

Finalized

Approved October 15, 2013

AGENDA
UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION COUNCIL
Kerckhoff Hall 417
October 8, 2013
7:00 PM

PRESENT: John Joanino, Avi Oved, Maryssa Hall, Lauren Rogers, Darren Ramalho, Jessica Trumble, Armen Hadjimanoukian, Omar Arce, Sam Haws, Savannah Badalich, Jessica Kim, Lizzy Naameh, Sunny Singh, Cynthia Jasso, Patty Zimmerman, Laureen Lazarovici, Dr. Berky Nelson, Dr. Debra Geller, Danielle Dimacali

ABSENT:

GUESTS: Betty Nguyen, Aurelia Friedman, Fay Wu, Rick Matsumoto, James Cevallos

I. Call to Order

-Joanino calls the meeting to order at 7:02 PM

-Joanino passes around the attendance sheet.

II. A. Approval of the Agenda

-Ramalho moves to strike SFAC appointment. Oved seconds.

-Oved moves to approve. Singh seconds.

12-0-0 agenda is approved.

III. Approval of the Minutes from October 1, 2013.

-Trumble calls to question. Singh seconds.

12-0-0 minutes are approved.

IV. Public Comments

Rick, first year transfer student

He was here last week observing rather intense meeting last week, but he wants to tell everyone what an exceptional job that they did. Looking at what the students wanted and carrying out in a matter that works for all, not just what students wanted but for the entire system without burning bridges and how it can actually improve our ties to create a better future. Thank you all.

Jaimeson Cortez, 2nd year polisci major

He is here to speak on behalf of the Samahang Pilipino. He wasn't aware but after attending UCSA congress he is financial coordinator and saw the decrease in funding to access and retention. SPACE only has enough funding for one quarter, and he really feels that the California budget and its prioritization of incarceration is unacceptable. He wants council to take this heavily, and many people in the community have a dear see.

Eric Adams

He was a chair of Bruin Alliance, a lot of queer youth become homeless in their life which affects their middle and high school education. 40% of homeless youth are actually

queer, and if you think about homeless youth and the prison industrial complex, the social ramifications make it difficult for matriculate. Coming from the standpoint of African American male, having been part of the GATE program and never hearing at it again, there are a lot of issues in terms of funding for youth of color. It was hard for him to feel like he could finish his high school education. He could be flipping burgers, or worst in jail. The IGNITE campaign is for students to become productive members of society.

Cynthia Jasso

-She got her letter for parent's weekend, but as she was walking to campus she was struck by the idea that parents that have disabilities will have a hard time getting around campus because its not clearly marked. This isn't a walker friendly campus. She really wanted to call on the council on a more personal level. One of her top choices was the committee for disability because there isn't enough visibility for disability and she can only empathize the struggle to get around the campus. She was hoping as a council, at the very least, repaint the blue lanes so that our student's during parents' weekend or the students themselves can navigate our campus and feel like it's home. It probably doesn't feel like home if you're being driven around everywhere. It's a simple project and she will be dedicated to it and urges council to do it as a human being for any general community member. Today in Moore hall there was an assault around 10 pm, and she wanted to tell council because it directly relates to 7000 in solidarity. There was a female struck in the face repeatedly, and the perpetrator was arrested. The professor talked about what it's like to be an effective bystander, and there were only two people who stopped. To hear Professor Howard bring it to light made her feel really good about the work, and for once she feels really honored to sit on the table and wants to thank everyone.

V. Special Presentations

No special presentations this week

VI. Appointments

A. Assistant Budget Review Director – Nicolas Valencia

-In the bylaws as an action item because it's a payroll item. There is no formal recommendation. He is a member of lambda chi alpha and is passionate about finance.

-Jasso says she needs a lot of help.

-Haws states he is extremely qualified.

-Rogers says he works in the office.

-Ramalho moves to appoint Nicolas Valencia. Hadjiminaoukin seconds.

11-0-1 he is appointed.

B. Undergraduate Council – Dana Pedde

-Ramalho states this position for undergraduate council reviews undergraduate policy such as online education and the diversity requirement. Dana will be the second to go through.

-Oved states she got a 3-0-0 ARC recommendation and had no recommendations, but the concern might be that she's overcommitted. Other than that she is well spoken and well qualified.

Dana Pedde walks in.

-Pede introduces herself as a fourth year applied mathematic major and education minor and is appointed to be a student representative to undergraduate council. Her passion for education, social justice, and representing students and is looking forward to getting a head start to be a representative

-Trumble asks why she wants this position

-Pede says its appealing because it's the most general and there's a lot that can happen. The size of the council may be overwhelming, but it offers the greatest transparency and opportunity and she has so much flexibility rather than pigeon holed into a particular issue. Education is her issue and allows her to address anything.

-Haws asks about time management with her other commitments.

-Pede says she loves over committing herself, and all her time commitments fit together and if she has meetings schedules it forces her to be more proactive and effective. Definitely something she mastered during elections. This is a relatively lower time commitment and she doesn't see a problem sparing time for it.

-Pede asks if anything council is looking for, and what specifically can she do to execute their vision.

-Badalich states that using her own experience and own understanding of applied mathematics and education and taking that to council.

-Jasso states more work on the diversity requirement.

-Geller states that it's a great opportunity faculty understand what USAC does, and maybe even find anyone interested to serve as the faculty rep.

Pede walks in.

-Rogers states she talked to her over summer and she is so passionate about education, and she will do an incredible job and balancing her time commitments.

-Naameh moves to approve Dana Pede for undergraduate council. Haws seconds.
12-0-0 Dana Pede is appointed.

C. Undergraduate Council – TBD

-

D. Committee on Undergraduate Admissions and Relations with Schools – TBD

-f

E. The Green Initiative Fund – TBD

-f

VI. Officer and Member Reports

A. President – John Joanino

-Joanino stated he had a meeting with Raajah and looks to make changes to bruin card to make it more relevant more than ID and identity and reform to include relevant safety information. One of the policy changes that must be implemented is a preferred name policy; it's a policy that doesn't exist despite one of the top 10 trans friendly campus. The preferred name policy is a safety issue. Something his office is looking to for preferred name and extends far beyond LGBTQ community, but also international community. An update with 7000 in solidarity, and making the web competition with 915 likes. We are starting a photo campaign and had a meeting with Montera and having a meeting about

university response and a volunteer session from 6-7. Yesterday, they had a call in for Zev Yourslavi to end sheriff violence, it really got a lot of good press such as USC. They were jealous they weren't doing anything considering they are another LA institution. We have a meeting with Napolitano on Friday.

-Zimmerman asks about the photo campaign, and if it's going to be displayed for the art gallery availability to print pictures and display in the art gallery. It's really powerful.

-Badalich states that's what is planned, from a social media campaign to an actual one for week 8.

-Zimmerman said she can help with the prints.

B. Internal Vice President – Avi Oved

-Oved stated that SOOF is over and received over 230 applications with a 35% increase over last year. He gives a shout out to FSC. Again, it doesn't stop here because there's a wave winter quarter and getting students learn how to fill their recs and get the money out. Him and his safety directors met with office of emergency management, basically the department that responds to emergency crises such as bruin alerts and skeptical people in the area. They create the designs of how to tackle safety issues and carry out every leadership level. One thing he wants to implement is a safety walk to invite student leaders with administrators to walk around campus at night with us to pinpoint the different safety hazards. This has been done at Tulane University and it would be a cool physical hazards to administrators. They will have an interactive safety map online that will be a UCLA map split in quadrants and say which specific areas they feel unsafe and different campus issues relative to a specific part of campus that is in the developmental process. Hopefully this will be on the hill. Tomorrow he is meeting with Nancy Greenstien to move forward with safety initiatives such as diversity workshops and new projects in addition to mobile panic button safety application. The transparency task force has met with some council, and this is an opportunity to discuss how you're spending money and increasing transparency where the student fees are going. By no means is it used to vilify, but want students to know where their money is going. He asks council to please respond to meet with Ken.

-Jasso asks about the safety walk, and it reminded her of walk a mile in your shoes campaign. Walk A Mile is targeted to men where they walk in her shoes so they can get a campus or city be aware of issues that women face. The safety walk just totally reminded her of that, it would be really cool. Jasso asks if the transparency report would be mandatory, and hopefully consider that a big portion doesn't just go to USAC office but other entities. If it's a true transparency report it will consider everything.

-Oved states it isn't mandatory, but when it is published in Daily Bruin it will say you did not participate. It is completely up to them. They are following the student fees, not just USAC, but fees across campus with different referendums. It brings another point to get in a contact with referendum holders to see the different ones in bruinbill account and what they plan to do in the future as a sort of checks of balances.

-Badalich asks when the safety walk is.

-Oved states he is meeting with Greenstein tomorrow and will let everyone know as soon as possible, and everyone on council will get an invitation.

-Badalich states there will be a domestic violence walk on November in Drake, and she really likes the campaign but it is very gendered but she wants to be more conclusive.

- Joanino said Kara may plan a walk a mile in her shoes.
- Jasso states that it will be cool to consider getting the funding chairs involved.
- Zimmerman says perhaps they want to have a meeting with Jasso, Debbie Simmons, and whoever is in charge with task force because you need expertise to explain why funds are used for certain items. The best understanding should explain how everything works to ensure everyone is on the same page.
- Halls says she echoes what Zimmerman said and looks forward to meeting with Ken and explaining complexity that is the EVP budget.
- Naameh states at first she was a little put off by Ken's email, but then realized it was a platform. In the future, maybe transition to an independent party so people aren't weirded about the email.
- Oved stated that Daily Bruin will be part of the process, and will interview him.
- Jasso says she finds it problematic, and there was something similar in editorial with creating this independent body. She never wants funding to be tied to an office that is elected. She loves the idea though, and thinks it can work. It must be done by people that know and understanding funding with a little more depth. She thanks him for doing this.

C. External Vice President – Maryssa Hall

- Hall stated that this past weekend she was at UC Riverside and UCSA board meeting. She said that UCSA board meeting is interesting and invites council to go. A lot of things happened, and passed a resolution to increase UC presidential appointment transparency and have details for what it will look like in the future sent to regents and office of the president. They passed a resolution in support of the demands for undocumented students, and have been making these demands since August. UCLA did such a good job of consensus building and having that three hour long conversation made UCSA feel more comfortable passing the resolution. Along with Joanino, she will be meeting with Napolitano and will be having lunch together. In the meantime she has been meeting with a variety of student groups to recognize that everyone is being engaged. She sends an invitation to all of council in case any concerns want to be heard. Sadia Saffoodid, new student regent designate, will be on campus with light refreshments. She will have a vote for next year, and has direct access and connection to UCOP. The SOCC website is now live, you can sign up for workshops, volunteer, publicize, and let people know about it. She wants to follow up where she asked everyone to forward the email. One of the tactics EVP uses is the power of networks and connections with grass root organizing skills. It's really powerful if everyone as council can publicize to their specific networks to push for Governow Brown to support these 2 specific legislations, part of the overall campaign of IGNITE. This must be done as soon as possible because these bills are on his desk and he has until this Sunday to sign them.
- Singh asks for the website
- Hall states socc.ucla.com

D. Academic Affairs Commissioners -- Daren Ramalho

- Ramalho met with Water, UCLA registrar regarding transfer workshops and online. He test drove the new my.ucla.edu and as of next year there will be no such thing as an ursa and will be on one thing. It's a lot more smooth and wont have to be redirected for four different tabs. In late October it will be changed.

- Badalich asks if parents are still able to go in and pay.
- Ramalho says there is still a third party service.
- Halls says half the campus is on DARS and the other half is DPRS, and how will it affect it?
- Ramalho states he doesn't know for sure, but it looks really good. He says staff retreat was in his office and newcomers learn about the resolutions and other offices. This Thursday is the diversity dinner from 6-7:30 and hopes that people RSVP'd. His academic appointment orientation training will be this Thursday from 5-6 from academic senate and vice prose and will be reviewing some expectations of academic appointments throughout the year. The undergraduate writing center wants to outreach to transfer students. He will be meeting with Pat Turner regarding the writing center after he meets with President Napolitano.

E. Administrative Representative

Lauren Lazarovici, Alumni Representative

- Lazarovici just wanted to say she was really excited about the preferred name policy and she saw someone give a presentation about it and it was really interesting to watch the dynamic.

VII. Fund Allocations

A. Contingency Programming

- Ramalho moves to approve contingency. Haws seconds.
 - A total of \$3,953.00 approved. There is a total of 60, 390.92 left in contingency.
- Ramalho moved to approve, Arce seconded.
- 9-0-3 it is approved.

IX. Old Business

IX. New Business

A. IGNITE Resolution

- Halls introduced the resolution, Haws seconds.
- Trumble moves to recess for 5 minutes. Hall seconds.

A Resolution in Support of the IGNITE (Invest in Graduations, Not Incarceration, Transform Education) Campaign and UC Diversity Pipeline

Authored by Maryssa Hall, Sponsored by Omar Arce, Lizzy Naameh, & Jessica Trumble

WHEREAS, the state of California houses one of the largest prison populations in the nation and has the highest recidivism rate in the nation at 67.5%, leading to such

overcrowding that the U.S. Supreme Court mandated steps be taken towards reducing the prison population in order to comply with Constitutional standards; and

1

WHEREAS, California spends more on prisons than on education, spending \$47,102 per inmate compared to \$8,482 per student, ranking 49th in the nation in adjusted per pupil expenditures, and the funding for both components are inversely linked; and

WHEREAS, the majority of inmates in California prisons are charged with nonviolent, low level offenses, with 31% being drug related; and 4

WHEREAS, in 2012, Latinos made up 43.7% of the California inmate population and 38.2% of California's population, and African American males comprised 25.4% of the inmate population when African Americans only make up 6.6% of California's population; and 5 6

WHEREAS, young men of color are disproportionately represented in prisons, with 3 out of every 4 inmates being men of color, due to institutional barriers and the school to prison pipeline, preventing them from pursuing higher education; and5

WHEREAS, wrongful suspensions due to ambiguous suspension regulations and

definitions for “willful defiance” often lead to a disproportionate number of queer youth and youth of color being filtered into the criminal justice system and out of education, and many barriers exist to reentry for previously incarcerated students; and

WHEREAS, the 1960 California Master Plan not only mandates an accessible and affordable education, but further states that the UC system will reflect the diversity of the state of California; and

WHEREAS, the implementation of Proposition 209 drastically decreased the number of historically underrepresented students enrolled in the UC system, with its most deep impacts at UCLA and UC Berkeley (UCLA’s African American undergraduate admittance dropped by 60%, Latino undergraduate admittance dropped by 65%, and American Indian undergraduate admittance dropped by 57%; UC Berkeley’s African American undergraduate admittance dropped by 43%, Latino undergraduate admittance dropped by 50%, and American Indian undergraduate admittance dropped by 52%), and

https://www.aclunc.org/issues/criminal_justice/what_is_realignment_the_basics.shtml 2

http://www.lao.ca.gov/laoapp/laomenus/sections/crim_justice/6_cj_inmatecost.aspx?catid=33

<http://www.edsource.org/today/2013/california-drops-to-49th-in-school-spending-in-annual-ed-week-report/25379#.UkxjK2TwJvY> 4

http://www.ppic.org/main/publication_show.asp?i=702
5http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/Reports_Research/Offender_Information_Services_Branch/Annual/ACHAR1/ACHAR1d2012.pdf 6

http://www.ucop.edu/news/factsheets/flowfrc_10.pdf 9steps taken toward
holistic admissions have done little to alleviate the effects of

Proposition 209; and 7

WHEREAS, each UC campus has established student-initiated, student-run outreach programs, except for UC Merced, in response to Proposition 209 out of recognition of barriers to access, as well as the lack of educational support and guidance in underserved communities, and have been instrumental in empowering high school students to take ownership of and further their education; and

WHEREAS, each UC campus has established student-initiated, student-run campus retention programs, except for UC Merced, to target community specific needs to holistically develop and retain students and address high push out rates among underrepresented students; and

WHEREAS, both outreach and retention programs are necessary to institute a diversity pipeline to ensure diversity at all levels of education;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council recognizes the importance of dismantling the school-to-prison pipeline, instituting a UC Diversity Pipeline in order to prioritize education over incarceration; and

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council supports and will advocate for the goals of the IGNITE campaign as outlined:

- Passage of AB 420 which defines and limits the use of willful defiance for suspensions and encourages schools to prioritize other means of correction
- Passage of AB 218 which prohibits state and local agencies from reviewing any criminal convictions until it has been established that an applicant meets minimum employment standards
- Passage of SB 649 which makes possession of certain substances punishable as a felony or misdemeanor subject to imprisonment for no more than a year as opposed to a felony subject to imprisonment for up to three years
- Establishment of and institutionalized support for campus outreach and retention programs that provide academic support, peer counseling, and mentorship for historically underserved communities
- Establishment of resource centers for formerly incarcerated students as well as partnerships with local high schools heavily affected by the school to prison pipeline
- Passage of SCA 5 which allows for the consideration of race, sex, ethnicity, and national origin in higher education

and;

http://www.mercurynews.com/education/ci_23516742 11 HEREFORBE BE IT
 FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student

Association Council will communicate this position to University and elected public officials; and

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council will formally support Student Regent Cinthia Flores in her request to attain the \$10 million of unallocated funds from the UC Office of the President to fund towards academic preparation programs, with some of the funds being used to establish an outreach and retention center at UC Merced and to explore potential collaboration with youth incarceration programs; and

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council will partner with Student Regent Cinthia Flores in her advocacy for the development and institution of Graduate Student Support Centers targeted at facilitating transitioning to graduate school for non-traditional, first-generation, underrepresented; and

THEREFORE BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council will support efforts to educate students on campus about the IGNITE campaign.

-Hall states its pretty self-explanatory on what she hopes to accomplish. She wants to make a motion to amend a portion of the resolution. She moves to change the title of UC Diversity pipeline to UC Higher Education Diversity Pipeline because there is already a UC pipeline that is targeted for women going to medschool. This one is specific to us.

-Geller states that there is a number of places where the word “outreach” is used, but after 209 they used the word “access.” She’s asking what the other side is, why would anyone object this and what’s an opposing viewpoint, if there is one.

- Hall states that seeing she is an avid supporter and she is passionate about access and higher learning, but maybe some people could oppose it because they are confused of what it hopes to accomplish. The biggest opposition is from those who don’t understand.

-Lazarovici says there’s a reference to numbers about cost of students, and asks for clarifications on what it refers to.

-Hall states it’s K-12 education.

-Lazarovici says her comment is that it could be the tough on crime crowd to see who opposes the legislature. It’s very difficult for democrats in some parts of the state to run against really tough on crime candidates.

-Joanino states that the prison guard lobby will be vocal.

-Nelson states that much of it has to do with money that goes to rural issues. Many of the prisons are from rural areas and a money making proposition for those that supply prisons with food as well as the prison lobby because to his understanding someone who goes to training can make 72,000 and someone who is a teacher will make half of it. It’s moneymaking and good for rural areas. The statistics are just staggering, \$47,000 versus \$8,000. The money that goes to prisons is paying for past where as money to students pay for the future.

-Jasso states that she urges council to get educated on the California prison system, and Professor Howard was talking about July 12th editorial where females are sterilized without their consent. What Maryssa’s office and USSA is doing is putting in a large discourse with inhumane actions, over representation of males and blacks and latinos. It’s alarming and shaming we are part of this system that does this to people. There have been hunger strikes because of conditions. She encourages everyone to educate the issue and get a tour around the issue and see what Hall’s work is really about and how our schools are diminishing with the best prison conditions.

-Singh has a question about the name and the community that this resolution specifically targets. The UC Higher education pipeline makes little references to South Asian communities and whether she could include it, such as Afghanistan, Sri Lankan that are significant and a number of those students at UCLA.

-Hall asks where she would like to add it.

-Singh asks why it wasn’t included.

-Jasso states that the biggest problem with research and one of the generalizations is the South Asian communities. One of the bad things about statistics is that the numbers aren’t shown and is always umbrella under Asian.

-Singh states he doesn’t have the statistics, but would like to add it to.

-Hall states the reason why that community isn’t addressed was because of the way research is taken and lumped into Asian communities.

-Trumble states that according to the official website if other, black, Hispanic, and white.

-Hall says there isn’t a lot of data, and a lot of issues brought up because references doesn’t include that information. She definitely would have no problem including that community.

-Singh states that the reason he brings it up was because therefore be it resolved with Cinthia Flores and saw the immigration trends with USA becoming more intimately

involved with South Asian relations and feels that some money should be outreached to those communities.

-Naameh states that for taking a jail tour and watch the documentary the House I Live In.

-Hall states in that clause specifically there is no specific community who gets the money, but is left broad to encompass as many communities as possible. Sometimes people think that CPO is the only office with access and retention, but used to bolster all groups such as CSO that work with incarcerated youth and access. It will bolster all the programs. The exact outline isn't ironed out, but this is the goal.

-Jasso states that the CPO SEACLEAR doesn't have an access project, but would love to work with the Indian Student Union to create an access program.

-Joanino wants to clarify that request for \$10 million of unallocated funds, is meant to go to SEAPEP that funds CPO and CSC and is really all encompassing. It is all compass by compass. The south Asian access project is specific to UCLA.

-Ramalho really appreciated with working in admissions, that it looks at students at local context considering the circumstances and looking at it based on it. The apprehensions they have are regarding proposition 209. The fear that students have is that if it were to be repealed that you would be almost allowing the university for racial quotas internally by the university and taking away the idea of disadvantaged students rather than looking at color of their skin in a disadvantage community. Those are apprehensions he has and was wondering if Hall could address them.

-Hall states that her and Oved actually talked about it. Proposition 209 has misconceptions of what is affirmative action. California's climate around race and notions that we live in post-racial society, but the fact it comes down to is what affirmative action is. Proposition 209 eliminates the option to even consider race, ethnicity, or national origin that it inherently the problem. Research states that although there rare many similarities within socioeconomic brackets and there are stil large disparities along racial lines that is just a reality that we have to face. Personally, if we face that reality and tackle it head on will benefit us in the long run that quell the discussion on race. The experience people have based on race, should at least be considered. It's not mandating that the UC has to use race, but has the option. This connects to Michigan in proposition 2 that outlawed affirmative action, but this case went to the supreme court and is challenging proposition 2 constitutionality on the basis of the 14 amendment equal protection clause saying everyone has equal rights and equal access. By taking out race, that's saying it is inherently unequal and doing an injustice to an individual by not saying taking their race into consideration because that's denying someone of a portion of their identity. The quota system will not be introduced within UCLA and can guarantee that after speaking with Yolanda. This is essentially a way to alleviate and bolster more diversity and having more flexibility to do so.

-Joanino wants to reemphasize that the quota system was ruled unconstitutional and there is no way to come back. He states it just brings a part of their identity.

-Hall states that with IGNITE and because its such a large campaign and wants to reemphasize having conversations and it will be beneficial for everyone to be knowledgeable and deconstruct myths around affirmative action.

-Hadjiminaoukin asks what the exact goal of affirmative action and there aren't quotas, but its also a question if another person being accepted because of the color of their skin if they were tied. That's the only thing that questions him if two people are tied and the

color of skin pushes it over one person where one person comes from central valley and the student of color comes from Beverly Hills. It's a question on how it plays in to entrance of higher education.

-Jasso states that he opened up a can of worms. Race is not an abstract thought, but redirect the conversation to actual resolution. There's a lot of academic discourse on affirmative action but also on white privilege. That is something unseen and is brought up to go and google it. What if we have a low income rural person and a wealthy person of color, what will push them over the edge? Something we need to keep in mind is that race is one of plenty of factors that are being considered regardless. It is never a determining factor but this resolution is the idea that these are the consequences of 209 and address the prison industrial complex and producing debt to people of color for the historical legacy of structural inequality. It is about a consistent and longstanding inequality and the people that live in low income communities are black and latino, and low income whites are the most racialized to be constructed. Whiteness is a different property. She hopes that everyone realizes that the discussion fo what it is to be white and person of color and benefits and structural inequality are all the same. She encourages council to do research. Race is real and we cannot continue to deal with it as an abstract.

-Joanino wants to thank Armen and feels less comfortable if it passed and this conversation hasn't happened.

-Badalich brought up White Privilege: Unpacking the Invisible Knapsack and highly suggests it as a white person.

-Dr. Nelson says this is an issue that started off in one thing in mind and morphed into something very different. Affirmative action was created under Nixon, a conservative republican who noticed there was historical inequities and put forward affirmative action to make certain that when people made decisions for hiring will look at a broad away of people and not someone from the country club. The misconception that somebody inferior who will get a position of someone superior because of race or gender. The hard thing for white males to accept is that they are not their ancestors, and why should they pay for they had nothing to do with. It is difficult for someone who has not done anything wrong to pay for some historical wrongs. The thing that has gotten distorted, that someone is inferior because of gender or race but what never gets discussed is the idea of privilege. Privilege is based on race and wealth, and when you think on terms of race and wealth and equality with mediocre people of color same opportunities of a mediocre white person. There will always be discussions about this. One of the problems is that very few people are willing to go back to see the historical reasons of inequity to be behind despite how hard they work. If I were white male I'd be ticked off of affirmative action, but there are generations of people who have suffered because of discrimination and the idea was to make it a more playing field.

-Rogers states that she is white and she doesn't know her dad's origin and immigrated from the Philippines and doesn't identify with race and doesn't know who her father is and when race is being considered and doesn't want to lie and doesn't know what else shes from and what happens to them?

-Nelson states that there will be comfort and are a lot more multicultural people coming into the world everyday, and by the time we reach his age much of what was discussed will be diluted and less relevant. The people that have been multicultural, and you are not

by yourself. All he can say is keep your head up and be proud, because the numbers are increasing.

-Naameh states that as she continues to discuss them before moving on, don't let the fear of future hypotheticals distort or cloud vision of current inequities because these issues are facing now and have been systemic. The fear of something in future and technicality, will cross that bridge when we get to it and there is a bigger issue that is affecting people right now.

-Badalich states that Nelson said "I haven't done anything wrong or didn't do anything to start this" in terms of being white and feeling that way. She can see that it's a different issue and can see the same when she sees men as allies around sexual assault. Men feel like they're being attacked and generally making men allies very hard, because they feel that they haven't done anything wrong and just be rather than push back gender inequality and wants to leave that on the table. It is hard to do that in this sphere and can see how it aligns with affirmative action.

-Lazarovici states that being back on the campus post prop 209 environments was so different having gone to school prior to 209. The shock of it as if "where did they all go?" It's like they all disappeared. The statistics was eye-opening, but not surprising. It's a very different environment before and after. She had an experience when she was a senior in Ackerman grand ballroom where a woman stood up and started talking about institutional racism. This enormous African American woman with an African dress and braids and was sitting thinking it was ridiculous with laws and the civil rights movement against discrimination and we have solved this! She was so convinced of how right she was, and you don't have to be out in the work world very long to see that no we have not solved this. Her eyes were opened much more after that experience. One thing that brought it home where she had an internship at a newspaper and had a job opening, and the guy that got the job was someone less talented and the guy that copied the boss the most with the same mannerisms and personality. She states life is not fair, the most qualified person does not always get the job and that person's wildly ways of getting in there got it. This kind of work is really important and these debates are going to happen and have been happening. She sees as how it plays to the diversity requirement and the 7000 in solidarity campaign to move this ball forward. Even though we liked to think we keep going forward, we have gone backwards. We have to keep forging forwards and make up for all of that lost time.

-Ramalho states looking at the resolution and the numbers are unfortunate because a community of color with administrators dropping dramatically, and being admitted to UCLA is difficult and academic rigor is more challenging. The issue he sees all goes back to the k-12 system with low income communities of students of color. We must reform k-12 to make sure that students of color have the opportunity and he is really torn, but agrees with so much of the resolution but has so much reservations because he feels that it stems from another problem. He states there is an additional comments box and this campaign says that there is so many efforts he supports such as prison reform, and he disagrees with the approach. He is referring to the part of academic standards and have been increasing with unfortunately students of color coming from low income communities. He doesn't think it stems from admissions but stems from education.

-Badalich states that being against legislation itself, but going back to the resolution and wording such as outreach to access, and if there's any other certain of tangible resolution choice besides the disagreement of proposition of 209.

-Ramalho states that SCA5.

-Singh asks whereas "steps taken toward holistic admissions have done little to alleviate the effects of Proposition 209" and asks if some context could be provided because he did some research that holistic admissions have been beneficial in and outside of the UC system. Why this language in regards to holistic admissions?

-Halls states that holistic admission has attempted to get the diversity of university pre proposition 209, but she's not going to pretend that it has rectified the damage on the numbers of certain communities on campus. Even though holistic admissions is an attempt, but it's not aggressive enough approach to the issue. The admission office is still limited because they are limited from using race. Where the Sander reports came out and the representatives from that committee and admitted that proposition 209 has impeded them to rectify the numbers.

-Joanino states it goes back to the California master plan. Does this reflect the diverse demographic of California with 15% black folks and 3% on this campus.

-Trumble reads an article about how holistic admissions is a bandaid solution because the numbers are still half of what they were.

-Nelson states UCLA and Cal takes top 2% where California takes the top 12% of seniors. When going to numbers that opted a lot of people out who were qualified, but not admitted. Back in 1984, a man named David Gardner was inaugurated as UC President and the reason why diversity was important was based on the demographics of the state and argued that the University of California should admit people based on those demographics to qualify to keep the tax based up to benefit from their fruits. It was a very pragmatic and functional solution but politics got into it and when sp1 and sp2 were getting discussed by regents, and there was completely unanimity that says regents don't do it, but they have the power to do. When you look at the background, there's politics rather than less qualified and affirmative action would be less qualified.

-Rogers states she agrees with most of the resolution and believes that the democratic institution is flawed that affects K-12, but will feel uncomfortable with something that supports SCA5.

-Hadimnajouian states took the biggest hit of 209 because those are the two best, that goes back to the fundamental problem. There is a big drop, was it because they weren't educated properly in the elementary levels? It's a question of fixing the high school levels. He is aware of the idea of privilege and there is a big fact of rich getting richer and poor getting stuck in on point, and he doesn't think the solution is to let in students who aren't as qualified. That leads to the question of retention and they start failing, and knows there's a whole host of other things and not being a safe space. This goes to a fundamental question of how they are educated.

-Jasso doesn't like the assumptions of that argument because when arguments like that are made it devalues her place at the university and it bothers her. She respects his point and she worked really hard to get into the university, that she got a hand out to get into the institution and makes her feel really annoyed because she's about to get with high honors and she didn't come from the best high school and she took the rigorous classes and about to graduate with a high GPA. She will not allow anyone to devalue her

placement here in the university. There are students of color sitting on the council table and don't want their experience to be illegitimized by these types of arguments and assumptions. She worked hard and a lot of people here worked hard.

-Joanino states that this is good discussion, and Hadjiminaoukin didn't want to invalidate students of council. The K-12 system needs repair and it is part of this systemic issue and wants to raise this alternative idea. How can we expect to fix this system if we can't educate the people that came from them? Hopefully the council table can ponder on this.

-Hall states that she agrees with Joanino's point and references that discussing admittance of law school to university of Michigan. The supreme court honors that transcended larger than access to the university, it's what access to the university that opens up into. One of the reasons why they wanted to protect the ability to consider race in admission, and by basic statistics there are 70% range of policy makers have law degrees. For this particular case to be the future leaders of the world and nation and to create these policies and changes and reform inherently will then becoming from outside the communities which they are going to help. They are going to equip them with the tools to uplift themselves and help their own communities. That is just one step taking to rectify the historical wrongs such as race and gender income financial inequality. She really appreciates this conversation and hopes everyone is learning from this. This resolution as a whole and this campaign has been agreed by the UCSA and half the people pushing IGNITE were from UCLA. She is bringing this to council formally because she's asking you all to commit to supporting UCLA students to help campaign. At the end of the day we come from different perspectives and particular legislation, wants support to work towards the progress.

-Singh stated that it affects different communities to explicit communities, but has no mention of religion. Someone earlier has talked about how queer students face extraordinary high rates of bullying, and the same is true of muslim and sikh students as they maintain articles of their faith and are often seen as an other. With a name of UC higher education diversity pipeline as religion wasn't included if it's a crux of a lot of people identities.

-Hall stated that it wasn't brought up, but there is room to amend it and add it to represent these different communities to see how they can be incorporated. This isn't specifically saying religion, but inherently coming from different racial and ethnic backgrounds comes from difference in racial backgrounds and ideologies. Even if it's not explicitly stated, they aren't excluded. She welcomes any motion for a formal amendment.

-Badalich friendly amended to change student to students.

-Hall states yes.

-Trumble states SCA5 doesn't explicitly state religion.

-Naameh states that IGNITE is more of a long term multi-step and multi faceted and empowering them through education not just to get into UCLA, but to diversify faculty and has a lot to do when people are educated and can connect to professors on a personal level rather than having their community spoken about rather than telling them. The people that will empathize and care about reforming k-12 will be those who were affected. Affirmative action is a bandaid and hopefully it won't be in the future, and there are things called baby steps and shouldn't stop progress.

-Haws wanted to ask what concerns they still have when it comes to affirmative action.

- Ramalho states that the admission officers he talked to are huge proponents of affirmative action.
- Rogers is supportive of holistic admissions and doesn't really know her identity.
- Hall states once again holistic admission isn't doing enough.
- Trumble states that SCA5 will not get rid of holistic admissions. It's valuable how admissions are read to read its all on the entirety. The truth is holistic admissions cannot include race and gender and national origin is presenting us from reaching the diversity. If we include that and holistic admissions we will be in a good place.
- Naameh moves to approve the resolution. Badalich seconds.
- Oved states he hasn't spoken at all during this discussion but is sort of disappointed about how they love to have these conversations and have been disregarded and not taken into consideration such as Rogers, Singh, and Hadjiminaoukin. He questions if it was representatives of students, then why are certain people not being considered.
- Jasso states that she thought they were questions and then Hall answered. Unless your answers were not satisfactory, but there were responses given. She thought there was a lot of respectful back and forth but mistook it. She would hope that the four of you would outright say you were not satisfied as opposed to Oved saying it outright.
- Ramalho thinks its just a difference of opinion and respects the arguments and the resolution.
- Badalich agrees with Jasso and specifically gave responses and asks if there were any amendments wanted. If there's anything wanted to propose amendments, they can bring it up.
- Hall states she answered a lot of questions and have asked, "did I answer your question?" as well as saying "do you have any formal amendments?" and in no way does not want to discount anyone. She is not the spokesperson of affirmative action so she really appreciates the insight from everyone. She really wants this to be a collective effort.
- Oved just sees a concern with SCA5 clause. On top of that there are a lot of people who don't agree with SCA5 and is disappointed on how this conversation is being carried out.
- Kim doesn't have any amendments, and regarding the comments about this conversation isn't getting carried out, if you felt that strongly about it you would fight for it. It doesn't seem like it's that big of an issue to put it in a way of what that resolution is about. It's a baby step and we must think broadly and not the minute details. The minute details aren't that big of an issue where people disagree with the entire thing.
- Arce states that Oved says the resolution is not representative of the student body. UCLA is ready for something like this, and theres an expression "... " in Spanish that means feeding soup with your finger. We need to expose students to this issue and why they want to cosponsor this and he is going to take it from the community service commission perspective. Out of the 23 projects, 23 go to education. He goes every Friday to these communities and his concern is that he can only help him with elementary and middle school, but he might not be able to help him in high school. However, opening up this pipeline will allow these kids to go to college. Project BRIDE and meeting the director Howard Chung who went to juvie and jail and manage to get his life around because of education and is giving his time to working with folks that are in juvenile hall. The more students of color there are, the better your education will be because the better your education will be. He learned more about interactions with others, and tries to open

up this pipeline to become the leaders of tomorrow. In conclusion, he really hopes that council is approaching this from a long term perspective.

-Ramalho wants to make it clear that will not support resolution unless its stricken. He friendly amends to strike Passage of SCA 5 which allows for the consideration of race, sex, ethnicity, and national origin in higher education”

-Hall states that it is a package deal and it’s a part of overall campaign that has already been approved.

-Ramalho understands that he will not accept it.

-Hall states that after this long drawn out conversations about SCA5, first of all a little frustrated because this conversation has been circular in nature and is disappointed in council and coming to all asking for support and at the end of the day will still be working on the passage of SCA 5 and was hoping for it to be a way for all of council to be a way to educate ourselves and the rest of the school.

-Joanino states regardless of the disagreements he doesn’t want to devalue the education.

-Rogers states that she feels uncomfortable with SCA 5 and doesn’t think she has the only student that has the problem, but will move to strike it.

-Kim states she has no amendments, but he commends Ramalho for finally making the amendment and is now honest.

-Lazarovici states that from a process standpoint and you can vote no if you don’t agree on it. This body puts pressure on passing things 12-0-0 and sees the value of unanimity, because its shown as stronger but in our democratic system, whoever gets the most votes wins. If there’s a proposition on the table and you can’t get your amendments in and don’t have amendments and will vote against it then vote no. If this doesn’t pass then so be it, if it does pass on a split vote then that’s the way it is because that’s the way you set up your system of government. Last week they really worked hard to craft a consensus to agree on in principle, but tonight there is not unanimity. That is okay. Things can pass or fail on a split vote and while it always feels better to get a unanimous vote, if you don’t agree with something don’t vote for it.

-Oved just wants to say that there is a high learning curve for council and regardless if you feel uncomfortable to voice your opinion, and he feels pressured to vote a certain way on council because they are in this bubble. He challenges each and everyone to step away from the bubble and not be influences about how long they talk about it for as long as 9 hours and be proud it. He would like to strike the passage of SCA 5 class to be a council wide initiative. He supports diversity at university and transforming education and reprioritizing it. There are other things he doesn’t feel comfortable voting on. He commends those who have not felt pressured to not say anything.

-Jasso states that technically it’s not a friendly amendment and theres an option.

-Hall states that she doesn’t accept the changes and will vote.

-Naameh asks if its taken out what will happen?

-Hall answers that it will be moved.

-Oved has a friendly amendment to add that it’s a UCSA campaign.

-Singh friendly amends that perhaps adding UCSA in the title.

-Hall accepts.

-4-8-0 motion fails to remove SCA 5.

-Geller wants to thank everyone who joins and asked early that there really hasn't been any diversity of opinion. Whatever the issue is, that whatever the issue is they need a diversity of opinion to be thoughtful.

-Zimmerman states that Hall has answered Singh question and great statistics in regards to inmates of different ethnic backgrounds, and she understands the correlation and using the same groups as comparison in admission charges. Perhaps to address communities that haven't been addressed so far, and there may be a space of whereas and perhaps can get a percentage of other under representative categories. We can have them listed in this area to see that this is even more widespread rather than categorizing them as other. When we are talking about access and more programs we are talking about all these groups, and then some. If we are going to add something, that's the best spot.

-Hall states it's a great idea and she doesn't have those numbers but she will personally make sure these communities are left out. Every student should be engaged, but in the end of the day she is one person. She is asking council for help, and wants to touch upon that the Daily Bruin mentioned today specifically in regards to this resolution and puts it on record that in no way is it on fault of herself. She proceeded to go through procedure and sent it to Oved to put it on agenda and was that understanding to put on webmaster and council, and there was apparently a verbal agreement that the web master has until 6pm on Friday. She was under the impression that it was Friday at 5, and she has actively worked to get this resolution to as many students as possible. She had 3 meetings to separate parts of the Daily Bruin and recognizes that the Daily Bruin has a large audience and range of people and effectively reach out to as many people as possible. She is only one person.

-Oved states he doesn't know why its being specifically brought up, but wants to clarify that agenda items are due at Thursday at 5pm. He doesn't see the point of blaming anybody because at the end of the day people still don't know about the resolution. There are people within the office that don't know about the issue. He doesn't think she outreached enough. He is not trying to argue, there is a clause that they don't agree with.

-Jasso thinks that council should talk about the resolution reform tool and set more official procedures and it an unfortunate but next week they should talk about protocol and listed for everyone on council. This is very unfortunate. In addition she suggests writing a submission that there are Facebook posts about this post, we need to address our own procedures, there needs to be proper way of doing it.

-Joanino steers us off topic and wants to respect the time and effort into the resolution. He asks if they want to vote into the resolution.

-Badalich moves to approve resolution as it is right now. Arce seconds.

-Hall reads the new resolution

A Resolution in Support of the USAC IGNITE (Invest in Graduations, Not Incarceration, Transform Education) Campaign and UC Higher Education Diversity Pipeline

Authored by Maryssa Hall, Sponsored by Omar Arce, Lizzy Naameh, & Jessica Trumble

WHEREAS, the state of California houses one of the largest prison populations in the nation and has the highest recidivism rate in the nation at 67.5%, leading to such overcrowding that the U.S. Supreme Court mandated steps be taken towards reducing the prison population in order to comply with Constitutional standards; and

1

WHEREAS, California spends more on prisons than on education, spending \$47,102 per inmate compared to \$8,482 per student, ranking 49th in the nation in adjusted per pupil expenditures, and the funding for both components are inversely linked; and

2

3

WHEREAS, the majority of inmates in California prisons are charged with nonviolent, low level offenses, with 31% being drug related; and 4

WHEREAS, in 2012, Latinos made up 43.7% of the California inmate population and 38.2% of California's population, and African American males comprised 25.4% of the inmate population when African Americans only make up 6.6% of California's

population; and 5 6

WHEREAS, young men of color are disproportionately represented in prisons, with 3 out of every 4 inmates being men of color, due to institutional barriers and the school to prison pipeline, preventing them from pursuing higher education; and⁵

WHEREAS, wrongful suspensions due to ambiguous suspension regulations and definitions for “willful defiance” often lead to a disproportionate number of queer youth and youth of color being filtered into the criminal justice system and out of education, and many barriers exist to reentry for previously incarcerated students; and

WHEREAS, the 1960 California Master Plan not only mandates an accessible and affordable education, but further states that the UC system will reflect the diversity of the state of California; and

WHEREAS, the implementation of Proposition 209 drastically decreased the number of historically underrepresented students enrolled in the UC system, with its most deep impacts at UCLA and UC Berkeley (UCLA’s African American undergraduate admittance dropped by 60%, Latino undergraduate admittance dropped by 65%, and American Indian undergraduate admittance dropped by 57%; UC Berkeley’s African American undergraduate admittance dropped by 43%, Latino undergraduate admittance

dropped by 50%, and American Indian undergraduate admittance dropped by 52%), and

1

https://www.aclunc.org/issues/criminal_justice/what_is_realignment_the_basics.shtml 2

http://www.lao.ca.gov/laoapp/laomenus/sections/crim_justice/6_cj_inmatecost.aspx?catid=33

<http://www.edsource.org/today/2013/california-drops-to-49th-in-school-spending-in-annual-ed-week-report/25379#.UkxjK2TwJvY> 4

http://www.ppic.org/main/publication_show.asp?i=702
5http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/Reports_Research/Offender_Information_Services_Branch/Annual/ACHAR1/ACHAR1d2012.pdf 6

http://www.ucop.edu/news/factsheets/flowfrc_10.pdf steps taken toward holistic admissions have done little to alleviate the effects of

Proposition 209; and 7

WHEREAS, each UC campus has established student-initiated, student-run access programs, except for UC Merced, in response to Proposition 209 out of recognition of barriers to access, as well as the lack of educational support and guidance in underserved communities, and have been instrumental in empowering high school students to take ownership of and further their education; and

WHEREAS, each UC campus has established student-initiated, student-run campus retention programs, except for UC Merced, to target community specific needs to holistically develop and retain students and address high push out rates among

underrepresented students; and

WHEREAS, both access and retention programs are necessary to institute a diversity pipeline to ensure diversity at all levels of education;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council recognizes the importance of dismantling the school-to-prison pipeline, instituting a UC Diversity Pipeline in order to prioritize education over incarceration; and

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council supports and will advocate for the goals of the IGNITE campaign as outlined:

- Passage of AB 420 which defines and limits the use of willful defiance for suspensions and encourages schools to prioritize other means of correction
- Passage of AB 218 which prohibits state and local agencies from reviewing any criminal convictions until it has been established that an applicant meets minimum employment standards
- Passage of SB 649 which makes possession of certain substances punishable as a felony or misdemeanor subject to imprisonment for no more than a year as opposed to a felony subject to imprisonment for up to three years
- Establishment of and institutionalized support for campus access and retention programs that provide academic support, peer counseling, and mentorship for

historically underserved communities

- Establishment of resource centers for formerly incarcerated students as well as partnerships with local high schools heavily affected by the school to prison

pipeline

- Passage of SCA 5 which allows for the consideration of race, sex, ethnicity, and national origin in higher education

and;

⁷
http://www.mercurynews.com/education/ci_23516742 THEREFORE BE IT
FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student

Association Council will communicate this position to University and elected public officials; and

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student

Association Council will formally support Student Regent Cinthia Flores in her request to attain the \$10 million of unallocated funds from the UC Office of the President to fund towards academic preparation programs, with some of the funds being used to establish an access and retention center at UC Merced and to explore potential collaboration with youth incarceration programs; and

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student

Association Council will partner with Student Regent Cinthia Flores in her advocacy for

the development and institution of Graduate Student Support Centers targeted at facilitating transitioning to graduate school for non-traditional, first-generation, underrepresented; and

THEREFORE BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council will support efforts to educate students on campus about the IGNITE campaign.

-Nelson states that poverty should be discussed. If the inejection of the term poverty would make it easier for it to make consensus, because if youre poor then youre poor. This is part of holistic admissions and encompasses everybody and is wondering if that been considered.

-Hall states that poverty doesn't seek color, but the reason that its not focused on because the IGNITE campaign doesn't focus on this issue. She agrees poverty does play an aspect, there are still disparities within socioeconomic classes based on race. Within the upper middle class and the statistics for black women and white men, black women have higher infant mortality rates, higher rates of diabetes, higher rates of health disparities, and with poverty there is health disparities and psychological implications. Poverty is part of the overall equation, but it is inherently connected and she does agree.

8-4-0 the resolution passes.

X. Announcements

- Oved states that he sent an email 7 hours ago: "Hi Jill, Amanda, and Eitan! I just wanted to clarify an issue that was brought up in Natalie Delgadillo's DB article today regarding the upcoming IGNITE Resolution. The authors/sponsors of the resolution submitted the proper documentation within the deadline. The following day, I emailed the agenda and resolution to council, Daily Bruin, and the USAC Webmaster. However, by the time this email was sent out, the Webmaster was no longer in the office and did not return until Monday morning, at which time the agenda and resolution was posted to the USAC Website. As noted by the Daily Bruin previously, the Resolution Reform feature championed by IVP was only recently implemented and as such we are still working through the kinks to streamline the process. " Hall did bring up an issue and he did address it. Secondly, you can critique the IVP on the work you can do, but don't critique him on the personal time.

-Trumble states that they had their first concert series of the year this week, with a totally packed house from 7-9 every Monday weeks 2-9 throughout the year and always welcome. She hopes that everyone looks at art gallery made entirely out of found objects, also known as trash art. Each little things are diararamas. The first Word on Wednesday from 7:30-9:00 and the theme is "we're back!" This Thursday is the diversity tours and the bus leaves at 5:30 and we will be back around 9:30 pm.

-Hall states to email her staffs and their liftserve to urge Governor Brown.

- Ramalho states that faculty rep has not died and is on radar, but can't meet with Jan and wants to figure out if anyone is interested. They need to find a senate faculty member.
- Rogers stated that financial literacy day fair is from 1:30-3:30 and articles in the Daily Bruin about financial literacy every Monday.
- Zimmerman wants to echo in regards to the resolution and the first year that the resolutions are posted before their passed. She wants to commend the council on getting it beforehand and the transparency. They are still working out the kinks, and it's not in the structure that will be posted. He talked to Oved today as to brainstorm to flag things and have it on the radar so hopefully it will be more streamlined because it's a new program so students can comment. It's always hard when they said "you didn't put it up!" but she says council is doing their part.
- Singh states that a number of people applied to his internship and a lot of the other offices must be excited.
- Arce states that in efforts to outreach to community service projects they will be put up workshops and panels on October 21st what makes a strong proposal, easy things to do to improve funding, and open to any community service or access project.
- Jasso states that BOD is due October 11 and anyone with a large scale program will have the first SOOF hearing tomorrow 7-11. There are over 70 groups that have hearing and a ton more, and glad that Arce brought it up the "you can book me!" If your office needs that support, please let her know that the funding advocates come soon. If you need any help with that regards the BOD name change is USA/ASUCLA programming fund. Please let her or Jacob know as soon as possible.
- Badalich states there is a wellness festival Thursday 2-6 pm lower lawn in Sunset Re, with two stages with stretching, salsa, yoga, and another with meditation, salad workshop, planting herbs, drum circle. The entire 7000 in solidarity, active minds, aids awareness, blood drive, body image, bruin run walk, CSC, CPR, earth, Gender health, HNF, search, SHA, and total wellness. Mental health week is still happening and supportive listening and CAPS large conference room and depression screenings from 10am-2pm. Sun safety day from 11pm-2am on Monday. Recruitment for SWC is due October 13 at midnight. November 2nd there is a domestic violence walk in Drake stadium who is a survivor of domestic violence and one of her close friends daughter was killed, and she is definitely sponsoring this event. She will provide more information about it to be there and present. There's a competition called FORCE that pushes for consent revolution and consent on campus, and whoever got the most likes on campus action ended up getting publicity on huffington post, bitchmedia (feminist magazine), and thinkprogress, and duped everyone that Playboy magazine to do their marketing and get a bunch of condoms and random stuff. We got the most votes!
- Joanino sent everyone an email about the website and know your council member, but please find sometime to write something about yourself and you can see his sample bio. There is a CARE SOS certificate training on the 22nd and the 29th and specifically changed the time to accommodate council members and really encourages folks to go.
- Badalich states that she hasn't sent an email but will send out the information to save the date and time. Also information session for 7000 in solidarity tomorrow form 6-7 in John's office.
- Hall states that her office is working with planning something for October 15 and council will be the first people to know.

- Jasso asks for SWC, CAC, and EVP, in regards to the funding sources, when are those coming? Are they going to be on the actual USAC agenda?
- Badalich stated that they just approved funding for national coming out weeks and just did that. She will put it on the deadline per the Thursday deadline.
- Hall states the travel and advocacy grant just met and will be on next week agenda.
- Trumble states that itll come next week.

XI. Signing of the Attendance Sheet

The attendance sheet was passed around.

XII. Adjournment

Naameh moves to adjourn. Haws seconds.
Meeting adjourned 10:10 pm.

XIV. Good and Welfare