

Finalized

Approved March 31, 2015

FINALIZED MINUTES  
UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION COUNCIL  
Kerckhoff Hall 417  
March 10, 2015  
7:00 PM

PRESENT: Avinoam Baral, Heather Hourdequin, Conrad Contreras, Manjot Singh, Sofia Moreno Haq, Negeen Sadeghi-Movahed, Fabienne Roth, Allyson Bach, Greg Kalfayan, Cynthia Wong, Irmay Garcia, Carlos Quintanilla, Heather Rosen, Savannah Badalich, Cindy Wang

ABSENT:

GUESTS:

I. Call to Order

-Baral calls meeting to order at 7:08pm.

A. Signing of the Attendance Sheet

*The attendance sheet has been passed around.*

II. Approval of the Agenda

-Bach moves to strike ASRF

-Moreno Haq adds Office and member report for Gen Rep 2

-Contreras strikes Travel and Advocacy Fund Allocations

-Badalich strikes SWC Programming Fund

-Quintanilla strikes resolution for water conservation

-Baral strikes Office and Member Report

-Baral moves the resolution condemning anti-Semitism to after public comment

-Baral states public comment is typically limited to 30 minutes

-Moreno Haq said in the past we extended it to 2 hours

-Roth moves to extend public comment to 2 hours. Singh seconds.

-Sadeghi-Movahed moves to approve agenda. Bach seconds

12-0-0 the agenda is approved as amended.

III. Approval of Minutes from March 3, 2015

-Rosen moves to approve the minutes from March 3. Sadeghi Movahed seconds.

12-0-0 the minutes are approved

IV. Public Comments

-Neil Mangola is a first year studying math econ major, and wants to say one thing about the resolution. In my 19 years before coming to UCLA I always felt comfortable being Jewish and in 2 quarters here at UCLA I have seen anti-Semitism and can't be who you want to be in 2 quarters prior to UCLA. Friends are afraid to wear their kippah and afraid

to talk about Israel, and represent it in anyway and I strongly support the resolution because I really don't like the climate it causes on campus.

-Hourdequin stats the sound system isn't working

-Calbreath states this resolution can be an important step to remain vigilant any instances in bias and we are often each other first and live defense against such occurrences and not wait for administration to dictate to us in this room the members of the association what is just. I think everyone has to do work, how does leadership grow? How does it heal? What does it look like for us? This university is the push dialogue and remain critical for the cues because they don't have it figured it out. This isn't an easy pursuit none of this came to this institution of UCLA to settle on easy choices in life. I'm eager to see what this campus looks like moving forward and pursing justice.

-Cortez is a third year polisci major and stated that the folks on the table and involved in USAC I think we all know what happened and its very much inappropriate in the forms of anti-Semitism for these question and its not okay. As a member of Lets Act and been single out for it I do apologize. We fight against microagressions an oppressions and to see it come in any form and our leaders and it rattled me and I apologize for it. Words are empty and the only way we can move forward to see what actions and not all of us are okay with it. Having said that, the conversation that was meant to be had I think was a valid to point make. Its never okay to say as a Jewish student, but a political affiliation should always be called when any points are made, as saying as a pro-Israel but a more appropriate way to state the question. Looking forward I hope we can be more respectful or cognizant of our language any time decisions or appointments like this come up.

-Rubin stated she stood here and that really hit the nail on the head what truly went down here on February 10. The first quote "perhaps that anti-Semitism like racism is as much or more pattern than individual belief" and "the overall culture of targeting Israel led to targeting Jewish students. People saying anti-Israel isn't the same as anti-Semitic and the anti-Israel culture in singled out the only Jewish state creates an environment where its okay to single out Jewish students. For a year and a half now I've had my beliefs of being Jewish of misguided political jargon as something else. The Jewish community here is strong, diverse, and united. I would hate for the outside community to think otherwise. For once, don't politicize a just resolution and you made a mistake and do the right thing and pass this legislation.

-I'm here for Calpirg and what we're doing this week. We've had an awesome textbook press conference and thanks Ian and Daily Bruin coming to cover our story. We met with representative Ted Lieu and was really impressed and excited for what UCLA has to offer. We are still working on our solar smoothie and solar concert next quarter.

-Ariel is a first year and proud Jewish student and at some point in the last 10 years we were deprived as the status of minority and the world has forced us to forget our unique historic ethnic background. Judaism and Jewish is just as much as my religious identity as well as my ethnic identity. Any and all attempts to transfer the dialogue to something that is not will not and cannot be accepted. This resolution will not absolve those who express anti Semitic and this resolution will not be tokenized and this shall not be the start or end of the conversation. It's a starting point for very important and very real work to mend the relation between usac and Jewish community. Look into the eyes of the 40 Jewish students and 50 standing outside. We thank you for your time and look forward to working together in the future.

-Singh states he was formally general representative 2 and he's here to endorse the resolution as it is written, and two to ask and remind those of you who have may have not said something to apologize fully. Its important to understand how the apology is being perceived by the community because comments you made deeply hurt a community and I tried my best to reconcile. If there's perhaps anyone that understands the situation, it is i. I hope you vote yes unanimously without much contention and wish you the best of luck.

-Alyssa Schlossberg fully supports this resolution in its current form and the Jewish community is historically oppressed minority group and afforded all protections of minority groups. I've been accused of wanting to not associate anyone whose not Jewish and completely false. I truly appreciate the efforts that this council has made and continue to make to mend the relationship with Jewish community. We are tired of being isolated and demonized and one of the main reasons we asks to improve the relationships as opposed to resignation is tired of being alienated and the divisive campus. We want to mend the relationship and passing this resolution is the next step in the long process.

-Hertz is a member of Hillel student board and really frustrated when my friend Rachel Beyda almost denied and was of course very upset. I understand they were no attending to be anti Semitic but came from a political direction. However, some statements were obviously being offensive but not an apology for how statements were misconstrued regardless of the intention. We need to know that you are sorry and give a real apology. Passing this resolution as it is written is a great first step but we still shouldn't write it in the first place. We need to something genuine and if not there should be a resignation.

-Omar states he's a proud Jewish student. Being Jewish isn't just a religion, it's a cultural identity, ethnic identity, it's a people. I know the things that were stated weren't from a hateful spot, but the way it came about is are reflection of the environment from which anti-Semitism can sprout. At the very least, we ask you to unanimously pass the resolution without questioning the language. We have the right to write in writing how it affects our community. You have gone through apologetic issues and written something in daily bruin and tried to take amending steps and we appreciate that as a community but that doesn't get you off the hook—passing this is a long step on a word to redemption.

-Tessa Knot is a fourth year English major and pass the resolution in its current language. Anti Semitism exists on our campus whether we like to admit it or not. The comments leveraged against Rachel Beyda confirm its presence and this is something they've long felt by their personal narratives and combat not just their anti-Semitic instances of rhetoric but the seed of anti Semitism notion that you would ever conflate someone's ethnic heritage with a political viewpoint. Starting with this resolution lets work together that discrimination doesn't happen again and to build up campus and proudly call itself diverse. I see some minorities being privileged over others and that's really not okay

-Brooklyn McLovits is a proud student on Hillel Executive board. The Jewish community here has been very careful to use the word anti Semitic because we are trying to remain as politically correct as possible. If our students representatives have a hard time being politically correct and now we're bringing up a resolution that says anti Semitism cannot happen and I hope that even though it wasn't the intentions of the students on the board that this will prevent anything further from being misconstrued or misstated.

-Sofia Soquits a letter from Richard Bloom from congress. I'm writing to support a resolution as a concerned citizen and I've "insert" As a second year student, I love

UCLA and I'm proud to be Jewish and this resolution is a step of the right direction. Anti Semitism is close to my heart as a granddaughter of holocaust daughters

-No person of any race, ethnicity, or religion should face the slander. We are all aware of the SAE and this problem was addressed instantly. This anti-Semitism should be addressed instantly as long as many others and others around the world and I demand you support this bill.

-Jennifer West is a first year and proud Jewish and proud bruin. As a Jewish person I should come from you personally. The town I grew up was religious and stopped telling people I was Jewish even though I actively converted. When I came to UCLA I was pleasantly surprised to meet Jewish people to give me more self confidence and Judaism was given me the support I've longed for. With anti-Semitism in Paris and nationwide and the fears and nightmares as a kid, and this campus too—this silences the people who don't feel comfortable in their own skin. This resolution is necessary for students of myself who need a safe and supportive campus environment.

-Devore Friedman is a third year psychobio student and a very proud Jewish student and can add much and sure everyone understands that. I'm a second generation ruin on both sides and both very proud bruins. I just like to say I would like to stay a proud bruin.

-Laura Mylor is a first year bio major and proud Jewish bruin. Although the Jewish community is as united as ever, I cannot fathom how the council is anti-Semitic and I hope UCLA will demonstrate this diverse and accepting climate.

-Daniella Turnat is a Jewish bruin and in my 18 years I've experienced no anti-Semitism. I've never felt it in the climate and in 2 quarters I've felt it in the campus climate and given recent events and passing the resolution in current form and doing so otherwise would be an insult to the Jewish community and pass this resolution.

-Tiffany Saba is a freshmen and a bruin, and prior to attending UCLA I attended Jewish school my whole life. I was never worried about my Jewish identity when I was walking around the street or camp. Coming to UCLA I saw how united the Jewish community was and join another vibrant Jewish community and share my identity and excited to hear about identities, and coming to this campus I would face some problems defending Israel. I was ready to come facing those challenges and that's perfectly acceptable to hold different views on the politics of a country and never in my mind had to defend my Jewish community. Judaism isn't a country, it's who I am, it's my religion, and I've never thought I'd have to face something. Talking to students from different schools and I'm at a loss for words and in my mind UCLA was going to welcome me for my identity and my parents and grandparents and all my relatives in Israel even in France where they've experienced much worse than my college campus is not what is happening in France and we live in the USA and this is not acceptable and my campus passed a resolution against anti-Semitism. I don't understand why anyone wouldn't support a resolution that isn't against anti-Semitism and I hope I can say UCLA made me proud today.

-Ariel states anti-Semitism has been and is present on campus, this resolution is undoubtedly a much needed opportunity to amend what's happening in the recent past and a step critically needed to combat anti-Semitism. I can speak on behalf of many that there is no appropriate or legitimate reason to contest this language. I urge you to think critically and equally.

-Noah is a first year student and had a difficult time choosing which school and we are supposed to be forefront of progressive change and liberal ideas. I've never expected to encounter any anti-Semitism so when I'm walking to Hillel I wouldn't expect anyone to look at my kippa and say oh you're Jewish? These little micro aggressions happen, but for my student government to share similar sentiments whether it was intentional or not is ridiculous and absurd and unacceptable. For anyone to claim what's been said is part of the progressive agenda, it is not progressive, it is not liberal to conflate someone's identity with their political views. Its not progressive to harbor any anti-Semitic or communicate anything that can be construed as such. I've seen my friends put in so much time and effort and this wording is precise so please accept it in its entirety and current form.

-Jasmine states its important to talk about how bad anti-Semitism is and please open your eyes. I'm a transfer student and the fact that people had to warn me that this was going to face and face with people that aren't going to like me for my religion and I want to learn and see who I am and judge people for who I am is really insane and hopes everyone agrees with me and everyone here votes for this resolution because I know how hard the people that made it worked.

-Amir is a third year English major is a transfer and Jewish student and so early that this incident occurred and found it almost ridiculous it was so negative to hear about UCLA. People see they are afraid to walk around campus as a Jewish student, I should be really proud of just as everyone is and reassociate UCLA with something positive instead of shameful.

-When I was in the 3<sup>rd</sup> grade I decided to tell my friend that I was Jewish. All of a sudden she became scared and terrified that I was Jewish, within a blink of an eye 10 third graders "Death to Israel! Death to America!" in the country of Iran. My family decided to seek refuge, not only because anti-Semitism I was facing but the amount of times that they covered the paint for anti-Semitism or denied their job. My parents moved here so me and my siblings won't have same anti-Semitic challenges and when my dad read the article he was not only shocked and disappointed but failed me as a father. My parents sacrificed everything for my siblings and how this should be our only option for undergraduate. Now both of them and even I, if anything UCLA might set me back because of my religion. I'm sorry to inform some people, we are not in the middle east or Nazi Germany. This is the 21<sup>st</sup> century and we are all human. My name is Alena and I support this resolution because I am tired of being discriminated against.

-Rebecca states generations of her family faced anti-Semitism so I can be here today. When I talk to my grandma about anti-Semitism and tells them to hide Jewish necklace and we're going to use words, discourse, and policies. I'm a proud Jew and I'm a proud Israeli and I endorse this resolution

-Jacob is a student at UCLA and I'm Jewish and in the engineering program. I just wanted to say that coming to UCLA as a freshmen I wasn't involved in Jewish clubs or the community at all and didn't consider it an important part of identity. In occasion the conversation would switch to Israel. It was almost never talking about culture or cuisine but it was usually about the conflict. There's an association people make about being Jewish and the current conflict of Israel and Palestine and it's a bias and a problem and in 2015 that they're dealing with it and really wish we had a better thing to do.

-Yakka is a Jew and southwest Asian people but my ancestors were trapped in Europe and we have a really dark history. Some people on this council unintentionally tied themselves from the history and I'd appreciate if you disassociated yourself from it.

-Stuart states she supports this resolution and understands marginalization not because of religion but racial and gender and I'm really glad I'm hearing all support in the resolution. What I wanted to talk about specifically was the video on USAC LIVE taken down and it shouldn't have been taken down and a threat to transparency. I understand why it was taken down when you're reading about it versus watching it with your eyes. My comment is to see what happens rather than just reading it.

-Aton Pelli is a dual Israeli American citizen and speaking on behalf Jewish Voice for Peace. This resolution should be passed but some language should be addressed as amended and important distinction that criticism of Israel doesn't constitute anti-Semitism. To this point we have to hold all countries to high standards of equal rights. Lastly, I would like to comment to define anti Semitic "organized Jewish community." Jewish voice for peace is consistently excluded from organized Jewish country as JVP applied to be part of Hillel and denied and only includes Zionism. Anti-Semitism is something very serious that must be disallowed. I'm a dual Israeli citizen and speak out against citizen. We Jews know free speech and call on representatives to remove all knowledge that includes State of Israel and Jewish Voice for Peace is excluded.

-Friedman states she's a third generation holocaust survivor. My mom told me that I was an adult but unfortunately 13 years old is too early. That happens when you're in your 20s and we can go to one of the most prestigious and lack knowledge about diversity. I don't condone what my friend said but she is committed to being educated about anti-Semitism and education is the most important thing. If hatred is combatted with more hatred and we have to make sure our representatives have open hearts and open minds and keep in mind that one group's oppression is not more significant and a part of group isn't as the organized. We have to stop othering people whether it was outside our community or within it. Uniting against discrimination is important, I would like to invite everyone to olive tree initiative in Bradley Halls and there will be food and been part of the trips that discuss in collaborative efforts.

-Jacob Manheid would have liked to say, while I fully support a resolution of anti-Semitism. Under theague language any criticism of Israel is anti Semitic. As a standard of human rights support anyone can be labeled an anti-semite for criticizing Israeli policy. Citizenship should be based on race and religion would be anti-Semitic. It is clear that international abuses Palestinian and aims at silencing Jewish communities of the self proclaimed organizes Jewish communities. They are ostracized from Jewish community and refuse to take our Jewish identities to justify Israel policies. Anti-Semitism should be addressed but labeling anyone anti-Israel belittles anti-Semitism. I ask that you not perpetuate my exclusion from said community.

-As a Palestinian member and I as well as SJP are all against any racial prejudice. I need people to understand that criticism of Israel is a form of discrimination I don't deny that people use Israel as an excuse as anti-Semitic. For someone to imply that is inherently discriminatory and silence advocates is just as oppressive. There are 1.8 million people under blockade and unable to move freely and routinely arrested and stripped of the land. We need the proponents of the resolution to understand. I do not condone any form of ethno racial and would not allow people to use suffering of my people as a form of anti

Semitisms and not permit a necessary resolution of one form of oppression of other forms of oppression.

-Ariel is a third year transfer student. As a history major I've learned about the oppression faced by African Americans, homosexuals, Jews and the fact that anti-Semitism is daily terms in our prestigious university and what makes our university unique is our differences rather than allow our differences to divide us. I am support of this bill and hope it creates a positive and healthy environment.

-Faria I'm the education director of SJP and think all of us here in tonight that this resolution should pass and needs to be student legislation condemning anti Semitism, bigotry, racism, islamphobia. At the same time with the goal of making our campus a safe space, it's a noble cause and it important not to override students rights as legitimate political motives. The posters depicted Hamas executing with #jewhaters and SJP and says that one cant support Palestinian freedom without being anti-Semitism. We received support from Hillel, Avinoam, and help with the concern for wellbeing while communities have divisive political agreements all of us can engage in productive critique. We hosted a townhall and hope we can remember the progress we made and pass the resolution of anti Semitism but pass it in such a way that doesn't prohibit critiques of Israeli state policy. We do so for a belief lets not forget the progress we made a few weeks back and not conflate political discrimination and anti summits.

-While I do agree with the general idea, there have been a lot of cases of anti Semitism. I think the clarification needs to be made the state of Israel and Jewish country. Anyone should citizen a state and it doesn't make you anti Semitic. I hope this resolution passes with correct language and all communities can fight anti summits.

-I come here because academic freedom can improve the world and cannot be expressed unfounded intolerance and hatred. It cannot be excused under mantle of academic freedom. Jumna beings cannot be prejudged and questioning integrity based solely on religion is plainly wrong. It is unfair and unbecoming of a civilized community. Academia should combat anti-Semitism but not promote it. We must act with conviction in order to prevent I from spreading.

-Ashley is a fourth year Jew and the vice president of the Persian community at Hillel and wants to say I support this resolution and honestly me and a lot of Iranian Armenian Jews do not feel comfortable showing our Jewish identity. Its important that our student government passes a resolution and in regards to the incident I understand it wasn't intentional and you're tying to do right so the Persian community is hosting a Shabbat dinner next quarter and learn about the Iranian Jews and what we're allay bout. I will contact all of you.

-Ariel is a fourth year transfer student and growing up I've heard about how amazing UCLA is and when I had insanity that I wanted to go to USC everyone got upset and heard about how amazing UCLA is and how comfortable they felt. When I got here this is not the case. When people find out that I'm Jewish they look at me differently, don't want to work with me, narrow minded, and crazy. Just because I'm Jewish it doesn't make me any of those things, at this point I'm sad to say I am bruin. It is really difficult right now and its up to my student government to take a stand an drake a change. I don't want to be embarrassed to be a bruin and its amazing to be part of bruin community and make a change so everyone can feel comfortable.

-Leor is a fourth year transfer student and for a solid year I've thought about speaking in these forums but essentially the point I wanted to say was that I came to UCLA real excited and dreamt of coming here. I was for a very long time until I realized the strong anti-Semitism that exists. I've heard about my grandparents stories living in Iran and I thought that must've been so weird and it's actually shocking to realize that what my grandpa talked about it exists here and if its not confronted soon I am terrified that it might grow. I really support this resolution and hopefully the passing of it.

-Leanne Menlo is a Jew and born in Israel, one of the greatest countries. On one side this meeting has UCLA singled out minority and get away easily and simultaneously support anti-Semitism through BDS movement.

-My comments are mostly directed Manjot, Fabienne, Negeen, and Sofia and if you think your particular political affiliations can affect your interests? Should such a standard be imposed on Jewish community or should it be consistent with that?

-It is important to have critical analysis and discussion about issues, anti-Semitism is not okay in todays age, but we should be able to disapprove of actions of governments and big corporations.

-Gill is a sophomore and wanted to talk about conflating Israel and anti-Semitism and make sure it doesn't make that mistake and thinks that the resolution does a good job cruising Israel and anti-Semitism does a good job where Israel should be held a standard and hope there would be a change of government but I think we have to draw a line what is legitimate criticism and demonization.

-A lot of people are critical for anti-Semitism and when it comes down to it, anti-Semitism isn't a but issue.

-Why are we here today "given that you are a Jewish student. Here we are a month later and the legitimacy of this student government has been questioned and Jewish students deserve to feel safe and process of healing for true commitment who feels hurt, angered, and short. Instead of basing her on qualifications it was her religion. This resolution is supported by many organizations and I urge you to support this resolution.

-Nicole Rudolph supports the resolution and I urge you to do the same.

-Rachel Fried log sates this situation has left me speechless and the ways that have expressed me Jewish identity and probably the only one speaking who was in the room and to see one of the most confident individuals get torn down because of her ethnic identity. I felt I could identify with my father who grew up in Soviet Union and his experiences in school and identified as Jew. I've been asked about how I feel about UCLA, I love UCLA but I feel unsafe at certain places. This is LA and 2015 and definitely one of the biggest wish populations next to Israel and New York. Please bring me out of the soviet union, this resolution defines what it and what isn't hateful of Israel. Anti-Semitism cannot be defined any differently than those who wrote the resolution.

-Daniel Friedman states my grandmother and grandfather lost families to holocaust and my dad and mom came to this country to be accepted for who they are – Jews. From Brooklyn opt los angels they experience anti-Semitism and I was disgusted to here utterances of anti-Semitism. For some this is their first time of anti-semitism, but it wasn't my first time. My first time occurred during winter quarter and at SAC and with my star of David and those students were outside and they continued to ask about my political stances. I was spit on my face by these students for being a Jew. This was my first time feeling targeted and never happened. It did happen in both instances. This is your

opportunity to pass a bill that's a step forward in complete and whole form and not modified whatsoever.

-Badalich moves to take 5 minute recess. Rosen seconds

12-0-0

X. New Business

A. A Resolution Condemning Anti-Semitism

## A RESOLUTION CONDEMNING ANTI-SEMITISM

Sponsored by: Avinoam Baral, Heather Rosen, Fabienne Roth, Sophia Haq, Manjot Singh, and Negeen Sadeghi-Movahed

Student Organization Sponsors: Hillel at UCLA, Alpha Epsilon Pi, Zeta Beta Tau, Sigma Alpha Epsilon Pi, Charade at UCLA, JAM at UCLA, Haram Newsmagazine, Persian Community at Hillel, Hope of Los Angeles (HOLA), Jewish Law Students Association at UCLA, Persian Community at Hillel (PCH), Jewish Unity Network (JUN), Jewish Learning Initiative at UCLA (JLIC), The Bait, Center for Jewish Studies Student Association, Transfer Undergraduate Students at Hillel (TUSH), First Year Students at Hillel (FYSH), IMPACT LA.

WHEREAS, the U.S. Department of State defines anti-Semitism as: "a certain perception of Jews, which may be expressed as hatred toward Jews. Rhetorical and physical manifestations of anti-Semitism are directed toward Jewish or non-Jewish individuals and/or their property, toward Jewish community institutions and religious facilities"<sup>1</sup>,

WHEREAS, the U.S. Department of State outlines the following contemporary examples:

- "Calling for, aiding, or justifying the killing or harming of Jews (often in the name of a radical ideology or an extremist view of religion).
- Making mendacious, dehumanizing, demonizing, or stereotypical allegations about Jews as such or the power of Jews as a collective—especially but not exclusively, the myth about a world Jewish conspiracy or of Jews controlling the media, economy, government or other societal institutions.
- Accusing Jews as a people of being responsible for real or imagined wrongdoing committed by a single Jewish person or group, the state of Israel, or even for acts committed by non-Jews.
- Accusing the Jews as a people, or Israel as a state, of inventing or exaggerating the Holocaust.
- Accusing Jewish citizens of being more loyal to Israel, or to the alleged priorities of Jews worldwide, than to the interest of their own nations"<sup>2</sup>,

WHEREAS, the U.S. Department of State acknowledges that “anti-Semitism manifests itself with regard to the state of Israel, taking into account the overall context could include:

- Demonizing Israel:
  - Double standards towards Israel:
    - Using the symbols and images associated with classic anti-Semitism to characterize Israel or Israelis
    - Drawing comparisons of contemporary Israeli policy to that of the Nazis
    - Blaming Israel for all inter-religious or political tensions
    - Applying double standards by requiring of it a behavior not expected or demanded of any other democratic nation

1 <http://www.state.gov/j/drl/rls/fs/2010/122352.htm>

2 <http://www.state.gov/j/drl/rls/fs/2010/122352.htm>

- Delegitimizing Israel:
  - Multilateral organizations focusing on Israel only for peace or human rights investigations
  - Denying the Jewish people their right to self-determination, and denying Israel the right to exist”<sup>3</sup>,

WHEREAS, “criticism of Israel similar to that leveled against any other country cannot be regarded as anti-Semitic,”<sup>4</sup>

WHEREAS, appropriate and acceptable criticism of Israel must only be directed at specific actions of its government or individual representatives,

WHEREAS, while we acknowledge the valid opinions of a minority of Jews to disagree with aspects of the above definition, it still does not render this definition invalid, as an accepted definition of racism against any community would be nearly impossible to create if it required the agreement of every single member of that community,

WHEREAS, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color and national origin in programs and activities receiving federal financial assistance which extended to protect Jewish students in 2004 on the basis that Judaism is both a religion and an ethnicity<sup>5</sup>,

WHEREAS, many incidents of anti-Semitism occur both inside and outside of the classroom,

WHEREAS, on January 31st, 2015, the house of the Jewish fraternity Alpha Epsilon Pi at University of California, Davis was targeted and vandalized with anti-Semitic graffiti, notably two large swastikas<sup>6</sup>,

WHEREAS, the swastika is a symbol of terror meant to evoke a similar sentiment as those atrocities committed in the Holocaust, and to this day remains a symbol used by Neo-Nazis who wish to exterminate the Jewish people,

WHEREAS, a student senator from UC Davis endorsed the destruction of the State of Israel, writing “Israel will fall” on social media<sup>7</sup>, after a divestment resolution was passed by the

Associated Students, University of California, Davis on January 30th, 2015,

3 <http://www.state.gov/j/drl/rls/fs/2010/122352.htm>

4 <http://www.state.gov/j/drl/rls/fs/2010/122352.htm>

5<http://scholarship.law.wm.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1152&context=wmborj&seidir=1&referer=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.google.com%2Furl%3Fq%3Dhttp%253A%252F%252Fscholarshi>

[p.law.wm.edu%252Fcgi%252Fviewcontent.cgi%253Farticle%253D1152%2526context%253Dwmborj%26](http://p.law.wm.edu%252Fcgi%252Fviewcontent.cgi%253Farticle%253D1152%2526context%253Dwmborj%26)

[sa%3DD%26sntz%3D1%26usg%3DAFQjCNGox17YRlM7Vv16jlyvGOjQxOd-](http://sa%3DD%26sntz%3D1%26usg%3DAFQjCNGox17YRlM7Vv16jlyvGOjQxOd-)

[WA#search=%22http%3A%2F%2Fscholarship.law.wm.edu%2Fcgi%2Fviewcontent.cgi%3Farticle%3D11](http://WA#search=%22http%3A%2F%2Fscholarship.law.wm.edu%2Fcgi%2Fviewcontent.cgi%3Farticle%3D11)

[52%26context%3Dwmborj%22](http://52%26context%3Dwmborj%22)

6 <http://www.theaggie.org/2015/01/31/swastikas-spraypainted-on-aepi-fraternity-house/>

7<http://www.algemeiner.com/2015/02/12/jewish-and-human-rights-groups-demand-investigation-into-uc->

Davis-anti-Semitic-incidents/

WHEREAS, during the UC Student Association Board of Directors meeting on February 8th, 2015, a board member distorted the meaning of the term “anti-Semitism” which has

always specifically meant hatred against Jews, and using semantics to distort this fact minimizes the millennia of oppression Jews faced across Europe and the Middle East<sup>8</sup>,

WHEREAS, on February 10th, 2015, a Jewish candidate for the position of Undergraduate Students Association Judicial Board Justice at UCLA was inappropriately questioned, not about her qualifications, but about whether or not her identity and affiliation with the Jewish community created a unique conflict of interest<sup>9</sup>,

WHEREAS, this debate was later denounced by the Daily Bruin Editorial Board as “discriminatory”<sup>10</sup>,

WHEREAS, students at UCLA have consistently taken a stance against anti-Semitism and hatred, most recently after the devastating attacks at a Kosher supermarket in France<sup>11</sup>,

WHEREAS, campus anti-Semitism is a global problem, as demonstrated by the fact that in February 2015, the student council at the Durban University of Technology in South Africa called for Jewish students to be expelled<sup>12</sup>,

WHEREAS, anti-Semitism also encompasses the use of double standards by requiring of Jews and/or the Jewish state of Israel a standard of behavior not expected or demanded from others,

WHEREAS, the Undergraduate Students Association Council has historically taken a stance against specific instances of prejudice<sup>13</sup>,

WHEREAS, the past response to anti-Semitism has not started important conversations about hate speech and campus climate for Jewish students that need to happen in order to ensure that they receive the protections they deserve,

WHEREAS, anti-Semitism is the bigoted targeting of a historically oppressed minority and should be taken as seriously as bigotry against all other historically oppressed minorities.

8 <https://twitter.com/jalhurra/status/564518772996726784>

9

[https://www.dropbox.com/s/r9ge7ja1c7ocb22/USAC%20Live%21%20Council%20Meeting%202\\_10.mp4?dl=0](https://www.dropbox.com/s/r9ge7ja1c7ocb22/USAC%20Live%21%20Council%20Meeting%202_10.mp4?dl=0)

10 <http://dailybruin.com/2015/02/12/editorial-objections-to-usac-judicial-board-appointment-discriminatory/>

11 <http://dailybruin.com/2015/01/13/ucla-student-groups-hold-vigil-for-victims-of-charlie-hebdo-attack/>

12 <http://www.timesofisrael.com/durban-university-students-seek-to-expel-jews/>

13 <https://www.usac.ucla.edu/documents/resolutions/anti-islamophobia.pdf>

<https://www.usac.ucla.edu/documents/resolutions/Resolution%20-%20End%20the%20R%20Word.pdf>

<https://www.usac.ucla.edu/documents/resolutions/resolutions%20with%20new%20changes.pdf>

<https://www.usac.ucla.edu/documents/resolutions/AResolutioninSolidaritywiththeDemandsofBlackStudentActivistsatSanJoseStateUniversity.pdf>

ActivistsatSanJoseStateUniversity.pdf

LET IT BE RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council unequivocally and publicly condemns the hateful incidents at UC Davis, UCSA, UCLA, and at the Durban University of Technology,

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council be conscious of the intentional and unintentional effects of their words and actions,

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council will actively fight against anti-Semitism in the future,

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council will respect the right of the organized Jewish Community at UCLA to define, within the guidelines of the national definition, what is and is not anti-Semitic just as other communities are granted that

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council recognizes that the Jewish people, like all peoples, have a collective right to self-determination, and considers attempts to undermine these rights to be forms of bigotry,

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council does not tolerate or accept the behaviors of students, staff, faculty, or administration that infringe upon the safety of another person and/or develop a hostile and unsafe environment,

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council supports efforts against any form of hatred based on religion, race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, ability, citizenship status, class, or identity on our campus community.

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council supports the efforts of the present work between students and respective faculty to help foster a better understanding of Judaism and American-Jewish identity,

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council urges the Academic Senate and faculty to support the diversity requirement, a general education requirement grounded in the UCLA Principles of Community, and that the Academic Senate should ensure that any proposed diversity requirement include classes about Jewish history and current events,

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED that the Undergraduate Students Association Council participate in diversity training pertaining to the history of anti-Semitism and how it manifests

LET IT FINALLY BE RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council, as officials elected to represent all students on campus, strive to be active allies of the Jewish community and pledge to actively seek open lines of communication with the leaders of the organized Jewish community on issues pertaining to their constituency.

-Baral states this is the first resolution that I've been actively involved in writing and sponsoring and something personal to me and excited that we're doing. I think that the authors tried to meet with different council members and get different input from different people and we had over 100 students. Its really beautiful and 100 students would come out Tuesday of 10<sup>th</sup> week is astounding.

-Sadeghi-Movahed states that I repeatedly apologized for the mistake and I will apologize once more and I have hurt a community and work toward resolving the issue and accept sincerity and humility and to all the Persian Jewish students that I have hurt I am sorry I have let you down and to all I am imperfect. I am in support of this resolution and I look forward to passing it unanimously.

-Badalich thanks Natalie and Tammy for meeting and asking about the language change. For some public comments students disagreed and feel spoken over at the very least they were willing to have language changed. There were also students that didn't like the statements about Israel and the differences between Berkeley resolution and UCLA resolution and I talked to this about Tammy and Natalie and they don't agree and it would be holistic if it wasn't, but I respect Natalie and Tammy's opinions so I'm not going to ask for it to be taken around, but not all Jewish students agree with it. When we met I've had a specific problem with the multilateral organizations if that can include amnesty international and united nations and potentially students for justice in Palestine and it will be in the minutes, those organizations are not anti Semitic. Demonization of the government of Israel are not anti Semitic. I did have these concerns and they weren't accepted and that's totally fine and in the very least they'll be in the minutes. Overall I'm totally in support of this resolution.

-Contreras stated he supports this bill and firm believer as accepting resolutions as a community sees it fits. I respect the Jewish community here at UCLA for everything that's in the resolution and every clause and I support this resolution.

-Roth states that hope in Natalie and Tammy and people who did have concerns can feel that it has been included in regards to criticism being legitimate. I think its been made clear that SJP can organize and I look forward to passing it.

-Bach really appreciates the pause to push for entertaining through academic realm and encourage how important diversity requirement to rally for this to be passed. Its one tangible thing and better this campus and better understanding and community dialogue lets start that in the classroom. We pay for an education and its extremely valuable to challenge perspective and diversity requirement and speaks volumes of Jewish community's willingness to make conversation happen to not hinder you from being proud of being a bruin.

-Rosen states its beautiful how many people came and this is the language that collectively came together and its great to have this conversation.

-Moreno Haq states It's unfortunate that much of what people have said has been misconstrued these past few weeks through social media and various news outlets. I am also disappointed how the video was taken down without our consent and that administration did not take responsibility for it until later on. Though I did not say anything at the meeting that occurred a few weeks ago, I will say this. I have worked with members of the Jewish community in the past and am grateful enough that so many individuals understood that the reason for me not previously voting had nothing to do with her Jewish identity. Thank you for that. I know what it is like to be judged based on religious affiliations. As a Muslim woman, I have been judged for being Muslim on this campus and having been discriminated, I do not condone discrimination of any kind. I had the pleasure of meeting with Ariel Mokhtarzadeh to discuss the resolution more in depth. At this point I look forward to passing this resolution as well. As Jaimeson Cortez said, "Words are empty, we must see actions can be taken." Our council condemns anti-Semitism and I know this is a step in the right direction.

-Singh states he's completely in support of this resolution and UCLA is a student body dedicated to higher education and I support this resolution that a demographic of our population has felt marginalized and alienated and I want to cosponsor because I understand religious oppression. Sikhs are targeted in India and US and I don't wish that upon anyone especially in an educational environment when we're encouraged to learn about each other and grow with each other. We are a Bruin community to provide programs and facilitate conversations to welcome everyone and I deeply apologize and this is the best way to know how to become a student body.

-Badalich states if you feel like your being targeted or you feel unsafe and there are student care advocates that are available. For any identity, especially in the pressing media storm that's happening.

-Bach moves to approve the Resolution. Rosen seconds.

12-0-0 the Resolution Condemning Anti-Semitism is approved.

#### B. Student Programming Referendum

-Wang states Shall the Undergraduate Student Association ensure the continuity of student group programming by increasing the Undergraduate Students Association membership fees by \$3.00 per undergraduate student per quarter, effective

Fall 2015? (Allocation of the fees would be as below.)

-Student Programming Referendum (cont.)

The approval of the referendum would provide:

\$0.56/quarter to the USAC Contingency Programming Fund, which provides programming resources for all UCLA undergraduate registered student organizations.

A. \$0.56/quarter to the USA/BOD Programming Fund, which provides programming resources for all UCLA undergraduate registered student organizations.

B. \$1.13/quarter to the Community Activity Committee Fund, which provides programming resources for registered service projects and activities.

C. In accordance with University policy, 25% of the amount collected from this referendum(\$0.75/quarter) will be returned to UCLA students in the form of undergraduate financial aid.

D. This fee will be subject to a Los Angeles County Consumer Price Index (CPI) adjustment factor (as determined by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor) every year in order to address changes due to inflation and any rise in the cost of living, effective

Fall 2016.

-Wong states the fund breakdown is USAC Contingency Programming Fund: \$0.56

▪USA/BOD Programming Fund: \$0.56

▪Community Activities Committee Fund: \$1.13

▪25% (= \$0.75) return to financial aid

▪Total: \$3.00/quarter

▪The USA/BOD Programming and Contingency has a 31.7% decrease in funds since 2009 an in increase in number of student organizations applying to funds. In 2009 there were 800 groups and in 2015 there were 1055 groups. The problem is that there is a dependency on surplus, it's not adjusted based on inflation, and it's the same contribution from BOD.

-Wong states the Community Activities Committee (CAC) fund has been the main source of funding for many on-going service organizations. The CAC regulate is \$10,000 and its supplemented by Mini Funds. Registered Student Organizations in SOLE apply to this fund with a total of 1,126 RCO's with 150+ being service focused. The Fund composition is referendum + SFAC+ rollover from previous year. In the meantime CAC has been allocating within budget and requesting temporary increase in SFAC

contribution. The problem is that dependence on buffer and rollover from unused funds are not adjusted to inflation.

-Wang states the goals of the Student Programming Referendum is to stabilize funding at the beginning of the year, compensate for continued decrease in available funds, and sustain capacity to fund current and future student groups.

-Wang states there haven't been any significant change since 2002. There have been a lot of changes in the past 10 years or so.

-Roth thanks them for compiling this information and there are around 100 student groups asking for funding and it's actually amazing to see the wealth of different programs and everything that's going on to ensure students can put on what they want to put on. Unfortunately asking for a referendum is an unfortunate reality we must face. Given that we are trying to change our perspective on surplus and not just this extra money, it is really important we do find an alternative and its what's funding programs then that's going to be even worse. I support you

-Badalich states that it's great to see actually coming up with sustainable solutions. If you need additional support in terms of going through elections I'd be happy to help. You may want to change because of the Center for Programming and want to change the name for Students Events and Engagement fund. It has to pack a punch and the name itself and the wording you may want to change programming in the referendum.

-Baral states this is his favorite topic, how much do you expect to generate?

-Wang states about an \$80,000 increase.

-Baral asks why is amount of available funding decreasing?

-Wong states the number of groups applying and there's less available and in terms of the trend they have to allocate less amount into the buffer. As student groups utilize their funding better and they are using it more which is positive, but the amount of money available next year decreases. They have to give less money into the buffer

-Roth asks if the referendum from CPO is coming again

-Wang states they expressed their concerns and wanted to make a point about the emphasis and it's difficult to ask students for asking an increase especially if they're expecting a tuition increase. This isn't a solution for all student and programming issues it would need a progressive issue for future years and future councils will take it upon themselves in order to make these funds sustainable for an indefinite period of time and make it possible

-Baral states we're asking students as the last possible 9:12. I said to call it Save our Student Orgs SOSO.

-Wang stated they met with the chair of BOD and CSC and to make sure there is a need from funding source.

## VI. Appointments

### VII. Officer and Member Reports

A. President – Avinoam Baral

B. Internal President – Heather Hourdequin

-Hourdequin states wachos are the number one French fry in the nation and food trucks for finals is coming! Also, I wanted to update all of you on the lighting excursion. We analyzed the lighting situation which was kind of surprising because I'm not super cognizant of particular lighting structures and locations. I want to give a shout out to pi phi for having the twinkle lights on their balcony for contributing to the safety and talk with Nancy about and the possibility of changing the light bulbs and can be more powerful.

#### C. External Vice President – Conrad Contreras

-Contreras states UCSA board meeting in Santa Barbara and first we had a conversation with UCOP representative to let them go that UCOP is recruiting students and meeting with students who are appointed by administration rather than working with UCSA. Its really problematic about UCOP and administrators to pit students against each other when there is an elected representative. We addressed that concern and the representative seemed like they didn't know and definitely something I wanted to discuss. This is essentially a student regent report that they decided not to lobby with UCOP in regards to affordability for not lobbying with UCOP because it's a great message that sends to legislators that he's in solidarity with the students instead of administrators. I want to talk about legislation endorsed was the deadline for legislators to submit legislation. We decided to support AB200 by assembly member Allejo to increase number of Calve rewards.

#### D. General Representative 1

-Singh states they'll have another stress less event on Thursday from 11-2 in the Court of Sciences to specifically cater to south campus students. We'll be presenting a proposal for the multicultural component the Hub twice and there will be Chai talks in week 2 next quarter.

#### E. General Representative 2

-Moreno Haq states they'll be working with Act of Equality and know nothing about student government and bring them resources

#### F. Student Wellness Commissioner

-Badalich thanks everyone who went to the porn panel and they naturally talked about consent. There's a UC President task force meeting on Thursday and Friday. It was good with everyone from the working group about sexual assault, the second day was student led and student input. Students weren't happy with structure of task force and they vocalized that so hopefully that changes. I saw the Hunting Ground, it's a new documentary talking about sexual assault of college campuses and talks about men survivors. March 30 6pm James West we will have a panel which is one of the directors and two of the leading activists. This is her second time coming to UCLA and some of her footage when she came to UCLA. There will be three events for sexual assault for April sexual assault awareness month with activist training. If you have any ideas or want to coprogram please let me know. Lastly, the sophomore year when I was sexually assaulted we don't have a women's center and I didn't know my resources. I didn't know

what to look for and I didn't know what to do. During the third year when I became SWC my big ask was sexual violence and resource center and I asked a lot of things. They will create the advocate office and its important for me and other survivors to have a center dedicated. This center is privilege and confidential but mandated reporters like RA's do that on the regular. For every survivor when people go on rape crisis center they get money, and these advocates aren't good for student survivors. SB688 takes out the confidential and privilege portion. I hope you support this resolution, tweet @calcasa that I think they're disgusting for profiting out of survivors and keep on going to different conferences and saying that's what students want but it's a real betrayal for the students.

#### F. Academic Affairs Commissioner

-Bach states they finalized their Global Leadership Connection Program and in order to allow students come to UCLA and they have an awards ceremony with interviews and essays to be part of this program. A few of them are competing for scholarships and helps them with their application process. They are asked to write letters to their parents and guidance counselors who helped them in their high school. The deadline to fill out the myucla survey is this Friday March 13, and I'm asking council to please promote it. The fight for education is coming along really nicely and I look forward to those who are a part of it. The final info session for USIE

#### G. Transfer Student Representative

-Sadeghi Movahed states that we focused on institutional reforms to further better the systems and this spring we're going to be working on post grad opportunities and transfer graduation.

#### H. Administrative Representative

### VII. Fund Allocations

#### A. Contingency Programming

-Wang states \$55,132.40 required, \$7,510.66 requested, \$3,400 recommended. Balance after is 60,046.12.

-Wong moves to approve. Rosen seconds.

12-0-0 contingency programming is approved.

#### B. Capital Contingency

\$1,700 recommended is 1,100. \$2052.11 left in contingency.

11-0-1 capital contingency is approved.

### X. New Business

#### A. Archive of USAC Live! Videos

-Hourdequin states he took the video down on February 10 and thought it was best for the safety of the council that we do take it down so I don't know if you want to chime in. I think the point we took it down and this issue in the community is well settled. Some of the public commenters that it would be nice to see some of the footage directly, but the notion of transparency and then there's more transparency. This council is transparent,

the presence of the room, the publics being welcome transparent. Once it crosses over in tempered manner and it was being damaged by the echo without context. At that time and place and perhaps be limited by coming down for at least that period of time

-Baral states we may be more private in this conversation that students may be discussing different threats or received. Would people be interested in going to executive?

-Sadeghi-Movahed said I would want it to believe stream and we are committed to a cause we get hate mail who are publically scrutinizing me and its important to talk about what is going and I think that I'm not the only one currently going through it and needs to be live streamed.

-Badalich states if we're talking about transparency and then go to executive session, I understand because safety.

-Roth stayed I'm personally not having this discussion live stream then I'm just not going to share my views. I don't want to be sharing certain things on live stream

-Sadeghi Movahed moves to 5 minute recess.

Badalich seconds.

-Baral says the February 10<sup>th</sup> video down and we can discuss that and then bring guidelines that we see fit.

-Sadeghi-Movahed states I was in support for taking the video down because I didn't expect the media coverage considering lack of transparency to become a large spectacle and the hate prior to taken the video and the hate after of death and rape threats then more harsh or being lens of an outside perspective. The videos are clips and put into sequence and part of what had happened wasn't necessarily framed in the way it happened so it made it seem, it was horrible what I said, and it seemed worst so the character defamation that came out of that was more severe. I can read you what I have received but I don't want to put them through it. I feel like I'm deteriorating as a person and I'm so tired of getting hate mail and I hate crying and you become paranoid on a daily basis. I have PTSD and I'm pretty sure about it and can't turn a corner without jumping and blacking out and ending up on the ground. This has happened to me about 4-5x in the past two weeks and I feel like my mental health, physical health, wellbeing compromised as a result of the video of not being up and suffering more as a result of backlash from this and the share of media that has been coming out. People sharing the article is hurting the situation and bringing attention to the open wound and making the utmost effort and educating and making sure to remedy the situation and ask that if people can stop sharing articles and have an issue tell me directly. I don't want to see another rape threat, I have a hate mail tag. You know things are bad when you have a hate mail folder. We have all made mistakes and take apology and word, I would really appreciate to please stop sharing articles. Its making my parents a lot worst.

-Roth states some journalists got my phone number are texting me and messaging me and its just a breach in my privacy. My parents are freaking out in Switzerland and there's nothing they can do and in terms of safety, nothing has changed. It hasn't got any better, I don't feel any safer. My name has been singled out and that I'm not going to be sharing my opinion because things are going to be taken a certain way and consider what you've read about me and who I've known because of a few sound bites.

-Moreno Haq said in terms of sharing articles and if you tell me that you apologize and that's it, but to continue and goes back to holding communities accountable and pleasantly surprised and the way they spoke.

-Hourdequin says I am totally a full supporter of all of us being transparent and being accountable because we are elected officials and have a responsibility to students we represent to be accountable. I totally support that but its an interesting topic of discussion where we draw the line between being transparent and prioritizing our safety. The intention behind removing the video and all in discussion to help safety of this council.

-Champawat said the coverage was completely unreasonable and out of context and whether the additional injury done by taken it down made it somewhat worst, but the poor coverage and journalism brought a lot of damage and should be ashamed of themselves. At the time none of us thought would go national, the outside world doesn't understand. The LA times had a lot more balance and more complexity. You're still pretty young and you have to learn and time to develop how you articulate that, and I never want live stream

-Contreras for the sake of transparency we have to bring it back and the line between safety and transparency and we don have body guards or resources and its elitist to think we can only be transparent, we don't have resources. As a solution, lets bring it back its already there.

-Contreras move to bring back the USAC Live stream from February 10  
8-1-3 the USAC video will be put back

-Haq asks if there can be a statement that administration and IVP to take it down and putting it back up it would be a good statement because a lot of the backlash thought we wanted to bring it down.

-Roth states she doesn't want to put it in on Hourdequin or correctly decided to take it down.

-Singh asks if this can be on the myucla website for the USAC website.

-Baral states I would look with the link to different communities and promise I would look into that.

-Baral states the more general guidelines we can have this conversation now or in three weeks from now

-Rosen states refer to committee and CRC

-Roth asks what is the intent in keeping the archive when its used because its been used with malicious intent

-Hourdequin states that if there's an agreement or discussion and last year Daily Bruin then Daily Bruin should be involved in CRC.

-Hourdequin moves to have USAC Live Guidelines referred to CRC.

C. Resolution Calling for a Bike Safe Westwood Boulevard

Resolution Calling for a Bike Safe Westwood Boulevard

Cosponsors: Negeen Sadeghi-Movahed, Avinoam Baral, Carlos Quintanilla, Sofia Moreno Haq

Whereas Westwood Boulevard is the most important thoroughfare to UCLA

Whereas between 2007 and 2013, 46 collisions involving cyclists were recorded on Westwood Boulevard;

And whereas In LA county the average rate of collisions involving bicycles is 0.24 per mile per year, on

Westwood Blvd the rate is 3.5 collisions per mile per year;

Whereas well-designed bicycle corridors have proven to significantly reduce collisions involving cyclists;

Whereas an increase in bicycling commuters leads to fewer car trips and less congestion, improving the quality of life for all and breaking the vicious cycle of ever increasing vehicular traffic;

Whereas UCLA and the City of Los Angeles take great pride in their efforts to promote physical wellness and environmental sustainability;

Whereas many local stakeholders and homeowners support solutions which offer more choices for all road users and contribute to a better streetscape for Westwood Boulevard;

Be it resolved, therefore, we call on the City of Los Angeles to implement Westwood Boulevard bike routes as envisioned in the 2010 Los Angeles Bicycle Master Plan;

Therefore, we call on the Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT) to immediately implement share the lane markings (greenback sharrows) on Westwood Blvd between Santa Monica and Pico

Therefore, and ultimately, we call on City Councilmember Paul Koretz to direct the LADOT to conduct an engineering study of bike infrastructure design options for the entire length of Westwood Boulevard so that it can become a truly “Great Street” for UCLA and its surrounding community. The status quo is simply unacceptable and we call for significant and urgent improvement.

-Baral states Whereas Westwood Boulevard is an important thoroughfare to UCLA  
D. USAC Bylaw Change for Article II, III, and IV

-Rosen states they are bringing it forth to get council approval.

Proposed change(s) apply to the following Article(s) (including section(s)) of USAC Bylaws:

Article IV, Section 1, number 1

Article IV, Section 1, number 3

Article II, Section C, number 4

Article II, Section C, number 5

Article III, Section D, number 4

(Additions should be in bold and removals should be noted with a strikethrough)

## Section 1: The Facilities Commissioner

### 1. Responsibilities

- a. To be concerned with all facilities used by the students, and matters regarding environmental sustainability on campus.
- b. To make any appointment recommendations to the President, subject to the Council's approval, which the commissioner deems necessary in carrying out the duties of the office, including all Council Appointments to campus committees dealing specifically with campus environmental sustainability, and the construction and administration of student facilities including but not limited to the Office Space Allocation Committee Chairperson.
- c. Shall represent the student body on matters of non-academic administrative policy of the administration related to facilities, and environmental sustainability.
- d. ~~To be concerned with matters regarding sustainability on campus~~  
d. To appoint, subject to the Council's approval, all student representatives to the following facilities and environmental sustainability related committees:
  - i. Campus Sustainability Committee
  - ii. ~~Committee on Disability~~
  - iii. ~~Office Space Allocation Committee Chairperson~~
  - iv. Student Activities Center Board of Governors
  - v. The Green initiative Fund
  - v. Transportation Services Advisory Board

vi. Wooden Center Board of Governors

X:\Student Support\Office Forms\USABYlawChange.doc

Office of the Internal Vice President

USAC Bylaw Change Submission Form

3. The Facilities Commissioner shall be the Council representative, on issues pertaining to

campus environmental sustainability, and student facilities and their use, to the following departments, committees and organizations, in accordance with their bylaws

a. Campus Safety Alliance

b. Facilities Management

c. Housing Administration

d. Team Green

e. The Campus Facilities Coordinating Committee

f. The John Wooden Center Board of Governors

g. The Green Initiative Fund

h. The On Campus Housing Council

i. The Project Impact Mitigations Committee

j. The Student Services Master Plan Advisory Committee

k. The Transportation Services Advisory Board

l. Transportation Services

m. UCLA Sustainability

n. United States Green Building Council Students at UCLA

---

Article 2, Section C

#### 4. Council Approval

- a. The following appointments should appear before the Appointments Review Committee and the Council for approval
- b. All two (2) year appointment positions receiving a stipend, with four (4) undergraduate representatives for two (2) year staggered terms, to be appointed by the President
  - c. All one (1) year positions receiving a stipend, to be appointed by the President
    - i. ASUCLA Board of Directors, with one (1) member designated by the President as an alternate
    - ii. ASUCLA Communications Board
    - iii. Student Fee Advisory Committee
    - iv. Student Health Advisory Committee
    - v. Budget Review Director: one (1) appointment
    - vi. Campus Programs Committee: four (4) appointments
    - vii. Campus Retention Committee: one (1) appointment
    - viii. Community Activities Committee: four (4) appointments
    - ix. Community Service Mini Fund Committee Chairperson: one (1) appointment
    - x. Community Service Mini Fund Committee Members: four (4) appointments, with two (2) affiliated with the Community Service Commission and two (2) affiliated with the Community Programs Office
    - xi. Election Board Chairperson: one (1) appointment
    - xii. Finance Committee Chairperson: one (1) appointment

- ix. Office Space Allocation Committee Chairperson: one (1) appointment
  - x. Student Initiated Access Committee: one (1) appointment
- d. The Judicial Board shall have seven (7) appointed justices serving terms for the

X:\Student Support\Office Forms\USABylawChange.doc

Office of the Internal Vice President

USAC Bylaw Change Submission Form

duration of their membership in the Association, to be appointed by the President

- e. The following appointments, to be appointed by the External Vice President

f. The following appointments, to be appointed by the Academic Affairs Commissioner

- i. The University of California Students Association: two (2) undergraduate representatives for one (1) year terms to serve as alternate voting members

i. The Committee on Undergraduate Admissions and Relations with Schools: two (2) undergraduate representatives for one (1) year terms. One (1) of these appointments shall be chosen from a pool of potential appointees recommended by the Student Initiated Access Committee.

ii. The Undergraduate Council: four (4) appointments for one (1) year terms

iii. The Faculty Executive Committee: two (2) undergraduate representatives for one (1) year terms

i. Office Space Allocation Committee Chairperson: one (1) appointment

- g. The following appointments, to be appointed by the Facilities Commissioner

5. Council Consent Approval

a. The respective elected council officer shall sit on the following committees, or shall designate an appointee to that committee

- b. All appointments should come to the Appointments Review Committee for approval by application, unless the elected council officer chooses to sit on the committee
- c. If the Appointments Review Committee would like more information before approving the appointee, they can request an in-person interview. Once approved, the appointment will be presented to the Council as a consent item
- d. Any applicant not receiving a majority of affirmative votes from the members on the Appointments Review Committee must either appear before the Council for further review or must be withdrawn from consideration
- e. Presidential Appointments
  - i. ~~Campus Sustainability Committee: two (2) appointments for one (1) year terms~~
    - i. Chancellor's Enrollment Advisory Committee: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
    - ii. Committee on Instructional Improvement Programs: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
    - iii. Committee on LGBTQ Affairs: three (3) appointments for one (1) year terms
    - iv. Communications Director: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term.
    - v. Eating and Activities Task Force: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
    - vi. Financial Aid Policy Committee: two (2) appointments for one (1) year terms

vii. Information Technology Planning Board: one (1) appointment for a two (2) year term

~~ix. John R. Wooden Center Board of Governors: five (5) undergraduate appointments with two (2) members serving two (2) year staggered terms, one (1) member serving a one (1) year term, and two (2) alternates serving a one (1) year term. The Facilities Commissioner serves as the fourth voting member~~

X:\Student Support\Office Forms\USABylawChange.doc

Office of the Internal Vice President

f. External Vice President Appointments

g. Academic Affairs Commissioner Appointments

h. Facilities Commissioner Appointments

USAC Bylaw Change Submission Form

viii. Restructuring Steering Committee: one (1) appointment for a two (2) year term<sup>8</sup>

~~xi. Student Activities Center Board of Governors: one (1) at large appointment for a one (1) year term~~

ix. Student Advocate: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term

x. Student Conduct Committee: three (3) appointments for one (1) to three (3) year terms

xi. Student Risk Education Committee: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term

~~xv. The Green Initiative Fund Student Body Representative: one (1)~~

~~appointment for a one (1) year term~~

xvi. Transportation Services Advisory Board: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term

xii. UCLA Advisory Board on Privacy and Data Protection: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term

~~xviii. UCLA Committee on Disability: three (3) appointments for one (1) or two (2) year terms~~

xiii. Westwood Community Council: one (1) appointment for a two (2) year term

i. University of California Students Association: additional non-voting appointments for one (1) year terms to serve as alternate voting members

i. Academic Freedom: two (2) appointments for one (1) year terms

ii. Continuing and Community Education: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term

iii. Committee on Planning and Budget: two (2) appointments for one (1) year terms

iv. Committee on Research: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term

v. Committee on Diversity and Equal Opportunity: two (2) appointments for one (1) year terms

vi. Intercollegiate Athletics Committee: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term

vii. International Education: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term

viii. Library and Scholarly Committee: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year

- i. Campus Sustainability Committee: two (2) appointments for one (1) year terms
- ii. John R. Wooden Center Board of Governors: five (5) undergraduate appointments with two (2) members serving two (2) year staggered terms, one (1) member serving a one (1) year term, and two (2) alternates serving a one (1) year term. The Facilities Commissioner serves as the fourth voting member
- iii. xi. Student Activities Center Board of Governors: one (1) at-large appointment for a one (1) year term
- iv. The Green Initiative Fund Student Body Representative: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term

X:\Student Support\Office Forms\USABylawChange.doc

Office of the Internal Vice President

USAC Bylaw Change Submission Form

- v. Transportation Services Advisory Board: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
- vi. UCLA Committee on Disability: three (3) appointments for one (1) or two (2) year terms
- vii. Office Space Allocation Committee

---

#### 4. Current Commission-Related Groups

- a. External Vice President
- b. Community Service Commission

c. Cultural Affairs Commission

d. Facilities Commission

e. Student Wellness Commission

X:\Student Support\Office Forms\USABylawChange.doc

i. Bruin Lobby Corps

i. AIDS Ambassadors

ii. Amigos de UCLA

iii. Asian American Tutorial Project (AATP)

iv. Best Buddies15

v. Bruin Initiative

vi. Bruin Hope Bruin Partners

vii. Casa Heiwa Angelino Mentorship Program (CHAMPs)

viii. Glendale Learning Program (GLP)

ix. Hunger Project

x. Kids Korner

xi. Pilipinos for Community Health (PCH)

xii. Pilipino Recruitment and Enrichment Program (PREP)

xiii. Project Bruins Reforming Incarcerated Youth Through Education (BRITE)

xiv. Project Literacy

xv. Project Mentorship for Abused Children (MAC)

xvi. Project Working for Immigrant Literacy Development (WILD)

xvii. Special Olympics

xviii. Vietnamese Community Health

- xix. Vietnamese Language and Culture (VNLC)
- xx. Volunteer Income Tax Assistance (VITA)
- xxi. Watts Tutorial Program
- xxii. Women and Youth Supporting Each Other (WYSE)
  - i. CAC Art Series
  - ii. CAC Concert Series
  - iii. Collective
  - iv. DiverseCity Tours
  - v. Hip Hop Congress
  - vi. JazzReggae Festival
  - vii. WorldFest
  - viii. Word on Wednesday
- i. B Green Consulting
- i. SWC Active Minds
- Office of the Internal Vice President
- USAC Bylaw Change Submission Form
- ii. SWC AIDS Awareness
- iii. SWC Blood Drive
- iv. SWC Body Image
- v. SWC Bruin 5k Run/Walk
- vi. SWC CPR & First Aid Program16
- vii. SWC Environmental Awareness, Recycling, and Terrestrial Heath (EARTH)

- viii. SWC Gender Health
- ix. SWC Health, Nutrition, and Fitness (HNF)
- x. SWC Student Health Advocate (SHA)
- xi. SWC Students for Education, Advocacy and Research of Contemporary Health (SEARCH)
- xii. SWC Total Wellness
- xiii. Affiliate: Pediatric AIDS Coalition
  - Baral states at first he was hesitant because of presidential appointments leverage power but in terms of efficiency I agree that appointments should be distributed especially for points of interest. However, for Office Space Allocation Committee I think that should stay under president because it is a stipend positioned and they should all stare together.
  - Sadeghi-Movahed definitely agrees with this.
  - Rosen states since now we're adding sustainability in facilities and use the
  - Badalich makes me question the health appointments and I'm all for this. When I see the health appointments and more specialized and how exactly do you pick it without asking the person in position and I'm still all for this.
- Bach moves to approve the CRC Bylaw Changes for Article II, III, IV

#### F. Discretionary Fund Request

-If everyone wants to push voter turnout, one should invest these funds back into their government. SUBJECT: Discretionary Funds Proposal

The Undergraduate Student Association Council's Election Board is responsible for guiding USAC candidates through the election process while enforcing Election Code regulations.

A problem faced with recent elections is low voter turnout. Voting percentages in past Spring Elections have decreased from 36% to 30% of the undergraduate population.

While the focus of past Election Boards has been on the candidates' process, our goal is to

also motivate more of the undergraduate student population to be involved in voting for their future representatives.

The Election Board is requesting \$2,000 from USAC discretionary funding to aid in the upcoming Spring Election, which will occur from April 27-May 1, 2015. These funds will go directly toward covering the cost of incentives for student voters. The incentives will consist of \$5 gift cards from ASUCLA for 1 in every 15 students that vote, assuming that 12,000 students will vote. The \$2,000 being requested will fund half of these incentives, providing 400 students with gift cards.

-Badalich said University of Utah had a great turnout, Badalich asks what's the methodology to get this out

-Shagun states work with BruinCorp office and logistics haven't figured it out and how many gift cards we can get and I'm still talking to Denea if ASUCLA can help us.

-Rosen states working with scholarships and realized how tricky it is to give away incentives in fair manner what do you think of distributing. We don't know who votes when and it depends on my.ucla and how they want to do it

-Wang states we have \$2,081 in discretionary and I've spoken to JRF financial directors and have been reaching out to sponsors but they might need to ask discretionary for JRF as their last resort and will probably need it and I'm concerned \$2,000 from \$2,600 will be too much

-Roth sates at the end of the voting session and if you get the ID numbers its just randomly distributed so anyone that votes getting into a raffle.

-Shagun states I can look that up and see the logistics and that's something that we can definitely try

-Bach states this can be tabled for the process and also \$2,000 is a lot of money and do it to get people to vote rather than something that can really benefit and we can probably be more productive of the conversation if it happens later on and will give us enough time to purchase gift cards and have a more solidified plan on how you move forward in a more economically and feasible number.

-Shagun stated he has applied to more funding and I can come back with more details and if you want to table it and incentive it. It was this council that discussed voter turn out so if there's a way to push it up its not wrong and give money back to them as a good thing.

-Wang stated we should talk to other offices like CAC and maybe there's a compromise in reduction in your part.

-Baral states when we talked a much more reasonable number is \$1000-\$1500 but things come up and I want to save \$1000 and highly urge the council to be thoughtful and small amount of money all times. I want to put forward a vote

-Badalich stated she's going to send the advisor of whose in charge of incentives at Utah

-Badalich moves to table this conversation until Week 1 of spring quarter. Sadeghi-Movahed seconds.

12-0-0 this conversation is tabled.

-Wong moves to add Election Calendar under New Business as agenda item G.  
12-0-0

#### G. Spring 2015 Election Calendar Revised

-Shagun stated the Candidate and Slate Orientation moved from April 2<sup>nd</sup> to April 3<sup>rd</sup> at 5pm Kerckhoff Grand Salon. The group endorsement orientation is to be decided. The endorsement hearing is moved from April 21<sup>st</sup> to April 19<sup>th</sup> at 7pm in Ackerman Grand Ballroom. The sign board must be down at 9pm.

-Kalfayan stated that April 15<sup>th</sup> we are going to release to these conflicts, CEC was going to give up date for group endorsements for election board and a CEC speaker confirmed and we can work this out privately. I just heard about this

-Shagun states we might not even need the April 15 to respect that.

-Baral states his humble suggestion that to have group endorsement orientation an hour before the endorsement hearing, it doesn't have to necessarily be on a different day.

-Shagun states they need an entire week and we can approve the questions and must happen at least a week before.

-Baral asks if there's a motion regarding the new changes

-Contreras asks if April 19 is the only day. Student Lobby Conference is from the 17<sup>th</sup>-20<sup>th</sup> and the folks that I'm reaching out to are student group leaders and want them to advocate and that sucks if they have to choose where they go but if this is the only day I respect that.

-Shagun states there's literally no other options.

-Badalich moves to approve the election calendar as amended. Wong seconds.

#### XI. Announcements

-Quintanilla states he sits on the Trans Advocacy Task Force and it's a committee of a lot of important people and with directors and working on trans issues. We've had two meetings.

-Kalfayan states tomorrow in AGB CEC has its last event of winter quarter of the new TV show RA's.

-Rosen states tomorrow they are coprogramming Office of President in the Career center of the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> and trying to make BruinView more friendly. They are getting collections for lab coat loan library and please check out the Facebook event. We are only taking to blue lab coats.

-Wong encourages the student body if you're in support of the referendum to speak during public comment and to all council members and have students vocalize why its so important to increase amount of funding.

-Roth states ResLife, Dashew Center, and GR3 and Raj my director are coprogramming to sponsor or cater basically donate food so people who are stuck on the hill during spring break because often times people don't know they're stuck on a hill. I would love for that to be publicized.

-Wong states shoot her an email to connect to other CSC

-Baral invites everyone to see Hunting Ground

#### XII. Signing of the attendance sheet

The attendance sheet is passed around

#### XIII. Adjournment

-Rosen moves to adjourn the meeting. Moreno-Haq seconds.

-Baral adjourns the meeting at 11:04pm.

XIV. Good and Welfare