

Finalized

Approved November 11, 2014

MINUTES  
UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION COUNCIL  
Kerckhoff Hall 417  
November 4, 2014  
7:00 PM

PRESENT: Devin Murphy, Avinoam Baral, Conrad Contreras, Sofia Moreno Haq, Negeen Sadeghi-Movahed, Allyson Bach, Greg Kalfayan, Cynthia Wong, Irmayr Garcia, Carlos Quintanilla, Cindy Wang

ABSENT: Fabienne Roth, Heather Rosen, Savannah Badalich, Manjot Singh  
GUESTS:

I. Call to Order

II. A. Approval of the Agenda

- Contreras moves to strike EVP Travel and Advocacy
  - Baral moved to strike Internal Vice President Report and add November 11 Cancellation as an action item.
  - Kalfayan moves to add divestment meeting logistics
  - Bach moves to strike ASRF
  - Garcia striked Student Wellness Programming Fund, ASRF, and Student Wellness Report
  - Kalfayan moved to approve agenda as amended. Baral seconded.
- 9-0-0

III. Approval of the Minutes from October 28, 2014

- Wong moved to approve the minutes. Sadeghi-Movahed seconded.
- 9-0-0 minutes are approved.

III. Public Comments

V. Special Presentations

A. Students for Justice in Palestine –

- Agatha and Sufwan from Students for Justice in Palestine and are talking about divestment and divestment is coming and convince everyone to vote yes. O
- Our school has a history of taking responsible investments such as south Africa in 1980s, Darfur in 2005, sweat-free UC 2006 designated suppliers program, russel athletics in 2009, in 2012 divested from fossil fuels, in 2014 UCLA and many other schools vote to divest from private prisons. 5 of the 9 UC campuses have now voted to divest from companies against Palestinians
- The issue boils down to one very simple test, “I want to invest in violence against Palestinians because...”
- The current situation is the UC invests in companies that violate the following human rights. The right to life, companies that sell arms. The right to movement, companies that

help make checkpoints and walls. The right to property with companies who destroy homes. Here you can see a caterpillar bulldozer for home demolition and a checkpoint invested in HP. Last year a man was crushed to death at the checkpoint. There's a map of all these checkpoints with a lot of checkpoints. Every Palestinian has to go to these checkpoints to go to school, work, hospital, or another town. They show the separation wall that cuts off families, blocks movement, and seizes West Bank land. UC invests through CBH and Cemex. 80% of the wall is located within Palestinian territory and deemed illegal by the United Nations judiciary board. This screenshot came from a UCLA student who watched on TV as his neighbor's house was bombed in July. UC invests in the fighter jets and bombs. Since 2008, early 1 in every 500 Palestinians in Gaza has died from military attacks. This photo of an unexploded missile shows how large these weapons are. Amnesty International documented that this Lockheed missile remnants were supported.

-The issue of divestment is supporting human rights, reclaiming responsibility for investments, choosing not to invest in violence, recognizing the effects of oppression abroad on students at home, working towards a just peace for both Palestinians and Israelis.

-UCLA students have a history of battling injustice through divestment campaigns despite these movements being unpopular or intimidating. Unless you have a convincing reason to invest in violence against a group of people, some of whom are your classmates, it's time to do the right thing. They made a forum online and people can say what they'll do. On Monday November 10 there will be a forum on divestment, Thursday November 13 there's a final draft to USAC, and ongoing presentations to student groups.

-They invite everyone to learn more at [www.sjipbruins.com](http://www.sjipbruins.com) and email at [sjpucla1@gmail.com](mailto:sjpucla1@gmail.com)

-Murphy states they'll present the resolution and what day is it. If we bring resolutions to the table students can provide feedback and the feedback through our own website and people can utilize our forum

-She states the resolution is already this week and there's a core.

## B. External Programming (Community Service Commission)

-The mission of the external programming is to create smaller scale initiatives that aim to educate students about issues in our community.

-The means offer students both on campus events and off campus events in order to raise awareness about issues ranging from hunger and homelessness to overcrowding in animal shelters.

-They're having homeboy industry tours and it was started by a priest named Father Boyle. Homeboy industries is a place with classes and skills teaching class to learn technical skills and learn tangible skills in service industries all around LA. They take people who want to learn and help them find the job. On Veterans day they have a trip to the LA Veterans home. On hunger and homelessness there's National Hunger and Homelessness Awareness Week, November 17-22 working at the Hunger Banquet, Hygiene kit assembly, Sid Row Field trip, swipes drive, and volunteer at LA kitchen. On that night of the hunger banquet and your meal will be based on that socioeconomic status and to show what it's really like.

## VII. Officer and Member Reports

### A. President – Devin Murphy

-Murphy stated he will go to Oakland and meeting with President Napolitano and Patrick Lynch about the UC budget and the possibility of tuition increases. There's a discussion of tuition increase. There were prior discussions to have a mental health service fee because Proposition 43 was unfunded. The mental health service fee will and tuition is what he's against. The conversation will mainly be around the tuition increase and mental health fee. He will come back and report. They had a rally with congresswoman Karen Branch and great rally and now they can. He'll be watching election results at 8pm so that announcement. He's really excited the IGNITE and office has formed this issue of proposition 47 was a student issue. Some of the organizations really took it and is so crucial that every organization connects to their community and makes it real. In addition with a breakfast with the chancellor because one of his priorities was pushing administrative ability and transparency to more administrators on campus at 8pm on November 21<sup>st</sup>. Murphy likes the theme for the facing project and its relevant to IGNITE. The campaign team has been doing that and events on the hill. They're also participating in no stress November. They're having a professional photo campaign with a #endthestigma. The quote on the photo campaign either something said or heard that feeds into the stigma. The goal of the campaign is that ensure people hear different stories. He wants council to be in it. All you have to do is take a picture with a white background. The goal is to be part of it for the entire week with pictures and stories. UCLA Black Alumni Reception and will honor him.

### B. External Vice President – Conrad Contreras

-Contreras stated they flied with proposition guidelines, bruin democrats flier, and it's been a pretty cool day. From 5-8pm they did a phone banking campaign for 3 hours to make sure bruins get out the vote today. In regards to tuition increase, its not going to directly affect regents opinions in disdain. They do want the numbers, they want at least 10,000 petitions so they can have students to advocate and say they should listen. In addition to that they are organizing a rally and coalition building with folks from Scale and GSA president Mike Hershmen. Lastly, talking about Jennifer Laude and contacted Samahang Pilipino and Queer Alliance with different community based organizations to coalition build and bring awareness to the issue. This is about the militarization and imperialism in Philippines and queer folks in the Philippines. The student of color conference November 9 to discuss progress. On the agenda for USSA they'll have a Divestment Referendum. There isn't a set time for it to be released.

-Quintanilla asks how far in advanced they release the agenda

-Contreras said about a week.

### C. Gen Rep 1

-Proxy stated they're working different directions and working on the prop 47 teachin and brought speakers from the Street Poets. It's great to educate about prop 47 and its interesting. The first series in praxis development and working with academic affairs commission and clicc labs to hold a photoshop tutorial free of charge an hour and a half

to teach students how to use it. They opened up to OP for end the stigma week to make people more comfortable about mental health. People aren't always responsible to events but if you keep showing them and mental health is important and encouraging them does make a difference. They are working with CEC for a film screening to south campus.

-Baral asks the time of the photoshop

-Proxy states 6:30-8pm in Powell classroom C and a facebook event and sign up sheet

#### D. Academic Affairs Commissioner

-Bach states last week they were strategizing on how to convince faculty to vote and they passed the diversity requirement last Friday. She came from the diversity requirement celebration barbeque and really seeing a few past AAC and got to speak with AAC from early 2000s They have some bureaucratic and pass a resolution to support the diversity requirement and the staff will start drafting it. Its great to be working with genrep1 for the professional preparation series and just helping genrep 1 advertise for photoshop series. The big news is the first stress free day. There will be a photo book, blue books, scantrons, pens and are coprogramming with alfofus and random acts of kindness. They're working with honor and take photos.

-Proxy asks if theres another vote that professors need to take?

-Bach states the rules and jurisdiction committee has to read over the proposal and the legislative assembly has to approve it so the main hurdle is the bureaucratic process.

#### E. Administrative Representative

-Lazarovici asks about the if I were gun down campaign

-Murphy states that one of the photos was aligned with police brutality part of the IGNITE campaign on how people are projected in media. As a black male his image is projected and a Devon not what hes projected and to gain awareness on how some victims of police brutality. He used that picture as clearly something that garnered attention. #Ifiweregundown would be this is how he would be projected. If today he was gunned down because hes no different than numerous African americans in men of color. Would they project this UC president or will they project him as a queer male on why its okay to police people. The goal of this campaign is to showcase that.

-Contreras stated its really important its different than every person. The way media and police see me is different than a black or brown body. As an Asian American this perpetuate the model minority myth because they'll make it and they'll succeed. If you disaggregate it theres so many API folks victims of police brutality. If I were gunned down they'll choose a picture of a successful Asian American and a privilege to acknowledge and this campaign isn't colorblind and its different. A white person wont be the same portrayal as another person of color.

-Murphy stated some of the allies acknowledges the irony of media portrayal between reality and what you are.

### VIII. Funding Allocations

#### A. Contingency Programming

-Wang stated total required \$39,08.28, \$10,988.76 requested, and \$3,020 recommended with a remaining balance \$55,776.74.

-Wong moved to approve contingency programming. Baral seconded.

9-0-0

#### IX. Old Business

#### X. New Business

##### A. Resolution to Amend the Charter of the UC Student Association

-Contreras stated he brought this up to better serve the UC Student Association and must be passed 2/3 in the council. Once UCLA undergrads approve it it would be fully into affect by the students of color conference this weekend.

A Resolution to Amend the Charter of the University of California Student Association

Author:

Conrad Contreras, External Vice President

Sponsors:

Avinoam Baral, Internal Vice President

Fabienne Roth, General Representative 3

Savannah Badalich, Student Wellness Commissioner

Whereas, The University of California Student Association Board of Directors voted unanimously at its August 14, 2014 meeting to refer the amendments stated below to the member associations of the organization for ratification;

Therefore be it resolved, That the UCLA Undergraduate Students Association Council approves the following amendment to Article II, Section B of the Charter of the University of California Student Association:

This Organization is a nonprofit public benefit Association and is not organized for the private gain of any person. It is organized under the California Nonprofit Public Benefit Corporation Law for charitable and public purposes. This Association is organized exclusively for charitable and educational purposes

within the meaning of Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (or the corresponding provision of any future United States internal revenue law).

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Charter, this Association shall not, except to an insubstantial degree, carry on or engage in any activities or exercise any powers that are not in the furtherance of the purposes of this Association, and the Association shall not carry on any other activities not permitted to be carried on (i) by an association exempt from Federal income tax under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (or the corresponding provision of any future United States internal revenue law); or, (ii) an association, contributions to which are deductible under Section 170(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (or the corresponding provision of any future United States internal revenue law).

Be it further resolved, That the UCLA Undergraduate Students Association Council approves the following amendment to Article IV, Section C of the Charter of the University of California Student Association:

Each UCSA Board of Directors member shall enter into the minutes of the Board by the October meeting annual UCSA Congress, a document from his/her campus student government's legislative body certifying him/her as its true and proper representative. During the July, August, and September meetings, members may certify themselves. In addition, any person replacing or temporarily substituting for a board member at any time of year must also follow this procedure.

Be it further resolved, That the UCLA Undergraduate Students Association Council

approves the following amendment to Article V, Section A, Subsection 2 of the Charter of the University of California Student Association:

A Resolution to Amend the Charter of the University of California Student Association

Each regular monthly meeting shall be set as to date and place by the Board of

Directors at the UCSA Congress Board retreat.

Be it further resolved, That the UCLA Undergraduate Students Association Council

approves the following amendment to Article V, Section E the Charter of the University of California Student Association:

More than fifty-percent plus one of the Board members in good standing,

including the President, shall constitute a quorum.

Be it further resolved, That the UCLA Undergraduate Students Association Council

approves the following amendment to Article V, Section F the Charter of the University of California Student Association:

Neither attendance by proxy nor vote by proxy shall be recognized.

Voting Board members may designate a proxy in writing who must be another

sitting Board member from that person's association or vote electronically by

sending in their vote to the UCSA President, Board Chair, and Executive Director

twenty-four hours before any vote is to be taken

Be it finally resolved, That the UCLA Undergraduate Students Association Council

approves the following amendment to Article VI, Section C of the Charter of the

University of California Student Association:

These Bylaws may not be amended, altered, or modified in any manner which

would alter the general charitable purposes of this Association or which would

jeopardize the tax-exempt status of this Association as a charitable organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code and Section 23701(d) of

the California Revenue and Taxation Code.

-Contreras stated the language is consistent with all the other associations.

-Baral moved to make a friendly amendment to the therefore be it resolved to be further resolved, and let it be finally resolved. Wong seconds.

9-0-0 approved.

-Murphy stated in terms of consistency they have cosponsors not an author.

-Wong moves to approve the Resoluton to Amend the Charter of the UC Student Association as amended.

-Garcia seconds.

9-0-0 the resolution is approved.

#### B. Cancellation of November 11 Meeting

-Proxy asks if anything against cancelling.

-Murphy states he wants to recognize a national holiday.

-Wang asks if there could be online voting for contingency.

-Wong moves to cancel meeting on November 11. Contreras seconded

9-0-0 November 11 meeting is cancelled.

#### C. Divestment Logistics

-Kalfayan asks how its going to run and its 12 hours and how we can structure it so it runs smoothly. Our bylaws stipulate that public comment should not exceed above 30 minutes and if we hold it to that strict we should set some deadline and hear everyones thoughts.

-Murphy states its not an action item but wants to hear on how we can go right now and open the box up for any ideas and how to proceed from public comment. Each public comment should be limited to 2 minutes and not more than 30 minutes.

-Bach stated that student organizations representing in public comment should be considered and make sure the public is our students from undergraduate and those willing to speak are 2 minutes to keep a diverse dialogue. Students should register before they walk in to see whose speaking and what orgs.

-Sadeghi-Movahed said it would sway away from undergraduates because graduate students and whatever vote comes out ultimately affects all students but the outcome of whatever we decide on will affect everybody. The groups that work on divestment contain graduate students and not have the opportunity is silencing their ability to have a say in the work they've been doing , but she understands the sentiment. She thinks the decision will affect all students that we should allow them to speak to.

-Wong agrees with Sadeghi-Movahed's comment but it might be useful to how long we'll be sitting for public comment. We want to make sure they're sharing their thoughts and its productive. If our bylaws and can they set it for 2 hours.

-Murphy stated in our bylaws that 2 minutes per speaker and 30 minutes per entire section and should be kept by chair. No speaker may yield their time to another. If we allow it go more than 30 minutes someone would have to suspend bylaws and someone

would motion to have a public comment timing. This would now be a time on what you would want to see out of public comment.

-Baral stated 2 hours sound like a reasonable time and its not productive after a certain time and it doesn't do anyone a service to do anything.

-Baral says he disagrees with allowing graduates because according to bylaws its just undergraduates and to go out there and disregard our bylaws doesn't see. Therse a huge power dynamic between undergraduates and graduate students. A TA for his class came and not fair to students. If they want to take it to the issue they should take it to the graduate student association. He doesn't think he should allow graduate students to make public comment.

-Murphy asks where it is to say just undergraduates

-Baral states public comment is for association

-Kalfayan states theres two issues of time limit and whose public commenting

-Murphy states people may bring up other issues

-Kalfayan is just bringing it back

-Lazarovici stated when she worked in DC before it goes through the house it goes goes through rules committee and appropriations. The rules committee will make a list of things to keep debate contained in a rational way. Last year was a disaster for everyone involved. You want to be able to hold your head high and took actions to alleviate what could've been a problem. Public comment is a highly organized and highly structured and everyone knows what theyre going to say which will be a lot of heat not a lot of light. It is part of the legislative process as a political and governmental process. Its not a psychological process where the focus is on making people feel good or bad. She will also recommend a conversation and negotiations in terms of deciding the parameters since theyre stakeholders and will have more relevance if people who are involved have some say in the rules committee. Shes interested how uch energy who gets to speak because graduate students aren't your constitutenets and if you want graduate students to speak than maybe faculty and alumni will be interested and who is the public in public comment.

-Geller states venue and capacity, security and crowd control, and depending on how late and there should be a safe way for people to get home without any options. You can limit it this to only UCLA media or go more broadly but please think about what you want to do and have a plan and make it clear. We had media issues with out registered media and had cameras and in peoples faces and to think about what the media plan and is it open to general public or limited to members of campus community. What is your plan in regrads to recording and photography? You've got youru live stream other than perhaps bruin type do you want other photographers? Also spectators, is it open to general public?

-Sadeghi-Movahed does agree that allowing general public out to come speak let people feel unsafe and IDF said a comment about boots. She can understand the sentiment of having it just undergraduate just fort the prime factor bringing those people that can be triggering on the pro-divestment felt very unsafe with the presence of IDF soldiers. If we come out with the position of just letting undergraduates speak shed be comfortable with that.

-Murphy stated for the first are we all at a consensus that only undergraduate members will make public comment.

-Council unanimously agrees

- Murphy asks if non-bruin card holders able to enter the location
- Wong thanks Geller for bringing up the issues. She states there should be someone checking bruincards at the front to keep track of it and in terms of attendees and people who can speak can just be particular UCLA students.
- Garcia stated that it tends to spike up security costs if we have to pay for people who don't have bruin cards to attend
- Moreno Haq agrees they should all be bruincard and must regulate media and unfortunately a lot of people had names in Israeli newspapers on both sides.
- Murphy states we can do press badges
- Zimmerman states only UCLA media and the issue is the date the availability of venus in ackerman union. The Tuesday evening is CEC if you have anything planned and once its moved outside she'll be there to help facilitate and must discuss whats available within the union. The venue will be initiated.
- Baral reiterates that only UCLA media, 2 hour public comment, all bruin cards to enter, and only undergraduate students to speak, and regarding Bach's point and given the precaution to enclose closed space we don't have to restrict it to members of student organizations and ended up making it and allowing it to happen whether or not theyre part of the student organization and whether or not they have an opinion
- Murphy states only undergraduate, and we haven't set whether or not they allow student groups or open the public comment up essentially for individuals to speak. In terms of security are we all okay with just bruin cards
- Council is in consensus
- Murphy states he can talk to Zimmerman about Ackerman Grand Ballroom
- Kalfayan states that week CEC we will release the AGB date and likely able to use that front
- Murphy asks if they only want to allow UCLA student media and give a form of press passes but know which group
- Lazarovici states more press rather than less press is preferable because you get a lot more eyes and ears and the value of doing that public work in public. That said her recommendation is to only allow student media and media with LAPD issued press pass that pass police and fire tape. Anyone considers themselves a memver of the media and are already useful proxies without having to invent something new.
- Murphy stated hes not too knowledgeable about the LAPD press badge
- Lazarovici it's a way to decide whose media and whose not
- Geller stated beyond student media and the LAPD press badge is a way to draw the lin. People can watch listen and rereport from the feed and don't have to have them in the space and cant control the broadcast of information anyways. Not having the large media prsesence might have a sense of safety because once you put this in your agenda, anyones whose interested in the topic will follow it and blogs and legitimate media will report what happens.
- Zimmerman stated last year with the feed they didn't show the audience and that's a positive.
- Murphy states we will not film the audience
- Garcia likes administrative point and in terms of press they negatively portray ants its easy to misrepresent and put things outside of context and ucla media understands more inside than outside.

- Baral said he agrees with keeping it just UCLA student media.
- Sadeghi-Movahed states she doesn't know enough to clearly make a claim and to keep it to UCLA media will be in our best interest to keep the students in our best interests.
- Wong states it makes her really uncomfortable to be in a space with outside media outlets and in light of that and given our roll as undergraduate it should be kept to ucla student media. Is there any way to regulate the dissemination of media material? Is there any sort of regulation on how to take part of the stream and repost it.
- Lazarovici states by having the meeting in 2 weeks is a glorified news event and a topic of public interest and you have to be ready for it to be a news event. There is a limit to how much control and that is a good thing that government bodies cant control whats disseminated. You should think about the events and campaigns that you want the media to cover and invite them to cover. Once its out there its out there. Efforts to try to have a lot of control are going to backfire.
- Garcia states she doesn't want any communities to be demonized and be more limited. If we're letting anyone it can ostracize and in her personal opinion shes had bad experiences with media and wants us to have a better campus climate she thinks media might make it worse.
- Murphy thinks its one to be personally demonized because the individuals who own those media outlets will antagonize and demonize him. We have an individual who took the opportunity to state and look where it got her. At some point its not limiting media its just that council table remain safe. We are students and important to our sanity and go back to having student media.
- Baral asks who is going to pay for security.
- Kalfayan wants to make a point whether theres external or internal media 20 is going to be linking to whatever youtube but that information is public domain. 12 hours later those clips are available online which is fine its just the way it is.
- Murphy asks if you ar ein objection to ucla student outlets be the only outlet resent at our meeting, please state that now.
- Murphy asks where does that money come from
- Zimemrman states that if its in AGB there can be used as the ASUCLA resources amd help with the costs. She was able to let the staff leave and dismiss the staff.
- Wong states we have a fund specifically for risk management from the student risk education pledge fund. You can apply for crowd management and security and fewer limitations on how much theyre willing to allocate, if we need a funding source she would consider applying to that fund.
- Baral states we have a lot of peole on the table to reread and move on.
- Murphy stated only undergraduates, security and crowd control with a bruin card, only UCLA student media, and probably located in ackerman grand ballroom, and no usac live in the public comments.
- Garcia asks how we will ensure that those get the credentials
- Murphy states it will probably go through his office or ASUCLA.
- Quintanilla asks about a time limit on the meeting
- Murphy states you can motion an action item to have a set time limit
- Haq asks how will we regulate public comment to 2 hours.
- Murphy states one of the ideas he had was undergraduate student orgs on why there student group has a stance and have a registration process. We can regulate an equal

amount of both sides with 60 public comments and 30 yes with 30 no and hopefully hear all sides.

-Haq states she doesn't think its realistic because even if 30 people and the amount of people we had last year exceeds it and people will still want to talk and we do have listen and don't think 2 hours but 3 or 4 is more realistic.

-Zimmerman stated 9 hours of public comment

-Haq states 3 or 4 is realistic

-Wong states the reason they want to public comment because when youre reiterating the same point over and over again and as council members it doesn't benefit us hearing the same point over and over again. At the end of the day the 5 hour extra gap and really likes the idea of student groups speaking on behalf of the entire group.

-Sadeghi-Movahed states in our best interests to encourage student groups to send a representative and encompass a lot of views.

-Baral stated 3 hours is fine with him and asks organizers on each side with 90 on each.

-Murphy states we must see this on a typical relationship and change the format of public comment and to select their own public commenters and have an open space for first come and first serve with the first 45 people who say yes and say no. Its called Resolution Reform and literally click what resolution you want to make a comment on and say I support this or don't support this.

-Lazarovici states that if you have 45 speak on a topic there are certainly 45 or 45000 different things you can say and organizations and groups will take it upon themselves to organize their speakers and advocates.

-Murphy asks if theres any objections to having a 3 hour time limit

-Wong prefers 2 hours

-Haq states she would rather have it at 3 hours

-Bach states if they have students represent students and limiting to the 2 hours are challenged to really critically think of what theyre saying and challenges people to be effective with their time.

-Garcia states its on us to be educated on it and always listening throughout these next two weeks.

-Contreras stated hes in favor of having it 3 hours who aren't in student orgs who see it as protecting identity and 3 hours is sufficient

-Murphy states we can publicize who signs up anmake it before public comment

-Contreras states 2 hours wont be enough

-Sadeghi-Movahed asks if its possible to stratify the 3 hours with student groups and individuals.

-Zimmerman said last year even after the extensive there were 2 special presentations on the yes on the resolution an dno on the resolution and will be an option. Therell be 2 hours of public comment knowing that multiple organizations will present to give full understanding.

-Baral states 2 hours of public comment two 15 minute presentations

-Murphy asks who were the student groups

-Zimmerman stated Bruins for Israel and Students for Justice in Palestine

-Haq states its fine

- Garcia suggests to not restrict it to those 2 orgs and have groups collaborate. For us to say that only this group does a presentation so its collaborative. Garcia states it shouldn't be only SJP should be yes or only BFI.
- Baral states he can get in contact for and against and do it
- Kalfayan states we have an ask us and periodically they get proposals for cec events with submission forms and normally he gets them for event proposals and we can all publicize
- Baral states moving new business to after special presentations for this meeting
- Murphy states it's a google form with a resolution reform.
- Wong states if we suspend the bylaws to move to new business

#### XI. Announcements

- Wong states day of service registrations ends in 3 hours
- Kalfayan stated Disney is screening big hero 6, and Tuesday next week theres Dumb and Dumber to in Ackerman.
- Murphy states end the stigma week is next week.
- Badalich states its Savannah's Birthday

#### XII. Signing of the attendance sheet

*The attendance sheet has been passed around.*

#### XIII. Adjournment

- Baral moves to adjourn the meeting. Garcia seconds
- 9-0-0 the meeting is adjourned at 9:40 pm.

#### XIV. Good and Welfare