

MINUTES
UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION COUNCIL
Kerckhoff Hall 417
July 8th, 2014
8:00 PM

PRESENT: Devin Murphy, Avinoam Baral, Conrad Contreras, Greg Kalfayan, Cynthia Wong, Irmay Garcia, Heather Rosen, Savannah Badalich, Dr. Debra Geller, Roy Champawat, Patty Zimmerman

ABSENT: Fabienne Roth, Allyson Bach, Carlos Quintanilla, Manjot Singh, Dr. Berky Nelson

GUESTS:

I. Call to Order: 8:03pm

II. A. Approval of the Agenda

- Baral moves to strike Facilities Commissioner from Officer and Member Reports.
- Baral moves to strike approving the minutes from June 10th & June 24th.
- Badalich moves to strike Student Wellness Programming Fund from funding allocations.
- Garcia moves to strike Cultural Affairs Mini Fund from funding allocations.
- Contreras moves to strike EVP Travel/Advocacy Grant from funding allocations.
- AAC proxy moves to strike ASRF from funding allocations.
- Rosen moves to approve the agenda, Badalich seconds. Approval by consensus.

II. Public Comments

- Omar Isa Atta, incoming president of SJP, wants to thank UCSA for thinking of strike the individually, how can you have someone who can represents, Trading political promises for cash is undermining the integrity of student government election, and we applaud UCSA for making that decisions. SJP West is writing to voice serious concerns about the recent developments surrounding the student-regent designate, Avi Oved, and the possibility that he accepted a financial contribution from Adam Milstein during his political campaign in 2013. While Students for Justice in Palestine is an organization with views on Israel/Palestine and divestment that are at odds with those of Oved and his party slate, the issue at hand is not divestment, but the potential violation of transparency and ethicality so integral for a student representative to uphold. The evidence presented to date suggests that a student who will potentially be tasked with representing the entire University of California student body willfully undermined the democratic process by seeking outside funding from an individual with a partisan political agenda. This is profoundly troubling because it sends the message that student political favors are for sale and that the general student body's needs may be of secondary importance to the desires of such off-campus political donors. Despite political differences, all students should be able to know that our representatives are only answerable to student concerns and not those of outside entities. Student representatives must be solely accountable to their constituents. It is absolutely essential for these charges to be thoroughly ruled out:

how can we hold state and federal leaders to account if we cannot even do the same for our own student leaders? We need to be absolutely certain that the student regent designate's loyalties lie with UC students first and foremost and not with outside organizations. Furthermore, we find Milstein's public displays of racist and Islamophobic sentiment repugnant, and Avi Oved's potential solicitation of funds from such a donor to be a matter of grave concern. Representing the UC's diverse student body requires a student regent with a demonstrated commitment to openness and tolerance. Avi Oved's refusal to distance himself publicly from this exchange suggests an incompatibility with these qualities. Even excluding the substantive content of Mr. Milstein's beliefs, the possibility that the integrity of student government is being undermined by outside forces to further a particular political agenda is unacceptable and must be investigated thoroughly. Finally, it is very alarming that Oved refused, for no apparent reason, to join the UCSA's conference call specifically held for him to answer questions and be accountable to his constituents. In addition to this, his lack of explicit denial of accepting those contributions from Milstein and of the email's authenticity is also disturbingly telling. Both participation in a conversation about these allegations and an assurance that the contributions did not take place would have been crucial to preserving student trust in their student regent-designate. An investigation is hence paramount to ensure that Oved is the right candidate for this position and that students can trust him to represent them fairly and transparently. We hope that these allegations will be investigated in as scrupulous a manner as possible. The credibility of our student representation may very well depend on it.

-Juan wanted to talk about Avi Oved's issue of his nomination very recently there is a lot rhetoric going around that this is a Palestinian-Israeli issue, I wanted to point out that SJP wasn't involved and this is just accountability of the regents and making sure it's not a basic argument of one side against the other.

-Rasha incoming Vice President of SJP, one of her concerns is that it was a concern call and Avi decided not to participate in the call, and he wasn't open to talking to students and we were here to talk to him, after he affiliated himself with an Islam phobic org is out of pocket and not accountable to students.

-Amy Huang – Jewish Voice for Peace at UCLA (JVP at UCLA) calls for immediate apologies from Student Regent Designate Avi Oved, USAC Internal Vice President Avinoam Baral, and Hillel at UCLA. In emails leaked to the Daily Californian, Baral and Oved solicited donations to the Bruins United political party from Adam Milstein, a pro-Israel philanthropist notorious for his racist and Islamophobic rants on Twitter, in exchange for promises of USAC allegiance to the state of Israel and votes against divestment from companies that profit from and help sustain the Israeli occupation of Palestinian territories. In one email, Oved implies that his opponent in the USAC election, Lana Habib El-Farra, is a threat to the UCLA Jewish community because she is a member of the Muslim Student Association and Students for Justice in Palestine. JVP at UCLA adamantly rejects Oved's assertions and condemns these Islamophobic remarks. The emails also suggest that Hillel at UCLA secretly funneled money from Milstein and perhaps other pro-Israel donors to the Bruins United political party. Hillel's actions not only undermine the student democratic process, but also damage its reputation as a cultural organization for Hillel's record at UCLA suggests that its participation in this scandal is not an isolated incident. In talks last spring, Hillel at UCLA refused a proposal

to affiliate with JVP at UCLA, citing our political beliefs and potential backlash from donors. Their rejection of JVP appears to be part of a larger campaign to silence progressive Jews and promote a distorted and anti-Palestinian representation of the UCLA Jewish community. In light of their alleged role, we urge Hillel at UCLA to include JVP at UCLA in reforming its right-wing agenda and policies that alienate progressive Jews.

-Farman D. Would MSA West is a grassroots organization comprised of organizations across the UC's and in the region of the West hemisphere of the U.S. Muslim Student Association West (MSA West) calls on the University of California Student Association (UCSA) to conduct an investigation on student regent-designate nominee Avi Oved's previous funding sources. Amal Ali, formerly president of Students for Justice in Palestine at UC Riverside, recently presented the UCSA with a printed screenshot of an email message Oved allegedly wrote to Adam Milstein, founder of the pro-Israel Adam and Gila Milstein Foundation. In the email, Oved thanks Milstein for a "generous donation" to his 2013 campaign for Internal Vice President of UCLA's Undergraduate Students Association, promising to ensure UCLA maintains its allegiance to Israel. Maheen Ahmed, current MSA West vice president, spoke on behalf of MSA West and called for a thorough investigation of the contribution in a public comment during an emergency conference call held by UCSA board on July 1st. Ahmed shared her concerns about the alleged financial donation and the resulting potential conflict of interest.

Oved himself informed the UCSA little more than an hour before the conference that he would not be in attendance -- not allowing those in attendance to pose questions to him or discuss the matter transparently. Instead, he released a statement addressing the legality of the donation with respect to UCLA regulations on reporting funds. In doing so, however, Oved dodged the greater issue at hand of receiving funding from Milstein.

"Adam Milstein...supports organizations that are blatantly racist and islamophobic in their actions and speech, and having such support for a future UC Regent who is supposed to represent all the UC students at the Regent table is unacceptable," Ahmed said during the meeting. "It is beyond disturbing if our elected student representative who is supposed to represent all UC students holds financial contributions from such a racist and islamophobic person such as Adam Milstein." Milstein has made a series of islamophobic statements on his twitter account. Also of concern are the tone and language of the email in regards to Oved's former opponent Lana Habib El-Farra, and her affiliation with the Muslims Student Association -- suggesting potentially discriminatory views towards Muslim students system-wide. While MSA West acknowledges Oved's right to hold his own views on the Israel-Palestine conflict, concerns stem from his potential allegiance to a publicly Islamophobic outside individual who may provide him financial support. A student regent has the responsibility to represent the over 200,000 undergraduate and graduate students of the UC system -- not just specific discriminatory individuals and organizations of their choosing in return for monetary gifts. With consideration to the above information and concerns, it is now the responsibility of the UCSA to investigate whether Oved truly received funds from Milstein and the potential effects of such a donation on his performance as a student regent. Muslim Student Association West (MSA West) is a grassroots organization comprised of Muslim Student Associations (MSAs) representing campuses across the West Coast of the United States. We are a cohesive coalition of Muslim students united for the sake of Allah (God) who

aims to embody the concepts of unity, strength, and activism. MSA West strives to establish Islam as the forefront of all movements by speaking the truth, increasing awareness, dissolving stereotypes, resisting oppression, and strengthening the bond between Muslims worldwide— starting with our own campuses.

-Omar incoming outreach director for SJP West, coalition of SJP, writing to voice serious concerns about Student Regent Designate Avi Oved, the issue at hand is not divestment but the potential conflict of interest, the student that will be tasked with representing the entire student body and its need would be secondary importance. All students should be able to know that our representative should only be accountable to its constituency, and not outside racist, islamophobic organization. We need to know that Milstein's Islam phobic from such donor is a matter of grave concern, the commitment his refusal to remove himself with this organization, and the possibility of the integrity is being undermined by outside sources, is unacceptable and mush, It is very alarming that Oved refused to join in on UCSA's call for no apparent reason, and the email authenticity is extremely alarming and it leaks the trust of Oved to represent students and we hope that these allegations are investigated.

-Murphy closes public comments.

III. Appointments

A. USAC Finance Committee Chairperson – Cindy Wang

-Murphy says that he is forward Cindy Wang to be the Finance Committee Chairperson; she's already been on council following the resignation of Cynthia the former USAC Ficom Chair. Cindy will be skyping in her interview. Murphy adds that the finance committee chairperson would be someone to sit on council, and it is a priority appointment of the council to get her appointed, and she did not go through the ARC, so council can ask as many questions as they want and it will 10 mins allotted for that. Murphy adds that Baral sent a list of questions to council to ask her, but council can ask their own questions as well.

-Murphy explains typically there is only two minutes for opening statement, and there will be 10mins for council to ask questions, and it can exceed that as well, and there will be a minute and a half closing.

-Wang is a 4th year economics students, she says that having being in USAC FICOM for the past 3 years, and she says she's learned a lot of her experiences. She adds that hopefully she can bring but what she's learned as sitting as FICOM to improve the committee and the contingency funding: 1) increase fiscal literacy with working with different groups, USAC office, and SOLE 2) provide resources to students by increasing office hours and hosting more workshops, 3) I want improve the funding process by creating surveys for feedback and pass them to the student groups, she says it's important to know what the students what or need to help them more.

-Murphy asks for questions.

-Rosen say a lot of time a lot of students don't know what FICOM is, how you plan to increase visibility for student groups at UCLA.

-Wang says she plans to work with IVP and SOLE, so she plans to contact the student orgs so that there is 2 way communications to student groups directly. She wants to work with SOLE advisors directly so that they can pass on information to student orgs and

make sure there is always open communication between her and the orgs, and that FICOM isn't just accessible to student orgs who are already aware of FICOM.

-Baral ask what are her plans to improve the Finance Committee given that she's been on ficom this past year, what can you do to make sure it runs smoother next year?

-Wang says one problem is that members come in fresh and there is not a lot of knowledge about funding, and we think of external entities of making sure students get funding, and she wants to host internal workshops for the board so that they can host funding workshops for student orgs. She adds that she wants to try ficom members to

-Wong states that Wang said that one of your goals it host more summer funding workshops, will Wang be able to do so this summer?

-Wang says that she's planning to do it the 1-2weekS before the school starts, so that more people can be available to come. She wants to work with SOLE to contact student orgs signatories to get students out to these workshops, but is aware that students may still be on vacation or out of town.

-Rosen says that one of the biggest issues is that Wong will not be able to be there for most of the summer, she asks will she be able to Skype in for the meetings.

-Wang says she will be able to Skype in or she will have proxies in the meeting.

-Baral says one thing that of ficom's biggest jobs is to sign requisitions, how accessible will you be in the next year to do so?

-Wang states that when Cynthia left, and she took over the job, she was pretty available and checked the mailboxes, every other day.

-Badalich asks will Wang be available during August and September when offices are planning for the upcoming year, for things like officer preparation, Bruin Bash, etc.; she asks how Wang plans to sign requisitions when she won't be physically around campus.

-Wang says she will not be here for August so she will have her vice chair have signatory power to sign requisitions, and she will be available in September.

-Badalich says she would advise for August to have someone signing it because bigger commissioners need access to money very soon.

-Wang says she would have her vice chair or one of the board members to have signatory power when she is not around.

-Badalich asks what Wang's definition of fair funding is.

-Wang says she thinks fair funding is to be neutral about everything and to look at every event without bias. Fair funding is striking a balance between neutrality and the purpose of the event and impact of the event to the campus. She said with fair funding is focusing on the events focus and impact.

-Murphy states the FICOM should be knowledgeable of all funding pots, what funding pots do you think students aren't using most effectively?

-Wang says that there are several, she says specifically those who apply to BOD come to contingency for questions, and a lot of students don't know how to access the funds. For example, when its allocated students always ask the next year or end of June to try to access funds. She says its funding chairs that need to work together to solve these problems.

-Contreras asks besides your experience why you want to come back for a 4th year on FICOM.

-Wang says that she is very passionate about the job in order to helps student groups, because of her own frustration and when she experienced funding chairs literally not

responding to her messages or questions, or where to find them, something she experience in her 1st year. She wants to make herself more available so student groups and workshops so students know who to go to and where to go to when people need help.

-Geller asks assuming that you are appointed, when do you plan to appoint your committee members?

-Wang is planning to recruit a vice chair first, and the vice chair will have signatory power throughout the summer. The new FICOM members will be available and selected in fall quarter, because she wants freshmen to apply.

Council discusses appointed

-Baral says he is sorry that ARC couldn't meet and he thought it was worth having a long interview at council, and because requisitions need to be starting to get signed, he saw that they could forego the process of ARC. He states that he's already started working with Cindy about working with student groups, funding accessibility, transparency, and that he loves Cindy and she would make a great candidate.

-Rosen says one characteristic is that she is very knowledgeable she is able to communicate to students in a way that is understandable, even though funding is so complex.

-Garcia says Cindy was extremely accessible when she took over Cynthia's term, she was always available and in her office...

-Wong asks who will be signing of requisitions.

-Murphy says she is extremely excited to have this appointment on the table so that he doesn't have to sign hundreds of requisitions anymore. Joking Murphy says that on his first day of signing requisition he had to sign 200 and he gained a lot of respect for the FICOM chairpersons' role. Murphy says he will continue to sign them until the ficom chair is approved and when her vice chair is approved and has signatory power. He says constitutionally, that the ficom signs it, and if they are not here than their vice chair does if they have signatory power, then the President, IVP, EVP and it goes down the line of constitutionally order. He lastly adds that she doesn't sign the requisitions

-Bach says she has been extremely helpful and wise and has.

- (Manjot proxy) says it's good to have knowledge and has experience with being in ficom and acting as the chair for some time, it's good that she knows about student group funding and that she can translates this to students.

-Baral asks for clarification if the vice chair appointment needs a consensus vote by council for 2 weeks to approve.

-Geller says that it's a consent item, so if she knows already knows who it is tonight, and if she's approved, she can go ahead and do a consent vote tonight and add it to the agenda, and it can be affirmed tonight.

-Baral asks can the vote go via email.

-Zimmerman says an email consent votes haven't been done in the past.

-Rosen moves to approve Cynthia Wang as Ficom Chair. Wong seconds.

-Motion is approved with vote of 7-0-0.

-Murphy ask Wang can she be sure to approve a vice chair at next meeting and add that meeting.

IV. Officer and Member Reports

A. President – Devin Murphy

-Murphy says he emailed an email around New Student Orientation tabling, he says to please include staff members and for those to get straight to work during the summer, it's an excellent to get them to be excited about your office and engage new students and getting that information out for internships to get people to internships. He encourages USAC to fill it out ASAP.

-Murphy said he had a long meeting with Roy and Patty about the USA budget and fill free to have questions about the budget when the discussion of the budget comes up.

-Murphy meets with GSA president monthly so that GSA/USAC is collaborating on any issue if possible. He is working on a workers comp (with Office of the President) and the mental health campaign that council should start talking about.

-Murphy met with Kelly Miller about Café Powel and the plan is known moving forward and the GSA President is moving forward, and now it is a meeting need with ASULCA EX Director, and the consultation for the creative of Burin Plate. His plan is to have healthy options for students as well.

-Murphy brought two directors from Savannah's office too, in order to get plans for the mental health campaign and so they can develop what councilmembers want to contribute to the campaign, and he wants to dictate what USAC resources can be used to develop a campaign to affect change on campus. He plans to talk about the mental health campaign more in depth during

B. Internal Vice President – Avinoam Baral

-Baral say the date for USAC retreat is September 19-20th and he is working with Patty to see the time and date of the retreat, it will on a Friday and Sunday. Baral will keep USAC in the loop about the retreat and he is working on getting stakeholders available to present.

-Baral recently found out Westwood Neighborhood Council is having a weekly council meeting tomorrow, and they want to do a resolution that they will present to L.A. City Council and UCPD, about apron parking, and because there is so many students living in Westwood, there is no other way to spread students parking out in Westwood. It is tomorrow in the Westwood Presbyterian Church and obviously the resolutions aren't binding they are an important part of the UCLA community, the renter seats have gone through a non-UCLA majority and it usually is people who don't leave in the North Village making resolutions about people in the North Village.

-Baral met with Roxanne Neal and they talked about transfer orientation and about USAC being more present in the space. USAC will be tabling at this orientation and if you are available you should definitely table for this event. He adds that for the first time ever there will be a USAC poster card to go into the bag of the transfer, 3,000 will be given to transfers. He says that Quintanilla Q (USAC Facilities Commissioner) made a poster card. He made sure to mention the transfer rep for council was open for Baral says it cost him \$177 and he is paying for it with his summer stipend and he wanted to retroactive funding and couldn't get retroactive funding for SGA.

-Badalich asks was there any money in his office

-Baral says that there isn't money in IVP for it.

- Badalich says to reach out to her about SWC funding the poster cards.
- Baral has been looking into a Bluetooth microphone for a table to hear USAC live better, and has heard feedback that it's not great and he plans to ask from discretionary in August to buy the microphone and a USAC chrome book to use for council so they don't have to use his personal computer for USAC live all the time.
- Baral brought a design for the internship flyer for orientation, and that he wants to use the same design again for this year and ask Murphy and Contreras for blurbs to their internships.
 - Murphy asks what the reason why Westwood Neighborhood Council doesn't like apron parking is.
- Badalich says sometimes he pinched marks of the sideways is a safety issues for wheelchairs, and sometimes students have to walk in the streets because of the parking, she lastly adds that she doesn't think they like it.
- Baral says they are doing a lot of resolutions and that it is the most pressing issue, and he can send the email out to council.

C. External Vice President – Conrad Contreras

- Contreras released conference delegation application for UCSA/USSA's congress and students get to choose on what issues they want to work on statewide/nationwide and essentially get to execute the way it is advocated/educated on. At the end of both congresses, students get to choose what issues they want to work on for the school year. Contreras reached out to USAC members in order for them to apply and host workshops. H adds that historically the conference has been used by staff members of EVP to train them for the school year, although a lot of other students are always allowed to go.
- Contreras just met with Cynthia regarding voter registration during Volunteer Day to make voter registration fun and to make a social media contest by having a collage for students to take pictures of their service and also their voter registration forms.
- Contreras says that during the UCSA board meeting, UCSA voted to continue the IGNITE campaign for an additional year.
- Contreras says that the UCSA's System wide Affair passed a motion to recommend the UC Regents to postpone the confirmation Student Regent designate Avi Oved, to get more student feedback. UCSA staff is still working on how an investigation is going to work out.

D. Academic Affair Commissioner – Allyson Bach

- Bach says some AAC appointments need to be interviewed by ARC, so she's hoping to have the interviews done in late August, and get them approved late September.
- Bach states that the Writing Success Program has a new director and AAC wants to provide new resources and programs for AAC, and hopefully to make the WSP better than it ever has been.
- Bach says she is thrilled about the university 10-20-30 classes that are being offered next year, so that freshman/transfer have a knowledge and experience to a smooth transition and it prioritize for all students for academic success.

E. Student Wellness Commissioner – Savannah Badalich

-Badalich said she will be meeting Roxanne Neal, and with New Student Advisor's to talk about sexual assault prep and how to provide support after the larger groups, to disperse into smaller groups, and working with CAPS about mental health and making sure someone with the Santa Monica Treatment Center. She says she will talk about the questions you should be ready, and how to respond to them, and how to provide support and trainings for those who don't know what to do. So she wants to work with CAPS in case someone wants to meet, and of course. She will be talking about 1) what about false reports and 2) what about drinking and how it plays apart.

-Badalich and Quintanilla will be scheduling a meeting with Dana for Safety and Security Council for ORL, who are working on gender neutral restrooms and showers. There are gender neutral options for suites, and they are trying to figure how about residents halls to adopt general natural showers in residential hall.

-Badalich the first UCLA Sexual Assault task force first's meeting is this Friday so she will send out a google doc so that councilmembers can send it out to their offices to get student input, and there needs to be more student feedback on the task force. She is asking on how to get more student input, and she doesn't really know a way to make sure it, but she says that all of it will be confidential to get honest feedback.

-Badalich and Murphy meet about Mental Health and when they plan to meeting with USAC they want you to come up with a creative way that would be affective and brainstorm, and outreach with people in your office to see if they are passionate about it.

-Badalich will be planning their fall quarter events and plans to meet with Councilmembers to solicit help that other offices may need from SWC and how other offices can help SWC.

-Garcia asks can you send a blurb about the mental health campaign in order for her to outreach to her staff.

-Murphy says that they only thing they talked about in the mental health was how they can facilitate council help in initiative so that it's a council campaign, so that it is very open and free for people to get involved and invested.

-Badalich said she gave ownership to other student orgs to do their own events regarding the 7000 in Solidarity and some councilmembers gave verbal support and she wants to see that in the mental health campaign.

V. Administrative Representative

Debra Geller

-Geller will be attending the task force meeting on Monday, and they will be working with different conduct directors to collaborate in groups based on their functions and then they will come together and have something together to practice for the university.

Patty Zimmerman

-Zimmerman says she will not be at the next USAC meeting.

-Zimmerman has SGOF applications available for council and they will need to be completed before August 1st. SGOF money will be available

-Badalich is that just for SGOF?

-Zimmerman says that you have to do the same process for referendum money; she refers council to go to SGA to know about referendum money directly and tell you what people did last year.

-Badalich – is there an electronic version of the SGOF application?

-Zimmerman says yes there is and we will email an electronic one as well just makes sure that they are completed by August 1.

-Baral asked if they will get business cards.

-Zimmerman says you will get them but not until after August 1st because you use the SGOF funding and they order them through Vista Print and Vista Print does just a PO through student funding. Last year they adjusted the look of the cards.

VI. Funding Allocations

A. Contingency Programming

-Baral asks can USAC pass contingency allocations even though the FICOM is not here.

-Murphy confirms.

-Baral says he had a question on the three allocations on the contingency form from 3 different dance orgs, and he points out that the events are 2 days apart and every amount in the amount requested is the maximum amount possible. He says he is wondering how ficom deals with those type of applications.

-Murphy says that based on what Wang tells her, she said because those events don't over

-Geller says the 1st two are orgs that are co-programming the same event. They register for three different orgs, but they share the same website, and when Wang is here she will be able to explain the contingency processes and how they deal with co-programming. Geller adds that this has happened before where orgs are seeking/funding towards the same/similar event. Each group will request a different portion of the event.

-Baral asked is there a max per event?

-Geller says there is a maximum per line items but not per application.

-Murphy there is financial line item per and a maximum set per the maximum of each line item added together.

-Zimmerman says it's based on application and that's about it.

-Murphy says that if council would like they could have her vice chair come in and explain the procedures and policies.

-Baral says his only worry was that was a lot of money being allocated, but if it's been dealt with in the past and is not a problem, then that's a problem.

-Murphy says this is summer contingency, so FICOM may be trying to use all the money.

-Baral moves to approve contingency allocations. Contreras seconds.

-Motion passes with vote of 6-0-1.

VII. New Business

A. Publicity Director Stipend

-Quintanilla (via proxy) read publicity directors job description.

-Quintanilla (via Baral) says that after speaking with Devin the title should be called Communications because the position deals with internal and external communications. He wanted to ask the council to determine whether they would get a stipend or not, which could dictate where they are implemented in the bylaws when he submits it to CRC.

Quintanilla's opinion is that they should get a stipend, because if they are doing the job

correctly then this could be too much work for someone who isn't stipend. He imagined a) giving them a small stipend possibly similar to Comm Board; 2) give them a working budget to produce marketing resources. He says that the negative things about the stipend are that it could take away from student groups from SOOF/SGOF. The best option is a working budget for the position, and lastly are there any options in the budget that wouldn't take from student groups?

-Badalich asked if there is any communication with Quintanilla right now.

-Quintanilla's proxy stated she has tried texting him.

-Badalich says that she is confused on whether it's a Communications Director or Publicity Director?

-Baral says he believes that after the conversation with Devin it should be changed to Communications Director.

-Murphy

-Badalich says she had a question about "D" of the job description and where it says they would work with the USAC webmaster on a monthly basis to update website for programs for student groups. She asks should it be a monthly or weekly basis.

-Rosen says she think he is trying to say that it would be updated on a weekly basis, she said she is interrupting it as such.

-Wong asks that in terms of this position will this be an isolated position or committee.

-Murphy says that it will be a USAC appointment and it will be one person. He adds that it doesn't mean that the person cannot have more student help, and the role in selecting one person would be one person.

-Rosen asks will it be like in FICOM that it would be in the bylaws to say there will be a committee or it will be at the discretion of the person.

-Murphy says it can be amended in the bylaws to say there will be a committee as well.

-Rosen says as a former person in marketing from Financial Supports Commission, it would helpful to have a committee, because it is a lot of work, as well as there being a lot of student involved in marketing but there isn't a major here for it, it would be extremely beneficial for council to do so.

-Quintanilla's proxy asked can she all you Quintanilla to answer questions.

-Badalich asks is the title Publicity Director or Communications Director?

-Quintanilla says it's Communications Directors?

-Badalich asks does the time in line 2D, what does he mean, is it supposed to say monthly or weekly, because it currently says both in the sentence?

Quintanilla says that it's just an error on his part.

-Wong asks a question as if it will be a committee or an isolated position?

-Quintanilla said there would be a volunteer committee and that it would it need to be a bylaw changed?

-Zimmerman says that if it's going to be an official committee then it needs to be a bylaw change, it won't be an official committee, it wouldn't need one.

-Garcia say she thinks for now it should be open to volunteers to see how it's going to run to see if there is a lot of work, and if there is a need to be an official committee, then it came happen?

-Murphy ask is it okay to take away from G, and the election board would work autonomous from USAC, Election Board Committee's usually have their own communications/publicity directors.

- Quintanilla says that's fine.
- Baral said that the main conversations that they want to have is about stipends, and the complexities of the position can be ironed out at a later date. He adds that this his understanding is that Quintanilla put it on the agenda was to see if we were to give it a stipend so we would need to know by tonight in order for the budget to be approved by tonight and that the bylaws would be ironed out later.
- Zimmerman says if you have preset calculations as ASUCLA BOD, and USAC FICOM and using surplus or SGOF... If you want to use a different avenue, you can use discretionary, but it typically isn't used for stipends. She adds that if the position comes from an office it can be stipend that way through SGOF or an office budget.
- Quintanilla says it can come from the Art Referendum.
- Badalich says that if it comes from the discretionary she sees it is appropriate that as something that comes from discretionary but only if comes as a budget not for a stipend. So maybe the amount Quintanilla recommended \$500, for flyers, advertising, etc.
- Rosen says she completely agrees and she doesn't think the stipend is appropriate at them time, and they don't know the position is going to look like, and if they want to be very professional graphic designer, we should see that if most publicity goes on to social media for all of USAC. She adds that it's important to consider that they should be strategic with budget amount for the position.
- Garcia says she agrees to not give a stipend, and the stipend would be going to the person and not the projects, and they would still need a budget which is additional money.
- Badalich says logistically USAC would have to hold off on the budget tonight as they decide the budget for the position.
- Champawat says that if there are simple changes in budget that need to be discussed then it can be simply calculated and added.
- Badalich says it's too new of a position before there can be a stipend position, she said it is important to see how valuable it is for USAC, but she does feel comfortable with a budget.
- Murphy asks if there is a general consensus about there not being a stipend for the position.
- Wong asks if this position would get a budget but not stipend would it come from discretionary funding.
- Murphy says that it could come out of discretionary, discretionary is theirs to utilize and it could be used for this position. He adds just for a reminder if we add new positions that mean it takes from SGOF/SOOF and everything is flexible but it takes from other funding pools.
- Garcia says looking at J and that the position would be in charge of recruit of outreach, would that get in way of specific offices marketing, she asks would it get in the way of CAC's marketing, for instance.
- Badalich answers that that it would be to supplement to the marketing and outreach of an office and not to take its place.
- Murphy says it's pretty much a consensus. He adds that council can talk about explicit job duties at another time. Murphy tells Quintanilla that it's unanimous in terms of that not being a stipend positions, but there could be other ways of incentives for this potions.

He says for instances ASUCLA could provide a \$50 gift card as an incentive and other incentive for the positions.

-Quintanilla said that's fine.

-Murphy adds that he want to remind people that his Internal Public Affairs Director in his office that could help as well and be a resource.

-Quintanilla says that's fine and hangs up.

B. USAC Budget

-Champawat says that he apologizes if gave people any confusion on specific policy and changing policy of stipends. He says that almost all of your money will be coming back to your office and a lot of money would be determined by the electorate, so most of the money locked up in that, and that is not what we are discussing. A lot of income is already projected or "hard wired" to your office. For example, SWC's money is already determined by enrollment. Very little of USAC offices money is indexed to inflation which means that when inflation increases over time it buys a little less over time as your money is coming in. A characteristic of your budget and essentially there is a line item as a "remainder" which is split between SGOF/SOOF. There is intentional money, like a bylaw that says that there is a membership fee, which is 2 that hasn't been changed since 1982 and most of the cost come out of that membership fee. USAC Programming Fund (BOD) is a primary group that gets a lot of application and it says that 10% of membership goes to that funding pot and another percentage goes to Contingency, SOOF/SGOF get the remaining of this. Things to point out: New fee Arts Restoring Community fee. Money is determined by enrollment, it is multiply by the fee level, you can front the money and it is allowed for those to front early. The other thing is that the USAC Student Programming Endowment is available this year. Overhead budget is supposed to increase with other people in CA because of minimum increases across the state. Overhead budgets like SGA, utility cost, OSAC, Budget Review, and Election Board, the budget accounts for special elections and the new transfer representative. Stipends are already budgeted and the special election has already been budget as well.

-Badalich ask did Champawat calculate the transfer rep at the time it's supposed to start in the fall, starting in possibly November, or did you start it now or the early fall before its selected?

-Champawat says it's calculated as of now, and says he can trim a couple of months.

-Badalich says it should be updated.

-Murphy says it definitely wouldn't be September, because school starts the first week of October this year, and bylaws states that you cannot have an election the first week of school. Murphy said he would assume there first stipend would be November. He adds that he will work with SGA to notify them.

-Champawat says their certainly wouldn't be someone elected in October, and that the changes have been made and the remaining money will go to surplus.

-Murphy let's ask questions because there are a lot

-Baral asks about the \$40,000 increase in the administrative overhead area for the line item of administrative support services?

-Champawat say there are a lot of increases of admin, because of pay increases, university struggle over the years for retirement money and it being significantly

underfunded. There are benefit expenses there and it collects a lot of other administrative pieces. It is essentially all of the offices' expenses, accountant expenses, etc.

-Baral says that it's just retirement and benefits and it basically made up of that line item.

-Champawat says essentially yes, and there is senior staff retiring and that they need a security blanket to make sure there is funding going into there.

-Contreras asked is Admin Services was paid for by USAC or how can it be paid?

-Champawat says it's always paid for by USAC/GSA and it's a hard time to try to change the model because ASUCLA services enterprise will probably lose 800k this year and next year, and its losing way more money that it is taking in at this point. He adds it's hard to change the model and its hard times all around.

-Rosen asked what was the jump between years of admin support services, and is the jump is always 40k.

-Champawat says that they are trying to play catch up of change that has occurred over the last couple of years. Benefits changes, retirement, pay rate changes. He says that the level of money is quite low, and the office is quite lean.

-Wong asked what the surplus withdrawal is.

-Champawat says that the surplus withdrawal is that you get of money each year and you get from all offices who does not use its money, and surplus funds never leaves USA and it can be re-directed to other purposes, like USA programming and capital contingency and once unique opportunities that you all want to focus on and that is also something that this money is focused on. The can be used for any purpose and not what it was originally intended to be used for.

-Wong asks can each office be draining money from one fiscal years.

-Champawat says you have X amount of funds to use it to a great extent. There is also always going to be surplus, it's quite impossible to not have it. You distribute money into little cups and there are remainders in lot of these little cups, a commissioner usually have surplus.

-Murphy encourages those to ask questions.

-Baral asked what is the time restriction for the budget, when does it need to passed?

-Champawat says you want to have time to approve because it is your framework for next year and you want to approve it so you can start spending so you can have money in your account to spend for next year.

-Murphy says that the budget should be approved by August 1st so council can have access to the funds.

-Geller says for those who have designated amount in your budget, and you have a new referendum passed, the expectation is that you will actually use that money for what students are going to tax themselves for. You are going to have surplus, and you are going to have surplus for the next year. She says it shouldn't be intentional for those to spend less on programming for the purpose of surplus, because surplus is inevitable. If you do what ask for the surplus to do, which means there will be less for the student groups about. She says that year after year it should be a referendum to support different programs or should it be a referendum for student groups to just apply to. She adds that it's risky to count on surplus for your program and it's your obligation to do it on the things that you told students to pay for.

-Champawat says sometimes surplus is perceived as negative amount going to student groups, and that there are so many variables and you cannot predict how it will come out.

He adds that the best action is to have great programming and not to worry about what the surplus would be for the next year.

-Contreras asks for clarification on who has access to the surplus from last year?

-Champawat says that they don't know what surplus will be from the previous council because the fiscal year isn't closed, and once they go through the audit in November it will be determined. He adds that a high surplus is not good because that means that offices aren't adequately using their funding wisely. He adds that this happened a couple of years ago where there was an article surplus being really high it is in your account and money is never dispersed without actual committee signatures or council approval.

-Contreras asked maybe there is a relative time when surplus is developed, who gets access to that money.

-Champawat says that they coordinate with the president to discuss how much it is

-Contreras says it's looking like councilmembers have priority over student groups?

-Champawat say the mechanism that the council puts in place a significant amount of money to that same student body, the notion that there are council priorities that this table would want to discuss before is in orderly way. Mechanisms have been put in place to deal with surplus and that an X amount goes to USA and sometimes it goes to the endowment and it stabilizes surplus if it slows and it varies a great deal year-to-year and the fund goes up and down and they end up with the impression that you all are increasing or decreasing the amount that. And, a large part of the mechanism was put in place to affect a little more to focus on the larger student group sphere. The council oversees the money, the mechanism that the council has put in place its set up for council priorities. And USAC is open to do council initiatives first. He says it's important to follow checks and balances system and that there is s

-Rosen asked what the USA secretary is.

-Murphy says traditionally it used to be a hired secretary for the President office, now that money is used for administrative assistance within his office, like his chief of staffs, admin assets, and internal public affairs positions. Last year it was used only for assistance but the staff was cut down because of USAC officer stipends. He says that a lot of their stuff deals with external publicity, so it's important them to receive some sort of stipend. He adds that the stipends aren't comparable to officer stipends at all.

-Rosen asked is there a way council can get a number breakdown of where it's going specifically because 10 thousand dollars is a lot of money.

-Murphy asked a number breakdown of what?

-Rosen says a breakdown of how to stipends are allotted specifically because it's a lot of money.

-Murphy says that all the information is available through SGA and it goes to assistance of the admin in his office.

-Badalich says it's similar to how her office is set up to have assistants to the directors.

-Murphy asks what specifically would she want to see?

-Rosen says she can go to SGA and found out the breakdown.

-Murphy says that great and it should have the breakdown from last year.

-Baral asked should USAC rename it since it isn't USAC secretary and just for the president.

-Murphy said that it is a USAC Secretary and it's any they will deal with everything the council does, for instance Jameison Cortez the Internal Public Relations director will

work with all of USAC. He adds that that's why he's for a Communications director, but he already has someone in his office that is tasked with similar things that person would be doing. For example, last year the Internal Public Relations person was tasked with redoing the USAC brochures, and speechwriting. Murphy says it can be renamed if we want to but it is for assistance of the President's office, and it goes back to history of the position.

-Rosen says it should be renamed for President's office stipends because it currently looks like it accessible to all, and does it help all of council but it's only through the President's office. She said it

-Murphy says he wouldn't want to call it just President Office assistant because it doesn't just work for the President's office. He adds that the President's office chief of staff helps with a lot of other people and not just the president office; they work for all of council. He said it doesn't matter

-Baral says he doesn't know the point of naming something a non-existent USA account that is in the president's office, and we should name it for what's its being used for.

- (AAC proxy) says that along the line USAC should discuss renaming it to maybe reflect what it's really used for and maybe historically yes it was for secretaries and now it can be changed.

-Champawat says he thinks the term "secretaries" is outdated/old fashion and it's throwing people off. He thinks the title is trying conveying a general asset for all of council that serves as an administrative job for all of council that's centered in the president's office. He adds to an older person.

-Rosen says that if this is something that is going to work through the President's office for all of council, could it be something to be helpful in the mental health campaign.

-Murphy says that the staff will help with the campaign but it will not be their only duty, and the money allotted their cannot be used for the campaign but only for the stipends of assistants. He says his whole team will be working on mental health.

- (FC proxy) asks what Kerckhoff telephones are?

-Murphy answers and says the telephones in each office.

-Zimmerman says that if your telephone is not working then to notify her. She also says not to unplug the phone because its unnecessary fees to fix that problem.

-Champawat says to the average student office phones don't seem too relatable. He adds that landlines are a stable, multi-generational, multi-term number for continuity of the office. He says there is a need to have continuity in the office via communication.

-Rosen asked is it just for phones for office phones of USAC members or all of Kerckhoff?

-Champawat says that it's just for USAC offices.

-Murphy asked if Rosen had a phone in her office.

-Rosen says no and asks Council does anyone use their phone. She exclaims that it's a lot of money.

-Murphy says that the USAC president office does use their phones and agrees it's a lot of money.

-Champawat says that that's the fee for 12 months and the phones represent two things: 1) administrative capabilities of communication and 2) phones now represent TIF--

-Geller adds Technology Infrastructure, its internet access.

- Champawat says it's a part of other aspects of your communications tools. Its communications infrastructure, its accessed by a headcount and we pay for the entire communications infrastructure that includes more advanced technology.
- Wong asked is the office stipend line item is it just for office stipends and if we want to stipend for my assistant commissioner, chiefs of staff, or asst director? And what is the process for them access money?
- Zimmerman says you're supposed to breakdown your budget and how you're going to divide up your office money and SGOF money for stipends, and that what you give SGA.
- Wong asked in order for my office members to access the money do they have to set up an employment application.
- Zimmerman says yes.
- Champawat says that what the SGOF application is for, it helps SGA understand the line items for the office in finer details.
- Badalich says that if Cynthia needs any help with divide it she can assist her, telephones she says is very important for commissioners to have a telephone because they put on a lot of events and they use the telephones for event and communicating with campus entities, and she was contacted by the Huffington Post via her office telephone. Badalich encourage council to put their office telephone on the website. She adds that
- Champawat sometimes the outside world your USAC website will come
- Badalich says her phone line is used 3-4 times a day, and she asks does the transfer rep will there be adjustment for SGOF/SOOF.
- Champawat confirms.
- Garcia says she uses her phone for agents, vendors, and other things in regards to Jazz Reggae, ever hour there for different reasons.
- Champawat says that it is a great observation because even if it's most accessible to use your own phone, for continuity it's better to use office phones to stabilize your office.
- Kalfayan says CEC uses the phone a lot too, he says that he notices that on the line items under budge table income, and in the line items says "campus events" what is the historical content of that?
- Champawat says it is split between CEC and CAC and almost of it is split between the to. He can verify that for Kalfayan.
- Baral says the money is split \$6 each for CAC / CEC. And then there is \$100,000 for bruin bash. He asked how he can get a phone.
- Murphy says that if you don't have a phone, please communicate to Patty about that. Murphy says that there are different phones call each day and has to refer it to different councilmembers.
- Champawat says that at one point, older folks are going miss perhaps there are too many lines than we need, maybe it's important to trim some of these costs to make sure council isn't wasting the money, and they're aren't trying to defend every phone, maybe there are some unnecessary.
- Murphy asks would that cut expenses or would it just remove phones.
- Champawat he says that both could happen, but the meaning behind it would be to cut costs.
- (Manjot proxy) asks is it possible to review why it costs so much for the school year and so that people are aware of where it goes?

-Champawat says it absolutely makes sense and as long as they find someone to work for us to figure out the extensive costs. He says that 4-5 years ago they did find money in phones and put it towards stipends.

- (Manjot proxy) asks why the line item for Gen Rep 1, 2, 3 went from some money to \$0.

-Champawat says that this is the budget framework, it mostly budgets the mandatory fees that comes from referendum, sometimes in your account there is money from other income, could have been surplus or some program that had outside funding from the university. He adds it could have come from another university.

-Zimmerman says that someone could have applied to contingency or BOD and been allocated that money.

-Champawat says it could have also been transferred from SOLE or another funding pot.

-Contreras says he found out that there was two different accounts one EVP and the other for UCSA/USSA Travel; he asked why is it travel?

-Champawat said it's because the referendum specifically funded travel for UCSA/USSA travel. He says it isn't tied to

-Rosen says that there were groups that cannot access SOOF, she asks Murphy to explain that to council

-Murphy says that the leftover funds are split 80%-20% is split between to go to SFOF. He says that last year's IVP ran on a SOOF campaign to get students knowledgeable about SOOF and interested in apply. Murphy passed a sheet around of student groups who used very small amount to no amount of the SOOF allocation, and over half didn't use it all. Although applications went up slightly, students groups didn't use SOOF for a number of reasons. He says student groups either don't know how to use their money or they don't know what SOOF is really. Murphy adds that council has to look at the ineffectiveness of SOOF in how its ran now, SOOF is declining this year because student groups aren't using SOOF affectively. Murphy says council has to look at somewhere of how to help student groups access fund they should have, and he adds that SOOF is declining this year. He says that the decline may be okay in regards to the low number of student groups actually utilizing the funds, and that the money wouldn't necessarily be missed by the student groups.

-Champawat says last year was the most recent year that students tried to get student groups used more often and changed some of the usage guidelines and yet there is still a problem. First the utilization of SOOF was very low so the Budget Review Director and brought forth a number of changes to help and increase the utilization. Before they add the guideline that SOOF can fund t-shirts to hopefully get more orgs to apply, so they've tried a couple of things over the years.

-Rosen says thinks the way they are looking at its skewed because students don't know how to use SOOF but that's council's problem. She said that she should be an excuse as to why its lower not punish students because they don't know how to use it, she says they should focus on literacy for all student groups. Additionally she says she wants to clarify officer stipends, last year that they did a policy that the stipend is tied to minimum, they would make sure that it is connected to minimum wage and it isn't council trying to do its own stipends.

-Badalich says that she made the motion last year for it to be tied to 20 hours per week times the CA minimal wage, she said prior it is \$8, now its \$9, and then it will \$10 in

2013, so that councils wouldn't have to raise their own stipends and it will happen manually. Last years

-Champawat says there is not raise or votes of stipends today. He says the groups who are applying for the money may not be the same people who are accessing the funds, and if you have another fund that speaks different needs. Some of the problem is that the distant in time and the people applying versus using the funds and maybe they don't communicate.

-Murphy says maybe the SOOF system we have isn't working, and the new Budget Review Director would be tasked with making this work. It isn't the fault of the student groups, we can possibility change the accessibility/flexibility of what student groups need and we are doing enough to change financial guidelines around what SOOF can be. We really have to figure out as a council to make sure it works for student groups. He says that maybe creating a new pot that has some of the money to meet the needs of student groups.

-Champawat says for the first time we have some earnings from the endowment which gives us options that we can plowed back into the fund to continue to build it and make it continue to build and make more effective for the future, its currently in the hand of the UCLA fund.

-Baral ask where is it allocated right now?

-Champawat says that if you do not what to reinvest it, it can go into the ASUCLA Programing Fund, you will building that to go there. If you re-invest it, it could be more powerful and this is something kind of

-Baral says it should be reinvested into the endowment, he says that yes its intent was for student programming and events, but he knows that the purpose of the endowment was for it to build for several years, esp. with the help of surplus. He adds that it should be used 5-10 years from now in case surplus is low, and it wouldn't probably be a lot of surplus to go into that endowment after this current school year.

-Murphy asks for clarification on what voting on the budget means and if they are deciding on where the money is going in terms of the endowment if they vote.

-Champawat says that USAC is acknowledging the money is there, and that us voting now is not saying we are directing the money in a specific place. To add to Baral, he says that the earnings aren't your investment, he say you have much more potential to profit it stay in investment and it has purchase power against inflation, and it would earn money.

-Rosen exclaims that she is very uncomfortable on the amount that SOOF is lowered to and she says it isn't fair for student groups even if they are not using it. She says she knows that this really isn't council fault, or because or stipends, but she adds that it's a drastic change and if there is anything they can do in regards to SOOF.

-Murphy says that council must take into account the additional expenses to the budget, like OSAC, additional \$6,000 for the special election, SGA admin assistances, etc.

-Badalich says that the transfer rep stipend should go back, and the Gen Rep can be additional funding as well to help SOOF. She says it's not a lot but it's something.

-Garcia says that SOOF was put in place to alleviate funding and it can help with funding but it isn't to be depended on because there are other funding sources.

-Champawat says that more than half the money is unutilized in the SOOF budget, and sometimes there is an unlevelled allocation for the actual need. Because there is a lot of allocation but there isn't a lot of need, maybe you should subsidize

- Wong asks if the TGIF, in terms of that budget is there only used students to apply or more for the university.
- Champawat says that it's directed by students, and it's changed the school community to effective sustainability to the community, some of the fund is used from the ability to approach different elements in the community for sustainable change. One of the best uses for TGIF was the solar panels that are on Ackerman Union. The university wasn't in the situation to pay for it and was not in position to invest without a guarantee able return. Students were adamant about it and believe in it, and it happened.
- Murphy asks what are the financial policies surround TGIF are those polices that the TGIF board creates or the USAC?
- Zimmerman there is bylaws that are edited by the TGIF with USAC approval.
- Murphy said that is difficult to use the funding pots. He adds that TGIF calculates the gap between what it would have been if you were environmentally sustainable and why wouldn't have been. He says that when you think about the inaccessibility of the guidelines and its 300k to TGIF, those guidelines should be revised to meet standards of student groups for programming.
- Zimmerman says that Carlos Quintallia chairs TGIF this year and he is passionate about sustainability and they will be doing a lot of outreach to student orgs.
- Champawat says perhaps there is a good time to review the policies to make sure they are effective for students.
- Zimmerman says that she believes that Murphy is referring to the mini-fund was created after the TGIF became to and the TGIF FUND more accessible for student groups and d
- Champawat was created to make sure TGIF mini was accessible in the middle time of the regular fund, for little events.
- Murphy says he realized that we have funding and there are a lot of loopholes, it needs to be conversations about financial policies that need to be look at in order to change things to be more accessible for students. For example, how ASUCLA BOD doesn't pay for food, but that's what most student groups need when apply for programming needs, or how SOOF is for supplies and retreats but you can just apply to contingency for that. Murphy adds that the Budget Review Director will be tasked with doing this, as well the funding study group. Lastly he says that council should focus on funding reform to best help student groups and programming.
- Champawat said that budget framework is always flexible and
- Murphy asks should
- Champawat asks what USAC should do on the USAC endowment.
- Murphy says that at any time USAC can bring it up to discuss and decide what to do with the endowment.
- Rosen says in regards of the name change does this need to happen?
- Murphy says it is up to council, they can rename it to "USA Assistants".
- Badalich says she knows what secretaries mean so she isn't interested in changing the name, she said it should be "USA Assistants or USA secretaries" and she doesn't feel comfortable naming it Office of the President.
- Champawat says it's almost like the assistants/executes in the executive branch. Maybe it should be called "Executive Assistants" or "Executive Office".
- Rosen says she likes the title "Executive assistants" because "Executive Office" sounds like it's an entirely different office.

- Baral says it should have something that says/shows who has control of the money in the title and that's what most of the other line items are after.
- Champawat says that there's a difference of who controls it and what it's used for.
- Baral says he doesn't know why it shouldn't be named USAC office of the president.
- Badalich says she doesn't think it's necessary.
- Champawat says the name implies that it's for the President for the council and for the everyone, not just the operations of the President.
- Rosen says that everything the President's office does is for the council just like everything her office does is for the council as well. She says she sees some type of blurred lines between the two, she understands the purpose, and she is trying to make sure
- Murphy suggests USA Executive Assistants.
- (Bach proxy) says possibility changing it Kerckhoff telephones to USA Telecommunications.
- Champawat says that that works because it applies to
- Badalich asks can we have a breakdown of the funding that will be returned to SOOF or SGOF from the transfer rep and gen rep vacancies for 2 months.
- Baral motions to approve a 5 minute recess. Badalich seconds. Approved by consensus.

Return from recess.

- Champawat calculates the changes to SGOF/SOOF. SGOF would be \$17081. SOOF would be \$68,323.
- Zimmerman it includes both the redaction of both transfer and gen reps stipend.
- Rosen asks what months that includes.
- Zimmerman says summer, September and October. New positions probably wouldn't get a stipend until November.
- Murphy asks any other questions.
- Baral asked if you could have a more detailed budget breakdown for admin support and services.
- Champawat says that that can be provided but it would be some limitations because it reveals salary information.
- Baral say he knows that there is a breakdown of different stipend positions and asked is there a breakdown of that.
- Zimmerman says that the breakdown is in your financial package and that it shows the rates of stipend positions.
- Badalich likes to approve the budget as amended. Wong seconds.
- Motion approved with a vote of 7-0-0.

VIII. Announcements

-

IX. Signing of the attendance sheet

Murphy passes the sign in sheet to leave.

X. Adjournment

Badalich moves to adjourn the meeting at 10:55pm. Garcia seconds.

Finalized

Approved: July 22nd, 2014

XI. Good and Welfare