AGENDA
UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION COUNCIL
Kerckhoff Hall 417
November 1, 2013
7:00 PM

PRESENT: John Joanino, Avi Oved, Maryssa Hall, Sam Haws, Sunny Singh, Lizzy Naameh, Darren Ramalho, Jessica Kim, Omar Arce, Jessica Trumble, Armen Hadjimanoukian, Lauren Rogers, Savannah D. Badalich, Laureen Lazarovici Patricia Zimmerman, Cynthia Jasso, Patty Zimmerman, Dr. Berky Nelson, Dr. Debra Geller, Danielle Dimacali

ABSENT:

GUESTS: Justine Penrose, Amanda Schallert, Jillian Beck, Natalie Delgadillo, Taylor Bazley, Rick Matsumoto, Nihal Satyadev, Devin Murphy, Shayla McClelland, Clinton Clad-Johnson, Ana Davalos, Harvey Peralta, Antonette Sadile, Gerleroz Exonde, Nicole Ngaosi, Uyen Hoang, Daniel Nguyen, Jazz Kiang, Rahim Kutwa, Seth Ronquillo, Justine Pascual, Winnie Galbadores, Marien Ann Padua, Matthew Murray, Clinton O’Grady, Conrad Contreras, Razmig Sarkissian

I. Call to Order
-Joanino calls the meeting to order at 7:02 PM
-Joanino passes around the attendance sheet.

II. A. Approval of the Agenda
-Trumble calls to question.
-Ramalho asked if there are any appointments.
12-0-0 agenda approved.

B. Approval of the Minutes from September 17, 2013
-Arce moves to approve minutes. Trumble seconds.
12-0-0 minutes approved.

C. Approval of the Minutes from September 24, 2013
-Trumble moves to approve. Ramalho seconds.
12-0-0 minutes approved.

III. Public Comments

He wants to comment about undocumented students and immigrant communities and appreciates the support of undocumented student after the appointment of Napolitano. However, I don’t believe a no confidence resolution because it’s only her third day in
A little more time should be given to her to talk to UCSA, our regent, and all the UC campuses in order to set her priorities in this regard.

Taylor Bazley
So this council has already shook the confidence students had in it over summer by increasing funds by 90% despite student outcry. I personally would hate to see this council further isolate itself from those that it needs to work with by damaging future relationships with the office of the president. If something is going to be passed I don’t want to make it uninformed and instead direct those demands to those that can do it. Please direct those to appropriate source.

Nicolle Foussier
1. I want to start by saying that I was also not happy with the appointment of Janet Napolitano, and I think the process of UC presidential appointment needs to be changed. But this is absolutely a separate issue from a vote of no confidence
2. Also, even though referenced in the “whereas” clauses, there is no “be it resolved” clause that addresses the appointment process or offers solutions. Without a change there, this will just continue to happen. BE IT RESOLVED, that in order to expand the transparency of the appointment process, the academic, staff, student, and alumni advisory committees be permitted to interview the final candidate(s) for UC President before the appointment committee makes a nomination;
3. Although I support many pieces of this resolution, a lot of the concerns should be directed towards other people (for example the appointment committee). We would be shooting ourselves in the foot by “demanding” things that aren’t really in UCOP’s jurisdiction, for example general ed classes. After having had to work with UC admin, I know that one of the biggest challenges is overcoming the perception of the ignorant, impassioned student. Some of these demands make it seem like we don’t understand the division of power between UCOP, the Regents, and the Chancellors. This encourages UC admin attempts to mislead us and redirect blame in a circle from UCOP to Regents to the Legislators and back, which is a tactic they regularly use rather than working with us to find solutions because they are under the impression that we don’t understand the system.
4. I would be embarrassed to be a member of the campus that passes this resolution in its current form. If you want to write a resolution, write one in support of undocumented students, criticizing the appointment process, and putting forward a list of things you would like to see Janet do

Aurelia Freidman
She agrees a lot with Nicolle and this resolution is premature. If you wanted to have this resolution it should be further in. She started meeting with the student regents today and the undocumented committee and I feel like she’s trying to reach us halfway and we really should start to have a good relationship. UCLA and UCOP has a bad relationship, and we should resolve to solve the master plan.

Mike
He was not supportive of the process that let to the appointment of Janet Napolitano and this resolution would be detrimental. Napolitano has yet to take action and this resolution
would have no other effect than severing those lines of communication. The first five clauses are critiques of the white house program that Napolitano fulfilled to carry out. This is premature, even for a conditional vote. To say that she is not meeting with students is not true because she has a tour and regents. Let us strive to change the appointment process, let us be proactive and put forward reform to prevent this from being repeated rather than attacking Napolitano.

-As a formal undocumented individual, I know how it feels to be undocumented. I am disappointed that our student government of 26,000 students to barely passing a resolution. If you’re not undocumented or don’t identify do not speak on behalf of that identity.

Uyen Hoang
The appointment of Napolitano is concerning to the APi community for many reasons, as the appointment was done in secrecy I feel that the UC is becoming privatized. As the path of API have been living under the poverty line, where it is a misconception of a Latino issue, it’s also an Asian issue. This issue could be daunting considering she just got in office, but she wants to stress to council the concerns. From day 1 they want to take precautions, she urges students to take a stance on the council. We voted everyone to be leaders.

Jazz Kiang
The external assistant director of the student coalition comes form the undocumented student population, a large number who are of Asian heritage. Janet Napolitano’s action of yesterday may have implications of that tomorrow. She was not put into office as students, but students voted council to put those into seats. He hopes for everyone to be advocated for the resolution.

A new student after being accepted he was excited, but he was mortified that the incoming president would be Napolitano. He’s from Washington DC in a police state, and getting the department of homeland security to lead the UC is irresponsible for the future of this country and the world. It is offensive to the very core of human values and should be removed. UCLA has a history of having groups on campus infiltrated by domestic programs. It’s telling of where our government is going for this person to lead such a prestigious university that has a history of being involved in social movement. You are not just leading the UCs, but you are leading America as a model. To let it be led to become more militarized, and he sees the power of California and putting a whole neighborhood on lock. Putting a person who leads the greatest educational public institution from the department of surveillance will turn it into a militarized community. He urges to vote no confidence for sure.

Just to say she supported charter schools, but let’s be honest she’s from Arizona and she doesn’t know what California is all about. If we need leaders, we need to build leaders from California who understands our educational struggles and how to fix them. Not only does that make me mad, but the fact that we’re allowing to pay for her housing hopefully its not on the cost of students. We have to think about who are we going to choose as
leader. He doesn’t want to pay more for staff, but pay for more for teachers and quality education—not someone who doesn’t know what California is about.

Students for Palestine member and many members have been standing with groups like IDEAs for several years and have been present while Napolitano was deporting so many people. SJP feels strongly about the issue because the issue of deportation and borders affect many other communities, not just US. The main thing I want to say is that to people who are undecided at the council table, that you have a chance to stand up for community on campus and there’s a lot of pressure to be cynical, but I urge you to think of that level of cynicism as that’s part of the resolution. That cynicism is common. You have a role you can play, and people are asking you for your help to do something for them.

Seth Ronquillo, IDEAS
Seth Ronquillo has a lot of undocumented student friends and a lot of people that have been deported. When Napolitano was on her last day of homeland security, the Huffington Post showed her defending her track record of putting immigrants out of the nature. Considering there’s an undocumented student in the UC, how would they feel safe if their president was responsible for deporting their parent. This is supposed to be safe and it’s sad that they are being paired against each other as students. We shouldn’t be pitted against each other, we should be standing next to each other. The process shouldn’t cover up the track record. He hopes council considers those things.

Justine Pascual, SP
She urges all council members to pass the resolution of no confidence by meeting with the force that UCLA is in solidarity. If one single resolution damages the relationship than she is disappointed.

Winnie Galbadores, GR3
Winnie stands in solidarity with the undocumented community and she urges council to vote yes because they are representation for UCLA.

Marien Padua, SP
Marien shows support for the resolution and urges council to show the same support. If support isn’t shown, then I look forward to making it a safer campus.

Matthew Murray, GR3
Matthew is here to support the resolution and hopes that the council supports to.

Clinton O’Grady
He supports the resolution because anything less than no confidence severs the relationship between UCLA and the undocumented community.
Tyler Oakley
He is here as an ally to undocumented students and to vote yes. As elected officials he’s heard council members that vote on behalf. How many students have you heard that support Napolitano and how many have you hear not in support? Over 95% responses expressed dissatisfaction in her response. I think it stands clear where students lie. We need to report to administrators not students, you are here to serve the students and not uphold the image of higher ups. For those getting tripped up on the alleged abrasiveness, this sentiment holds until she meets. The resolution is for moving forward to let her know that there is unease and dissatisfaction with this appointment. He wants to remind everyone that UCLA has the highest number of undocumented students. If you are worried about severing ties with Napolitano, you are burning bridges with students and their allies. If you are concerned with her than you should be concerned with your constituents.

Cynthia Jasso
Jasso was in a Chicano politics class and somebody said the word illegal at least 5 times. Whatever stance you take whether its vote no confidence, vote demands, and she wants everyone to know that everyone must be very strategic. It cannot end with a resolution, and a student shouldn’t be crucified that her parents are feeling illegal. Its not appropriate to not take action. At the end of the day, it doesn’t end with a resolution. It must be continued onto our campus and must happen with every student and letting people know what its like to live in fear that you cant go anywhere. The struggle is real, but realize that there are people here affected here everyday and affects all. Be cognizant of the students, take action, and don’t be afraid to do so.

Eric Adams
As a queer male of color, he wants to remind everyone that we are all connected to an undocumented students even if you aren’t undocumented. He doesn’t feel that Napolitano is going to free him, because he already chained up 2 million members of my community. I cannot wait for her to come in. He is sad that his mother is afraid to speak because he doesn’t know his history because his Puerto Rican grandmother got deported. The power of words is so amazing, and if we believe as students have a seat at table you will vote yes because you care about constituents. I need you to care about me.

Raz Artisian
I don’t need to wait to see Napolitano in office to know that I have no confidence in her because I am of the radical, fringe belief that the head of an educational institution such as the University of California should be...an educator. She has no background in education whatsoever! The areas where Secretary Napolitano does have experience: security, surveillance, intelligence, immigration and border control. The antithesis of the institution she now heads, UC is dedicated to a commitment to the free exchange of ideas, open and public expressions of dissent, the first amendment, the fourth amendment, and the privacy rights of faculty, students, and staff that must define the life of any university. Secretary Napolitano has been responsible for policies including (but not limited to) confiscating and searching through travellers' computers without a warrant, participating in broader government surveillance activities such as those
precipitating the latest NSA scandal, and managing the highest deportation levels on record. Her Department also has warned employees that they can be penalized for opening a Washington Post article containing classified slides about the NSA. Finally, I want to comment on a Daily Bruin editorial that personally upset me. The end of the article read: "The proposed resolution amounts to a handful of undergraduate students shaking their fists at the moon. Substantive institutional progress does not stem from confrontation and toothless threats—these actions only push the undergraduate government toward the fringe." I’ll address the last sentence first. Truth is not about popular consensus or how many administrators are pleased with what good little students we are. Truth always begins at the fringe. And the bravest voices are first to speak amidst the dissenting voices of cowards. Be brave USAC. Finally, to the erroneous notion that "Substantive institutional progress does not stem from confrontation and toothless threats" I will retort and close with a quote from Frederick Douglass: “Power concedes nothing without a demand. It never did and it never will. Find out just what any people will quietly submit to and you have found out the exact measure of injustice and wrong which will be imposed upon them, and these will continue till they are resisted.”

IV. Special Presentations
A. Finance Committee Presentation
Jasso states she will be formally submitting two bylaw changes will discuss the appointment review committee and appointment of finance committee members and the finance committee composition.

VI. Appointments
A. Reaffirm Presidential (Summer) Appointments
- Joanino had (ask john to email)
Trumble calls to question. Hall seconds.
12-0-0 reaffirmed.

B. Re-affirm Academic (Summer) Appointments
- Ramalho had 2 of faculty executive committee, 1 of undergraduate council, and 1 of undergraduate council
- Oved moves to approve. Rogers seconds.
12-0-0 reaffirmed.

C. Reaffirm External Vice Presidential (Summer) Appointments
- Hall had UCSA board of directors proxy’s.
- Kim moves to approve. Ramalho seconds.
12-0-0 reaffirmed.

VII. Officer and Member Reports
A. President – John Joanino
- Joanino stated 6000 pledge cards have been distributed to the hill, and submitted a grant for safer campus for eternal funding. Omar and him met with Robert Michaels to talk about the creation of John Wong leadership service award and has ensured $10,000. The
first ever award for students and by student. He will be speaking in bruin plaza through CalPirg about affordable health care act and about ASFME. There will be a press release regarding civilian review board to end sheriff violence. There will be a vote and an action on the eighth.

-Badalich said there was a 520% jump in those who visited the page.

B. Internal Vice President – Avi Oved
- Oved states in terms of transparency they are looking to stream USAC meetings. They are launching a safety map for the entire student body and look at different areas around campus where students feel unsafe so we can communicate these to UCPD and gage where they feel unsafe. The SOOF campaign has been going great and has been flyering the bruin walk and clubs. He will be attending the Dashew panel on Thursday to talk about different leadership activities. It clearly says the USAC Resolution Reform is for council, and he would appreciate that if you read the comments you would not share it with anybody.

-Badalich asked if under the safety map if there’s a comment way.
- Oved states that he hasn’t figured out how to exactly promote it, but there’ll be contact information.

C. External Vice President – Maryssa Hall
-Hall stated they are going to have a UCSA Board of Directors meeting at UC Riverside, as well as this week. There is going to be an action this Thursday surrounding the coalition to end sheriff violence in Los Angeles county. It will be more in depth once the press release goes out, and fits closely to EVP about prison reform and humane treatment. The action is for oversight over those individuals in the jail with a social media aspect and the EVP office educating about the issue. There is going to be an action on the 15th in regards to Michigan with Proposition 2 that essentially considering race or ethnicity will be illegal. It will really lay the groundwork for the future of adding diversity to California as well. We already had our chancellor speak out about increasing aggressive tactics for diversity.

D. Academic Affairs Commissioners -- Daren Ramalho
-Ramalho had a meeting with Frank Barner with UCLA registrar for the program with Avi for transfer day. Thursday October 10 will talk about the diversity requirement led by the AAC and office of vice office for chancellors affairs you can RSVP. He will have a presence in the Dashew Center.

E. Administrative Representative
Roy Champawat
-He stated that the 7000 in solidarity that the big screens in Kerhoff are available for messages and the full council.

Deb Geller
-Geller just wanted to share that apparently the University is in the early planning stages of the first visit Napolitano will be making on campus. The details and date aren’t
confirmed, but asked to share that there will be an opportunity for a small group of students to meet with her. Some on USAC will be invited to be part of that meeting and hopefully will receive an invitation with a date and details soon. Also, she forwarded an email to John earlier about the press release following a meeting between Napolitano and students about concerns of undocumented students. That meeting happened today.

Laureen Lazarovici
-Lazarovici states she has a few reflections on the public comments. A few of the commenters said really noteworthy things where a couple of people mentioned that it would be premature to judge Napolitano. She thinks it’s a legitimate thing to look at her past actions, because all we have is our deeds. The only imprint we make is what we actually do. She thinks its legitimate to look at those. Another student said she carried out the duties of an administrative policy, but she carried out a reprehensible and unethical policy. However, she doesn’t find it praiseworthy to promulgate unethical policies. Joe Biden stated she should be appointed to the US Supreme Court. Another student mentioned cynicism. In journalism, there is a sort of a cache in being cynical. Eric said we are all connected to undocumented students by a border is a really beautiful privilege. There was a time where Mexican police tries to prevent a Mexican citizen for coming to US because it’s unsafe. Eric also mentioned that there are powers to our words, and that is true. However, there is more powers to our actions and Napolitano has shoed us who she is through her actions, and we also show who you are through your actions.

VII. Fund Allocations
A. Contingency Programming
-Ramalho moves to approve funding allocations.
-Wong states that the total required 4,508.61 and the total requested 2256.11 and the total recommended is 980.00. The balance is 61,375.79.
-Trumble moves to approve. Ramalho seconds.
10-0-2 its approved.

VIII. Old Business
No old business

IX. New Business
A. Undocumented Students and Immigrant Communities Resolution
The resolution “A Bill in Support of Undocumented Students and Immigrant Communities” is authored by Maryssa Hall, Savannah Badalich, Lizzy Naameh, and Jessica Trumble.
“A Bill in Support of Undocumented Students and Immigrant Communities

Authored by Maryssa Hall, Savannah Badalich, Lizzy Naameh, and Jessica Trumble

WHEREAS, in 2003, the Department of Homeland Security was established as an administrative
WHEREAS, Secure Communities is a federal program under the Department of Homeland Security “prioritizing the removal of criminal aliens”3 but has since apprehended 3,600 U.S. Citizens, affected over 88,000 families with U.S. citizen members and forty-five percent of all individuals charged with removal proceedings did not have a criminal history4

; and,

WHEREAS, a vast majority of people kept in detention centers and put into deportation proceedings are men of color in California of which half are under the age of thirty5

; and,

WHEREAS, deportations have a destabilizing effect on communities, families and especially immigrant youth wherein “the health, education and long term prospect of these youth are imperiled by the traumatic effects of being separated from a parent”6
; and,

WHEREAS, Ms. Janet Napolitano was the former Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security

and will succeed Mark Yudof as President of the University of California; and,

WHEREAS, the nomination process of Ms. Napolitano by the UC Regents was done in a manner that did not include all stakeholders of the University of California; and,

WHEREAS, the lack of transparency in the nomination and appointment process of Ms. Napolitano did not follow the statement on Government of Universities and Colleges wherein “the selection of a chief

1


2


3


4


5

*Titles for identification purposes only

6

WHEREAS, former Secretary of Homeland Security, Janet Napolitano, was appointed by the UC Regents with the sole dissenting vote of Student Regent, Cinthia Flores, on September 18th as president of the University of California.

WHEREAS, the Council of the University of California Faculty Association (CUCFA) attest to the exclusion of UC faculty members in Ms. Napolitano’s nomination process.

WHEREAS, UAW Local 2865 is the UC Student Workers Union representing academic employees in the University of California “[calling] on a president devoted to rebuilding the capacity for teaching, research and learning -- not a specialist in cyber surveillance, law enforcement and border security.”
WHEREAS, undocumented students and allies rejected the appointment of Ms. Napolitano as UC President through official press releases, petition letters (Appendix A) and direct action during the UC Regents meeting in San Francisco on July 28, 2013, but have been continuously silenced throughout the process; and,

WHEREAS, the University of California Student Association (UCSA) officially “recognizes that the fears that undocumented students have expressed are real and legitimate regarding their safety, access and retention”; and,

WHEREAS, Ms. Napolitano has deemed current immigration policies and procedures of the Department of Homeland Security a success despite serious errors in apprehension priorities and detention procedures further putting into question her actions to champion immigrant rights; and,

WHEREAS, the University of California Office of the President has not prioritized any meeting dates whether arbitrary or specific with UC students, student organizations, student governments, elected officials of the USAC or UCSA to begin dialogues about the issues of California’s public educational system; and,

“Regents appoint UC’s first woman president”
http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/news/article/29782

9

The Council of UC Faculty Association, “CUFCA Statement on the selection of Janet Napolitano as Incoming UC President,” 2013,

10 UC Student Workes Union, “On the Recent Nomination of Janet Napolitano as UC President,” 2013,


12 From the statement of the UCSA President.

13 Elise Foley, “Janet Napolitano Defends Record on Immigration in Farewell Speech,” Aug. 2013,
http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2013/08/27/janet-napolitano_n_3822907.html

14 Aarti Kohli, Peter Markowitz, and Lisa Chavez. WHEREAS, the mission of the Office of the President is to “[help] give shape to a vision for the university, managing the activities that are central to UC’s public mission and essential to the idea of one university”15; and, whereas, there are an estimated 65,000 undocumented high school graduates each year, roughly 600 students are able attend college in the respective UC campuses by qualifying for AB 540; and,

WHEREAS, the passage of Assembly Bill 540, 130 and 131 have allowed undocumented students greater accessibility at the University of California; and,
WHEREAS, the undocumented student program

18 at the University of California, Los Angeles provides

undocumented students with academic, financial, legal and social support; and,

WHEREAS, the University of California, Los Angeles in providing aid to undocumented students
genuinely reflect the California Master Plan for Higher Education in which “the Master Plan created a

system that combined exceptional quality with broad access to higher education”19; and,

WHEREAS, students in the University of California, Los Angeles are beneficiaries of a long standing

history of political activism that continuously defines that the priorities of a public educational system

shall be the public; and,

WHEREAS, students across the UC campuses need a UC President that is transparent and accessible,

that can champion the needs of undocumented youth and immigrant communities, and that can preserve

the ideals of a public university; and,

WHEREAS, undocumented students from various UC Campuses have created a list of priorities for

University of California Office of the President:

a. Hold open town halls at both the Northern and Southern California region for the UC campuses and include meetings with undocumented student organizations during the campus visits,

b. Uphold that UC campuses remain a sanctuary and safe space for undocumented students,

As a sanctuary the UC:
• Will prohibit the use of UC funds or resources to assist Immigration and Customs Enforcement with arrests and the gathering or dissemination of information regarding the immigration status of an individual in all UC Campuses.

• Cannot disclose information regarding an individual's immigration status.

• Cannot condition services based on an individual’s immigration status.

15 University of California Office of the President, “Our Mission,” Regents of the University of California, 2012,


19 University of California Office of the President, “California Master Plan for Higher Education,” 1960,

http://ucfuture.universityofcalifornia.edu/documents/ca_masterplan_summary.pdf• Will prohibit the use of E-verify for UC employees.

• Will promote the employment of undocumented students on campus by providing them with an ID Number that will function as a work permit.

c. Hold mandatory annual trainings for UCPD on the rights of the undocumented students,

d. Ensure that the 287-G program and/or Secure Communities are not implemented on the UC campuses,
e. Ensure that general educational courses on undocumented/immigrant experience are available on all UC campuses,

f. Have mandatory staff specializing on recruitment and retention services for AB 540 students on every UC campus,

g. Prohibit the use of riot police on our campuses, which includes the use of S.W.A.T. teams and other police departments, to interfere during student acts of civil disobedience, protests, rallies, and sit ins,

h. Have UC staff training on the issues that AB540/undocumented students experience,

i. Support the Trust Act; and,

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council recognizes that the fears that undocumented students have expressed are real and legitimate regarding their safety, access and retention; and,

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council will pressure the UC Office of the President and UC officials to uphold the aforementioned priorities and demands; and,

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the External Vice President Maryssa Hall continue to advocate for undocumented students, immigrant communities, and allies on the University of California Student Association (UCSA) Board of Directors; and,

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council
urge the UCSA Board of Directors to take a stance against Ms. Janet Napolitano’s appointment and to

work together to achieve the aforementioned priorities and demands; and,

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council

External Vice President Maryssa Hall be tasked with communicating the stance taken by the

Undergraduate Student Association Council to the relevant parties; and,

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association

Council President John Joanino work with the UC Council of Presidents to communicate the stance

and demands taken by Undergraduate Student Association Council to the UC Office of the President;

and,

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council

stand in support of UC Student-Regent Cinthia Flores in her objection to the appointment of Ms. Janet Napolitano as UC President; and, THEREFORE BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council

support undocumented students, immigrant communities, and allies, and for the reasons outlined above,

the USAC express no confidence in Ms. Janet Napolitano’s ability to actualize the mission of the

University of California Office of the President until she fulfills the aforementioned demands. Appendix A

USAC Council, Take a Stance Against Napolitano

September 7, 2013
To the Undergraduate Student Association Council,

As the Undergraduate Student Association Council (USAC) and the elected officials of all undergraduate student at University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), we, your constituents, urge you to take a public stance against the appointment of Janet Napolitano as the President of the University of California. We expect your solidarity with the large undocumented community on our campus and their allies. UCLA is one of the largest and most influential UC campus and we feel that it is essential for USAC to take a stance on this appointment.

With the significant number of undocumented students enrolled at UCLA and Ms. Napolitano’s legacy of overseeing a record number of deportations, her appointment jeopardizes the safe educational environment that we expect of our university campus. Ms. Napolitano’s implementation of the Secure Communities Program is responsible for the deportation of many non-criminal undocumented immigrants and, consequently, the separation of many undocumented immigrant families. Considering the emotional stress that undocumented students and their family members will feel knowing that the person responsible for tearing their families apart is in charge of their future is incomparable. Her appointment will indisputably negatively impact the campus climate of our undocumented students, which make up a crucial part of our campus diversity.
As the appointment of Janet Napolitano is inevitable, we urge you to take the necessary steps to ensure that we keep her accountable to all UC students: in promoting safer campus climates, working with the undocumented students across all campuses, and ensuring the success of all UC students.

In solidarity,

Samahang Pilipino
Afrikan Student Union
Queer Alliance
Asian Pacific Coalition
American Indian Student Association
IDEAS at UCLA
MEChA de UCLA
Vietnamese Student Union

-Hall states before going to discussion she wants to focus on the demands and intentions about the resolution. She is on the board of directors and a council member, and students have contacted her and emailed her. She hopes it centers on concerns that students have. She wants to make sure to stay on track of the conversation.
-Joanino wants to preface it that even though if its one of the least agreeable, we must be respectful.
-Badalich stated they are not negating what Napolitano has done as governor in Arizona including the list of what Singh brought, but centering around the things that are problematic in her past. These are very specific especially for students are representing.
-Oved wants to talk about the no confidence issue. He wants to first and foremost talk about the no confidence clause.
-Joanino stated that it makes more sense to go through the demands than the no resolution.
-Hall states it makes sense for it to go in order of which it is written.
-Oved states he says it makes sense to start with the no resolution because that’s the most contentious.
-Oved states he has talked to Cynthia Flores and raised a couple of issues. No confidence means she cannot serve in her capacity as UC president, and we need a track record of her performance because she has been in office for three days. Oved states that the concerns of the undocumented community are legitimate as well as those who are allies.
At the CPO banquet, the USAC office wants to support everyone. However, the approach is different and that’s where the problems lie. She already has made visits to UC campuses, and that goes back to the whereas that states she is not outreaching. She met with Governor Jerry Brown and the student regent elect. She has met with AB540 students and set date to meet with UCSB and UCSC. Oved moves to strike the no confidence from the resolution.

-Jasso states that Joanino is the facilitator.

-Joanino states that because the demands are more agreeable, and if we can briefly run down those to understand what’s being asked. He thanks Avi for sharing, but must understand the bulk of the resolution. He asks if any folks have any comments about any demands.

-Hadjimanoukian states that g, especially going from safety standpoint of SWAT teams he doesn’t understand if she has power to do that.

-Hall states that first amendment of freedom of speech and assemble is protected. This isn’t saying they don’t want students to feel safe, but rather the exact opposite. They want students to feel safe. She states that when there was a regent meeting two years ago she felt criminalized as 38 full dressed policeman patting her down. If there was any point where students are unsafe, then by all means then the police should get involved. However, if it’s safe they should not be criminalized. The UCPD as a whole is in charge of it.

-Rogers said it doesn’t come off that way at all, but prohibit the use of riot police sounds dangerous. It needs to be clarified. We must be realistic on power.

-Kim states adding the word “peaceful” would solve the problem.

-Singh asks what other police departments refer to.

-Trumble states other police entities such as LAPD and other police from other areas.

-Singh states it makes it sound we are making demands of LAPD, which is in our power but is different. Often times students exhibit there right to freely assemble at places that are illegal such as those that did it on the intersection of Westwood and Wilshire. It seems that the language would prohibit stopping should read "Singh states it makes it sound we are making demands of LAPD, which is not in our power but is different. Often times students exhibit there right to freely assemble at places that are illegal such as those that did it on the intersection of Westwood and Wilshire. It seems that the language would prohibit stopping.

-Nelson states that he was here in 1970s when LAPD came on campus and it was terrible. They went into the library and hitting students. After that incident, there has been an understanding as UCPD and never LAPD that is invited. When police come from elsewhere they come from any other UC campus. LAPD is not invited.

-Oved makes a friendly amendment to strike “WHEREAS, the University of California Office of the President has not prioritized any meeting dates whether arbitrary or specific with UC students, student organizations, student governments, elected officials of the USAC or UCSA to begin dialogues about the issues of California’s public educational system; and,” because this isn’t true.

-The authors accept the amendments.

-Oved states rather than holding open town halls, rather change it to encourage.

-Naameh says changing the words would be unnecessary. Instead of encouraging it is an expectation.
- Oved agrees.
- Oved asks about sanctuary.
- Trumble states that based on Will prohibit the use of UC funds or resources to assist Immigration and Customs Enforcement with arrests and the gathering or dissemination of information regarding the immigration status of an individual in all UC Campuses. Cannot disclose information regarding an individual's immigration status. Cannot condition services based on an individual’s immigration status
Will prohibit the use of E-verify for UC employees. Will promote the employment of undocumented students on campus by providing them with an ID Number that will function as a work permit
- Oved states that prohibiting e-verify is dangerous because some people are sexual offenders.
- Geller asks about the sanctuary statement. Is there currently some sort of statement or document that states it is a sanctuary. If so, should there be a citation and if not instead of remain it’s a forward. She states E-verify is not a background check, but a social security check. It is made for federal grant funding. Geller agrees that it appears vague, but talking about protected protests it’s different than civil disobedience. It is often an intentional choice to be arrested as part of the statement. There should always be peaceful opportunities to do protests or rally to have points heard, and then to peacefully disburse without being an issue. However for those that made a choice they are going to disobey and choose to be arrested is different. She states there might be better ways to word it to separate an inappropriate and an appropriate activity.
- Joanino asks about any recommendations about e-verify clause.
- Singh states that after g it should be opened up in anything.
- Hadjimanoukian states to insert the word peaceful and take out civil disobedience.
- Nelson states that UCPD is extremely good compared to other UCs. Yes we had problems, but nothing comparable to Davis and the use of pepper spray. There were very few arrests during the riots in Covel. When he seems the term SWAT and riot police, that tends to have a negative connotation. He can be the police’s worst enemy. However the thing that is most resentful, and they comply they just walk away. The lack of respect never harbors well with the police. In the context, he wants to think of terms in UCLA police. HE just wanted to say that the police should be case specific.
- Trumble wants to clarify point C about holding mandatory annual training for UCPD on the rights of the undocumented students. We heard it today that Latino or Latina descent are not the only generation. She wants to change the “hold mandatory sensitivity annual trainings for UCPD to mitigate racial profiling.
- Oved states that council should attend the sensitivity workshops. We should not only talk but go to the
- Hall states she knows about all the heads of the UCPD and has been working with them since last year.
- Badalich states he’s bringing something to make a personal jab and to make a point but must take into the resolution
- Oved states if we are holding people accountable its relevant and we should live up to the standards
- Joanino said point of order to move the resolution forward.
- Trumble makes a friendly amendment to read “hold mandatory annual sensitivity training for UCPD to mitigate racial profiling.”
- The coauthors accepted.
- Hadjiminakouian friendly amended to take out civil disobedience and add peaceful.
- Singh states that Nelson made good points and recommends that we can change the first three clauses, and instead of SWAT and other police departments, but rather the UCPD.
- Hall respectfully disagrees simply because if UCPD is already the overall police department, what would then be the issue of it inherently written to ensure that these measures aren’t taken. She brings up the point of the Davis pepper spray. She doesn’t want people to think that UCPD is perfect. Doesn’t want to discount the fact of UCPD brutality and have been endangered on UCLA’s campus. What is the harm then if we make it clear the demands we had. This is a know your rights issue. This is a student’s should know that the UCPD is the first team to response. 911 goes to UCPD not LAPD.
- Lazarovici makes a general comment and there are two types of people in the world. The first are those who feel safer with an officer of the law as a middle class white woman and loved seeing them stop cell phone talkers. There are other people who see a police officer feel as if their safety is threatened because of the experiences with law enforcement. It really depends on experience with law enforcement. She believes in civil disobedience because that’s the only way things have changed. Peaceful protest is great but often times it takes civil disobedience.
- Arce states he’s had cops stop him because he was wearing a hoodie, he was driving a pick up truck, he was at the park. To be honest, we must talk about that people are overseeing it or will take part of it when there is peaceful protest. At the end of the day, it’s people of color who are doing this. He definitely agrees with the sensitivity trainings. He supports clause g.
- Hadjimanoikian understands the point and half the act of going down is going down.
- It’s always up to discretion on how you want to determine it.
- Hall states in here it doesn’t say UCPD can’t act. It’s saying that we do not want SWAT teams or other police departments to be brought in. The way it is written is for the safety of all students on campus. If there’s an excessive amount, other UCPD would be brought in. We wanted to ensure that students are being safe and if they want to get arrested then they could by other UCPD.
- Oved friendly amends “Prohibit the use of riot police on our campuses, which includes the use of S.W.A.T. teams with the exception of UCPD and other police departments, to interfere during student acts of civil disobedience, protests, rallies, and sit ins.”
- Trumble states her concerns are that UCPD were using tear gas and that doesn’t mitigate it
- Joanino states that we are not doing this clause to exclude UCPD but doesn’t want to incorporate UCPD
- Oved understands but it is not representative
- Hall states the immediate response would be UCPD and the immediate response would be UCPD and that would be the status quo.
- Oved states it must be more clear.
- Singh wants to strike the term civil disobedience
- Joanino wants Singh to clarify between civil disobedience and peaceful protests
Singh states there are times where they peacefully disburse, and when they choose not to that becomes an act of civil disobedience and at that point there should be an action taken. By striking that civil disobedience, we allow UCPD to maintain and do their job which he thinks is a great way to manage different instances.

- Trumble states it is super relevant. Rather than saying civil disobedience, it will be “non violent students act of civil disobedience”
- Oved says the confusion is the protocol
- Trumble states they aren’t talking about riots but riot police.
- Zimmerman states that other police departments, UCPD could be lumped into. Maybe we can just clarify others. We can state other non-UC police departments and can keep civil disobedience.
- The authors agree to add “other non-UC police departments.”
- Oved states that the diversity requirement through the academic senate is in accord with “ensuring general educational courses on undocumented/immigrant experience.”
- Joanino states the general phrase undocumented experience, but goes back to the fact that ethnic studies is super significant. You must recognize how important these classes are and touches on the issue of ethnic studies being cut. He wants to bring up the point that yes it happens through state wide academic senate, but he is uncomfortable saying that Napolitano has no power.
- Kim suggests rather than ensure to stay promote.
- The coauthors agree
  - Joanino asks about wrapping around demands.
  - Ramalho asks about where it’s said as a sanctuary.
  - Hall states that it’s a double entendre. A sanctuary is where it is safe for someone, and then goes to define sanctuary. There is the creation of a sanctuary in the sense where students do feel that they can feel safe because that’s where they are supposed to with academic freedom.
  - Joanino thinks it’s critical to clarify sanctuary.
  - Joanino brings back the conversation about e-verify.
  - Oved friendly amends to say “sanctuary status” rather than remains a sanctuary.
  - Trumble states “uphold UC campuses exist as a sanctuary”
  - Rogers states she doesn’t like the wording.
  - Ramalho wants to know the difference of safe space and sanctuary because it leads to confusion. He recommends adding the word status or striking sanctuary.
  - Oved friendly amends that “UC campus as a sanctuary status.”
  - The coauthors agree
    - Hadjimanoukian asks about the word status if there is no established status
    - Kim states the wording works fine
    - Hall states that sanctuary status means that no local or state funds will uphold federal policies.
    - Trumble says status fulfills the things listed before.
    - Joanino brings up e-verify.
    - Oved makes the friendly amendment to strike “will prohibit the use of E-verify for UC employees”
    - Singh agrees with Oved and it’s problematic because it also relates to how we perceive federal funds. The reasons Napolitano was appointed was because of the federal
government, and federal funding is key to the success of the university. To put that in jeopardy would be dangerous.

- The coauthors agree to strike “will prohibit the use of E-verify for UC employees.”
- Champawat states some of the demands will cost money to meet. One of the great challenges is the dramatic increase in fees because of the collapse of state support for the university. Something to touch with every student is fees, money, and funding. Training hundreds and thousands of employees is costly. He for one, would love to have some confidence with negotiate with legislator to get dramatic increasing in funding would aspire to have great success.
- Joanino talks about therefore
  - Oved states he really likes the first therefore about legitimate causes, the second about the demands and be it resolved. However, the third with “that the External Vice President Maryssa Hall continue to advocate for undocumented students, immigrant communities, and allies on the University of California Student Association (UCSA) Board of Directors;” and “that the Undergraduate Student Association Council President John Joanino work with the UC Council of Presidents to communicate the stance and demands taken by Undergraduate Student Association Council to the UC Office of the President” because those are the definitions of roles. Also, it should be long lasting. He makes a friendly amendment to strike them and their names.
  - Joanino states that his clause will work with the UC Council of Presidents to reevaluate and initiate a change in appointment process.
  - The authors agree
- Hall states that yes that is her role, but having backing from associations and council acts as insurance and has been given the task explicitly. This is leverage for UCSA as well as speaking for UCOP. The reason that they are mentioned is because they are the only ones connected with external relations. She understands long lasting but taking out their names, but it is important to define roles so they ensure in the future to make it a priority.
- Oved agrees that there is a call to action and it needs to be, and advocating is sort of vague. He questions what are you missing.
- Haws stated that it’s a clarification on her job and why she’s advocating.
- Hall states that she can advocate for students on multiple ways whether it be on the table or with Napolitano. Although it is broad, she likes it because it’s a wide range. She is willing to strike the clause “communicating the stance taken by the undergraduate student association council to the relevant parties; and”
- Nelson recommends striking their name
- The authors agree to strike their names
- Oved states that “THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council President John Joanino work with the UC Council of Presidents to communicate the stance and demands taken by Undergraduate Student Association Council to the UC Office of the President; and, THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council stand in support of UC Student-Regent Cinthia Flores in her objection to the appointment of Ms. Janet Napolitano as UC President; and, THEREFORE BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council support undocumented students, immigrant communities, and allies, and for the reasons outlined above, the USAC express no confidence in Ms. Janet Napolitano’s ability to actualize the mission of the
University of California Office of the President until she fulfills the aforementioned demands.” Are all interwoven. If this resolution is trying to propose no confidence, it doesn’t make sense to support Cynthia in rejecting her department.

-Badalich states in regards to Flores’ rejection was because of the student document outcry of the general feeling of lack of safety and inclusivity. The way that it follows is that is the exact reason they bring the entire resolution forward. Flores’ reasoning was because of the student voice.

-Jasso said on the phone that voting against it is different than voting no confidence. No confidence means she doesn’t have the capability to do her job. However, she doesn’t have a track record. You don’t have to vote no confidence to get the message across, but showing the demands is just as powerful. He thinks its dangerous because she has power and influence and is political savvy. He questions why she is the enemy if we meet her with proper outreach.

-Hall states also disagrees that saying no and no confidence are different. She spoke to Flores before and after her meeting with Napolitano, and although they recognize her willingness but they are cautiously optimistic through the implementation of our demands. The clause itself doesn’t solely we don’t trust your ability, but they believe she doesn’t have the ability until she meets the demands. These demands are ways to rectify the situation. Those students that had the meeting who voted no confidence stand by their choice.-Jasso states there needs to be a citation with Cynthia Flores’. She thought this conversation was awkward because of confusion of no confidence. Such as Avi’s status of having no confidence of ability at all, and then the other is lack of The term “vote of no confidence” is not used or defined anywhere in RONR, and there is no mention of any motion for such a vote. However, this does not mean that an assembly cannot adopt a motion, if it wishes, expressing either its confidence or lack of confidence in any of its officers or subordinate boards or committees. Any such motion would simply be a main motion, and would have no effect other than to express the assembly's views concerning the matter. A vote of “no confidence” does not -- as it would in the British Parliament -- remove an officer from office.”

-Joanino states they must really clarify what they mean by no confidence.

-Trumble states it’s a lack of confidence to fulfill the visions until she fulfills the demands. They’re saying they lack confidence until they prove this to us until they fulfill demands.

- Hadjimanoukian states changing the word no confidence to something else such as “we don’t have confidence until demands are met.”

-Singh states that the UC’s mission is teaching, research, and public service and her role as a governor doesn’t apply. However, her role as a governor is relevant in terms of funding higher education and research at a time where the entire economy of the country is in a free-fall. It speaks volumes and to disregard that is unfair" should read "Singh states that the UC’s mission is teaching, research, and public service and her role as a governor does apply and her role as a governor does apply and her role as a governor role as a governor is relevant in terms of funding higher education and research at a time where the entire economy of the country is in a free-fall. It speaks volumes and to disregard that is unfair.

-Badalich states that they aren’t disregarding it, and they’re not saying she lacks competency or she’s a terrible person, but here are some specific points that are problematic and here are ways they can remedy the situation based on the track record.
They aren’t shutting the door, but we want to make sure it’s a safe space and here’s how you can do it tangibly.

- Naameh states yes she has relevant experience, but you don’t commend a UC president on why she got the job. What they have an issue with is her track record and deeds and that’s why they have been responding to the constituents. She doesn’t understand why they won’t commend her but they want to address what makes her unsafe.

- Lazarovici states there seems to be a bright line between moderates and radicals. She says the word is radical a compliment. She wants to make a radical argument against the phrase no confidence. When she hears that phrase, it means exactly what Cynthia described of parliamentary system of government and the no confidence vote has a real life effect in Belgium, Israel, and Italy with a very specific meaning. When she first read the document she saw the internal battle of the list of very specific demands and no confidence. What she saw, if there going to have demands then that opens up a space for interaction. We want these eight things to happen, lets talk about whether you want these eight things. A vote of no confidence means “Janet Napolitano we don’t want you to be UC president and we are going to do whatever it takes that you are not the UC president.”

  She states you must ask yourself if you are going to dog her every place she goes and pound her out of office, and are you willing to campaign that? If that’s what you want, you should organize to do it and should be serious about it. However, that is a different beast than here are 8-9 specific things we wants because at some point we have to work it out because we’re stuck with you and you’re stuck with us. When Jerry Brown was running against Meg Whitman they chased her around and an important force of her not winning the election, and these campaigns do work. She has been reflecting on the role of anger and negative emotions in social movements. That is something that is very draining over a long period of time. You all are going to be doing this kind of work for your entire lives, and there is going to be a certain point where it’s exhausting to the people around you when you are continually motivated by anger. We are all going to have find external outposts. She wonders if there is a way to take all of that discussion you had about the demands and say something that is optimistic about this to withhold judgment about tenure until you meet these demands to really challenge the paradigm of anger as a motivating force.

- Nelson states that you can say we have concerns, and the use of language is extremely important and everyone at the table has expressed they have concerns. That doesn’t mean everyone has no confidence. When I hear no confidence I hear “I want you to be gone.” The term concern shows that you have the desire to dialogue. He doesn’t think any one should be fired after three days. They want to have a dialogue to get it off their chest and find out what their deals are to regroup. He can see the term “concerns” but cannot see the term “no confidence.”

- Singh states that going back to Hadjiminakouian and Nelson, the language needs to be changed. The reason he brought her tenure because it relates to the language. He agrees concerns.

- Rogers views that we cant look at the impact of our council but the long term implications because to complete this list of demands may take a while and it may harm future relationships with councils because no confidence is bold. She would not agree with no confidence.
-Kim states that it’s a complete shame that this entire table is divided by two words, “no confidence.” She agrees that its fairly and beautifully written, and the two words “no confidence” are harsh and abrasive. She doesn’t want this to be a resolution because of a majority, those two words must be amended at least.
-Oved has a friendly amendment to state THEREFORE BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council support undocumented students, immigrant communities, and allies, and for the reasons outlined above, the USAC expects Ms. Janet Napolitano’s to meet these demands to actualize the mission of the University of California Office of the President until she fulfills the aforementioned demands” He doesn’t care about what other UC’s did, but allows UCLA on her radar and there is no way to ignore it.
-Jasso states saying that dismissing the other UC’s is disrespectful. She thinks we completely missed one of Lazarovici suggestions because it takes away power. Perhaps changing the wording to concerns, and coming back to the resolution that where we revisit it if not met to give the opportunity for the council to come back to then give a no confidence vote or be open to the council the future.
-Joanino friendly amends that THEREFORE BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council support undocumented students, immigrant communities, and allies, and for the reasons outlined above, the USAC believes it is critical for Ms. Napolitano to fulfills the aforementioned demand to actualize the mission of the UCs”
-The coauthors agreed because it is the same sentiment and it will garner more response than having a divided table.
-Hall states as a radical she agrees with the vote of no confidence, but as a representative it is a shame that no confidence that is continuously hung up on. She very much likes the demand that Joanino proposed and focuses back on the demands we have.
-Haws states he likes the conclusion we reached to strike no confidence, and he would hate that no confidence would be confusing for others and it emits an optimistic vibe.
-Oved states it is autonomous UC and he agrees with Joanino's revision.
-Oved proposes to strike “THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council urge the UCSA Board of Directors to take a stance against Ms. Janet Napolitano’s appointment and to work together to achieve the aforementioned priorities and demands; and,”
-Geller states that you refer to her as Ms. Janet Napolitano and by the time you get to the final paragraph, she is already the paragraph. In the final paragraph rather than saying Ms. Napolitano to President Napolitano.
-The coauthors agree.
-Nelson states that he is happy that language is mitigated and softened to establish a great relationship to be on board to help those students who are undocumented. If the stone is going to be thrown, let the other party to throw the stone. Language is so important because it conveys the idea to cooperate and can be adversarial. He is happy to see that the language is chosen.
-Rogers states its best to outreach to the student body before voting. Singh seconds.
-Trumble wants to fix the last clause before we go back.
- Oved suggests “THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council urge the UCSA Board of Directors to uphold Ms. Janet Napolitano to the aforementioned priorities and demands; and,”
- Trumble suggests “THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council urge the UCSA Board of Directors to hold Ms. Janet Napolitano accountable to the fulfillment of the aforementioned priorities and demands; and,”
- The coauthors agree
- Jasso states that a community form happened before on the table, and it’s troublesome to do a community forum that already descended abrasiveness. She finds it confusing that everyone would work so hard to delay it for community forum. That personal interaction should’ve been happening already.
- Oved states that a lot of changes have been made and they would feel comfortable voting and solidifying once its on a document.
- Hadjimanoukian states with the minutes
- Jasso clarifies they want to vote twice to confirm the changes and then next week to see it in a giant document.
- Hall states that they project them to color code and change them, and perhaps that they could be projected from the authors. If we address these concerns and I’m comfortable on voting on this resolution and delaying a vote any further would be not logical.
- Trumble wants to clarify we vote tonight and just wants to second that it becomes less controversial/
- Ramalho says when we vote on it we have to read on it again and why we re-vote. We should vote on it tonight.
- Oved states that they don’t want to redistribute but they made a lot of changes and approve the final amendments.
- Kim moves to approve the final draft of the resolution.
- Hadjimanoukian seconds.
- Singh asks if Hall struck her name.
- Hall agrees.
- Joanino reads with all the amendments:
- Oved asks why we’re rejecting the appointment of Cinthia Flores
- Hall stated that the reason Flores voted no was because of the outcry of students against her appointment. If anything, it will challenge Napolitano because we are in solidarity with Napolitano.
- Oved makes a friendly amendment that “THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council stand in support of UC Student-Regent Cinthia Flores to advocate for undocumented communities,”
- Naameh says its sounds silly because we support students concerns and safety because she is the student regent.
- Jasso states you need an agenda
- Oved makes a friendly amendment to say support Cinthia Flores to advocate for communities” because saying “support her objection”
- Arce says saying recognizes is redundant, and perhaps rather than commend
- Jasso asks if other members
- Haws say we are supporting those issues we had at the time.
-Singh says Oved brings up a good point to start up on a positive foot with the new UC president and doesn’t follow the message. The last amendment if it were to be accepted, would be a good compromise.

-Trumble asks about the last amendment.

-Singh states “support Cinthia Flores as an advocate for undocumented communities and allies.”

-Hall states that this in particular is bringing me back to public comment where there were several students in which relationship you’re prioritizing. Cinthia Flores says she prioritized students, and to word it so that Napolitano feels comfortable and it says a lot about who are we appealing to, Napolitano or the constituents? She personally feels that Flores’ voted because she’s at the table and are able to make the decisions and keep that in mind.

-Trumble states it’s important to further legitimizes our student regent than our UCEOP. She doesn’t need to be legitimized. There will always be concerned about student interactions because students always have to be fully recognized as legitimate governing sources and really support. If this one clause will shatter the relationship, then if this one clause will shatter the relationship then we need to reevaluate it.

-Nelson asks if cautiously optimistic could be coupled and on one hand there is room for some optimism.

-Hall states that she was reading from other UC’s. “We are cautiously optimistic about the outcome of the meeting”

-Oved makes the friendly amendment “THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council respect the decision UC Student-Regent Cinthia Flores in her objection to the appointment of Ms. Janet Napolitano as UC President; and,”

-The coauthors do not accept the friendly amendment because “respect” is a hands off approach and we’re looking for a weaker message. She said she would feel more comfortable in saying support because it already has been done and she was acting in her position to support the students. She thinks there is value in keeping it.

-Kim moves to approve the resolution and its amendments. Hadjimanoukian seconds. 12-0-0 the resolution passes.

XI. Announcements

-Jasso states that we are all in dire need of Robert’s rule of order workshop. We have to take this action, and tell Avi Oved to organize it. She will be presenting alternate members.

-Hall states that she was emailed about a blood-drive but if it was a council wide effort. She wanted to express it to council, but she wants everyone to be cognizant of the fact that those aren’t allowed to donate blood because of various reasons such as the lgbt community. This would be great to promote donating blood and have larger dialogue around implications about how different communities are affected.

-Arce states they trained 170 project directors

-Trumble states that the CAC funds are up.

-Badalich stated that the SWC programming fund is available with up to $500 for student wellness. She’s meeting with intramural sports leaders tomorrow talking about 7000 in solidarity and how as student leaders they must understand student resources. She is talking to the GSA president Nicole to get their solidarity. After the article that was
published in the daily bruin, she was reached out by so many different people and specifically from students ASU, UNC, USC, SFSU, Occidental, American and reaches out to create their own campaign. Next week is mental health week and tons of mental health activities everyday.

-Zimmerman said the Green Initiative CS Mini Fund of $500 is available.
-Oved says SOOF is due this Friday at 5pm.
-Jasso states that BOD applications are due at 5pm. On October 16, 2013 the finance committee will be hosting all USAC funding workshop.
-Hall states that October 4, 2013 on Friday the applications for internships are due.
-Joanino said the drop-the I word resolution there is a pledge

XII. Signing of the Attendance Sheet
*The attendance sheet was passed around.*

XIII. Adjournment
Ramalho moves to adjourn. Arce seconds.
Meeting adjourned 10:30 pm.

XIV. Good and Welfare