many options when trying to get information. He also would like to work with different departments that are already part of SFAC, as well as agencies that students frequent, like ORL, scholarship resource center, SAC, counseling, AAP, peer counselors, USAC. He would also like to have these groups fill out evaluations prioritizing their needs and answering questions on how they feel about certain situations.
- Saxe called to question Tep for Student Fee Advisory Committee. Yao seconded.
- With a vote of 7-0-0 Tep was approved to Student Fee Advisory Committee.

VII. Fund Allocations

A. Academic Success Referendum Fund

There was no business for the Academic Success Referendum Fund.

B. Academic Affairs Mini-Grant

There was no business for the Academic Affairs Mini-Grant this week.

C. EVP Travel Grant

There was no business for the EVP Travel Grant this week.

D. Cultural Affairs Mini-Grant

There was no business for the Cultural Affairs Mini-Grant this week.

E. *Contingency Allocations

- Asian Council applied for funding for their Summer Orientation program, which is held on a weekly basis. Their total amount recommended was slashed in half because many of their dates were outside of the current budget cycle. A recommendation was sent out to their signatory to remind them to reapply in the next budget cycle. They were recommended \$599.22.
- Theta Kappa Phi applied for \$1200 their LeaderShape conference, but they are recommended to only receive \$500.
- Bocarsly moved to approve contingency. Chikanov seconded.
- Sidrak called to question contingency. Gamble seconded. With no objections, contingency allocations were approved with a vote of 7-0-0.

VIII. Officer and Member Reports

President - Emily Resnick

- Resnick said that she was at BruinWoods for the summer but in town for biweekly meetings. While there she has met a lot of alumni who will be good resources for Homecoming. Resnick stressed that she is available for contact, despite the fact that she is not on campus.
- She gave a shout out to Gamble for emailing out the press release. Resnick also stated that the president's office has conference call with Yudof this week.
- She discussed the status of the Flyaway bus, saying that the board of airport commissioners will have a meeting on July 11 to discuss a six month extension of the Flyaway program. She stressed the importance of getting people to attend the meeting to read testimonials regarding the benefits of the program.
- Resnick said that she has a meeting with Dr. Nelson, Ken Heller, UCLA Greek Life, and the Alumni Association to work on designing the official logo for UCLA Homecoming event.
- She also stated that the first five days for the UCLA Farmer's Market have been set, with the first being held on September 29, 2011 on the IM field.
- Resnick also noted that the USAC retreat will be September 10-11 and that it is vital everyone is in attendance at the retreat. She also appreciated the respect shown to the appointees during the interview process.

Internal Vice President - Kristina Sidrak

- Sidrak said that ARC has been very busy scheduling and interviewing for appointments, but it went very smoothly.
- She also said the IT wishlist has been sent in and Sidrak has been working with Jackie Reynaolds to implements the changes to the UCLA Mobile program and MyUCLA.
- Sidrak noted that Ken Heller will be revamping OrgSync. She is also attending an URSA workgroup meeting.
- Sidrak mentioned that there will be a new sustainable format to the How To Guide, and requested that council respond to the UCLA Blood Drive email.
- Sidrak said that for groups who are new this year to activities fair, there will be an online portal groups can enroll in to discuss things and communicate with one another.

External Vice President-- Joelle Gamble

- Gamble began by discussing the apron parking situation. She said Paul Karetz, city council member, discussed apron parking with her. The problem is the law suit being filed by Michael Dukakis since there wasn't adequate enough sidewalk space for disabled citizens. Currently, they are trying to make more parking options for students available on campus.
- Gamble picked all the people for the US Student Association Congress, and a UCLA student will also be nominated to serve on the CA Student Aid Commission
- Gamble also said that the Academic Council sponsored a 9.6% fee increase and her office is working to get the vote postponed until November. She suggests that though it will still be voted through, what the students can do now is get as many student voices hear as possible.
- Lazarovici asked if there was any cooperation between the CSU systems, community college systems and higher education system in general. She asked if there were any plans in the incoming week about this increase that were in the process of being put into action. She also inquired as to if there had been any thought given to mobilizing parents of students as they might be seen as a demographic that votes more often.
- Gamble responded that the UC, CSU, CC are currently working together to increase cohesion over the course of the year, and the Local Affairs team is working on information being disseminated to parents and the community around LA in an effort to get them to take action, as they either do or want to send their children to UCLA, or the economics of students will directly impact the economics of the area.

Academic Affairs Commissioner--Raquel Saxe

- Saxe said her office is working on reaching out to parents about Covell Tutorials, as well as tenured faculty to see how the cuts impact their TAs, class standings, etc. She said that tutors are strongly turning to USAC and the Union because the tutors that once worked at Covell are now becoming athletic tutors and so that force is decreasing. Saxe said that graduate students and the union are invested in seeing how these cuts impact the university.
- She also said that the Union filed a grievance against UCLA because the Covell cut was a "unilateral change" that did not receive the necessary input.
- Saxe said the CRC budget hearings for the summer are for allocations for student groups that need funding.
- She noted that the Syllabus at a Glance proposal and the business economics minor will be approaching academic soon.
- Saxe said the following appointments have been made, upon approval by Council:
Academic Freedom Committee: Austin Ford and Marshay Colloway
Continuing and Community Education: Melissa Wong
Diversity and Equal Opportunity: Brittany Jones and Melissa Samson
Faculty Welfare: Magali Limeta
Intercollegiate Athletics: Rachel McCrystal
International Education: Keya Lamba and Denise Panaligan
Planning and Budget: Chris Flores and Angela Arunarsirakul
Public Affairs Research: Jacob Goldberg and Maggie Walsh

Undergraduate Admissions and Relations with Schools: Eena Singh, Luis Ramon, Kimberly Swain, Kenneth Ramos, Renn Guterrez, Jeremy Reynard
Faculty Executive Committee: Megan Venanzi and Tlaloc Vasquez

- Saxe said the Governance committee has not had any students appointed to it at this time.

Lauren Lazarovici- Alumni Representative

Lazarovici had no comments this week.

Dr. Berky Nelson

- Dr. Nelson said that there were unpaid bills that went back to last year's council for the Facilities Commission and General Representative 1. He recommended that this be paid via contingency by July 22 so that the books can be closed.

- Zimmerman said that if Council contacts her by the end of that week she may be able to pull some strings to get bills into the old accounts so that the new accounts remain intact.

- Dr. Nelson suggested that if Council takes care of all their loose ends at the end of their term, it would make the successor's life much easier when they are coming into office.

- Zimmerman commented saying that it is also good to them taken care of because it can impact the ability to make room reservations.

Dr. Deb Geller

- Dr. Geller needed a person on July 6, 2011 at 2pm to interview one of the potentials for the new registrar position. Cynthia Jasso volunteered.

Bob Williams

- Williams inquired into who approved the five dates for the Farmer's Market.

- Resnick said that it was ORL

- Williams said that the Farmer's Market falls under commercial operations, which ASUCLA oversees. Because the proper steps were not followed, he will be pushing back on this in order to maintain structure.

- Dr. Nelson said that he did not know there were five dates that the Farmer's Market was going to take place on, and he was not sure as to how the ORL sets aside space on the IM field when it is under his jurisdiction.

- Resnick acknowledged the confusion and stated she would set up meetings with Dr. Nelson and Williams in the future.

IX. Old Business

There was no Old Business this week.

X. New Business

There was no New Business this week

XI. Announcements

- Zimmerman said she will be out of office for the next three weeks and will miss the next meeting. She requested that the proxies sitting in email her their names so she could make temporary nameplates. She also requested that any big questions be addressed with her by the end of the week.
- Dr. Geller asked that Tristan McKinnery be added as a guest speaker on next week's agenda, as she will be coming in to do a presentation about what SAIRO does and what information might be useful from it.
- Zimmerman inquired as to if she'd need any AV equipment. She said she would have a building manager assist with the LCD in her absence.
- Yao said that CSC has their general retreat that upcoming weekend, which allows the members and project directors to bond, train, and solidify their mission for the following year.

XII. Signing of the attendance sheet.

The attendance sheet was passed around.

XIII. Adjournment

- Saxe moved and seconded to Gamble adjourn the meeting.
- Resnick called for Acclamation. Resnick asked if there were any objections to approval by Acclamation. There being none, the meeting was adjourned at 8: 52p.m. by Acclamation.

XIV. Good and Welfare

Respectfully Submitted,
Tyler Peterson
USAC Minutes Taker
2011-2012