I. Call to Order
-Rosen calls the meeting to order at 7:02PM

A. Signing of the Attendance Sheet
*The attendance sheet is passed around*

II. Approval of the Agenda
-Chen strikes the Student Wellness Programming Fund
-Kajikawa strikes ASRF
-Hourdequin moves to approve the agenda as amended. Mossler seconds.
12-0-0-0 the agenda is approved as amended.
-Rosen tables the minutes to next week.

III. Public Comments
-Negeen is the former transfer student representative and didn’t think she would be back this soon for public comment. She’s here to voice opinion on the resolution presented and the last let it be resolved is extremely problematic considering that Napolitano is something we don’t feel safe with and find it okay to coordinate with Napolitano will be high key infuriating because worked so hard all last year for unified message and regents and h have it reversed by one resolution so soon in this particular council era is damaging to the movement students have been working hard to preserve. I implore all of you to think about language you are about to approve, its not just how you feel think about the students that feel unsafe.
-Denea Joseph is the current state affairs director and I’m reading a statement on behalf of Mario Rojas one of the retention coordinators of IDEAS, Napolitano still does not deserve confidence because she has never had students in mind and this summit was not supposed to be a space of conscious students only those who did not question her At that summit we had to force her to meet with us and promised to do some of the demands but until we take action we cant trust her. Her presence in UC has been problematic. We attended a summit and it was the national summit of undocumented student was president Napolitano supposed to be there for 6 hour span and changed it last minute and as an undocumented student I was present. What she did last minute was to be here on Friday the questions and concerns and 10 students meant to represent each UC but she changed the agenda on us and
based on her staff member she couldn’t make it due to prior meetings. I flew to San Francisco on Wednesday to meet with the president because she wanted to hear the questions and concerns and I feel it highly problematic and she clearly doesn’t want to work with students. Its admirable to reach out to her but we cannot move forward without accountability and cannot let her forget the lack of transparency.

As someone who served as Department of Homeland Security failed to help the undocumented and deported over 300,000 students and identify next steps and ensure next year it doesn’t happen and that we can hear the questions and concerns of student population. We have to work with her but the regents and office of president know about the issues and held to higher standard moving forward.

-Jacky from CalPirg a student funded and student directed nonprofit works to protect the public when powerful interests threaten our water and air. We helped ban plastic bags and raise financial aid by $36 billion and helped pass a policy to ensure that McDonalds would phase out antibiotics in their chicken and so what makes us so effective is that we have tens of thousands of students who pledge to support CalPirg. They give $10 every quarter on bruin bill and goes to funding advocates and staff to run effective campaigns. We just finished our pledge drive, thank you if you have pledged with us. We just came back from our state wide conference when we decided our three campaigns and elected our executive board. I’m really excited to work with you al and passing out information sheets.

-Fernando is a member of the UCLA community and came for the first time to voice concerns brought to his attention and hope to do it in a quick manner. This concerns something already brought the attention and I want to highlight one particular aspect of this decision the vote of confidence Janet Napolitano. To take this vote of confidence on today in particularly because today the board in California of 287g and to vote a confidence vote on Janet Napolitano will serve to highlight the dissonance and the tone deafness of UCLA in general with respect to the LA community. Please if 287g sounds random to you, I urge you to look it up. If you consider that ending today as this vote of confidence is passed I have no way to finish this sentence.

-Kevin Cassasola was the funding UC director and wants to thank you and talk about the resolution on the table as the funding director. The way you are doing it is slightly problematic. When we ask them for the UCSA for tuition increase and UCOP and said we don’t know. We find out three weeks they have this long term tuition instability plan with the consent of all of our chancellors across UC’s. The work for funding for the UC’s is pretty appalling. Heather Rosen and Ruhi Patil and Zach Helder of the resolution and we weren’t really able to do a lot with previous funding theUC and with the current financial commission we haven’t been able to work with them and work as a board and council together and are aware of working in the spaces.

-Morris Sarraifan is the president of the Lets Act coalition and polisci major and came to express concern and disapproval of the fact that the last cause that has been mentioned students were worked to UC president. I moved my final to fly to the meeting and voice my concern. Aside from me, there were students there protesting and showed disapproval of regents. Napolitano called me personally and every student in that room “crap.” Please keep that in mind when you want to work with
her. As an Armenian student and someone who is randomly selected very unrandomly I find it incredibly appalling that the sponsors are trying to work with former secretary of Homeland Security and keep that in mind. Love always

-Erineo Garcia is the internal vice chair of MEChA. Where were you during fall quarter when we went to UCSF used students as pawns and use us against governor brown to get state funding. At UCSF she had a line of police officers in riot gear ready to attack students and it made me feel unsafe. I wanted to make sure the Latino voice was heard and trying to vote for that last clause and I love the resolution and we tried working with Napolitano but its not going to work. I just want you all to take that into consideration.

IV. Special Presentations

V. Appointments

VI. Office and Member Reports
A. President – Rosen
-Rosen states she had a meeting with Janina Montero and the CSC commissioner and the Sarvey and Michael Leadership award and working on finalizing that and Janina Montero since that’s an initiative of the presidents office and CSC. I met with Keith Parker for government relations and work on the local, state, and national level and going to DC for advocacy day to Sacramento. Additionally, our applications will come out tonight or tomorrow. We have our executive and staff applications all on one application.

B. Internal Vice President – Hourdequin
-Hourdequin states there are staff applications with differing deadlines. Anyone who is watching the live stream if you want to apply to USAC check the deadlines. Moving to IVP stuff, I have a meeting on Monday with deputy to talk about lighting issue. My office last year collected addresses with bad lighting and exemplary lighting and present that on Monday with Westside Alliance. I'm hoping for a good outcome.
C. External Vice President - Helder

D. Academic Affairs Commissioner – Kajikawa
-Kajikawa states he met up with Allyson Bach to go over the transition and fully aware and continue to work with various administrators. Come and apply to be a part of the staff and excited to see whose interested in the commission. I ran into the officer of planning and development and include a question on evals about diversity of the classroom and inclusive of all students.

E. Administrative Representative
-Geller states that some things you have to do in the next few weeks are important. You are going to need to make your appointments, your finance commissioner appointed before the end of June to appoint a committee before the end of June to
get access to resources come July 1. Without a commissioner or committee you won’t have access to meeting. You need a meeting to discuss finals week and how much you will meet over summer. You are going to be asked to make appointments to ASUCLA Board of Directors and to CPC and SAC and SFAC. When you start getting asked to serve on committees like ARC, please take that seriously and that’s a particularly important USAC member to review and make recommendations. If you take that position take it seriously and make yourselves available to go in a timely fashion. You should have received a couple of emails with details of the events I mentioned last week and if you haven’t I’ll make sure they get forwarded to you.

-Zimmerman states installation is this Saturday and if you can arrive around 11:30 at Kerckhoff Grand Salon and start the ceremony around noon. Its semi formal and people usually dress up. We also have a photo-shoot the 19th at 5pm in the Kerckhoff Coffee House Patio and will be a portrait waist up so feel free to wear shorts if its hot. Hopefully everyone can attend the 5pm and please let me know to coordinate and find out what we can do to rearrange the time for that and would like to have everyone. It should only take a couple minutes and if you have to squeeze in a 2 minute slot we can do that. We also have move in and move out date. I will need 3 signatories and you will be included as 1. I’ll need name, email, and bruin ID number to receive emails and reserve rooms. I sent out a lottery room reminder and lottery for Ackerman and Kerckhoff so you can place on lottery list for next Fall. As council you have access to room 417 so its sometimes easier to get rooms but definitely sign up for the lottery.

-Hourdequin asks what date to send signatories
-Zimmerman states as early as you can to make things easier for scheduling. So let’s say 2 weeks.
-Khan asks if there’s a limit to guests come
-Zimmerman said not really we have capacity for 100-105 people and give people 5 invitations but we would want everyone to bring friends and loved ones.

VII. Fund Allocations
A. Contingency Programming
-Wong states events that happen in June are summer budget so we can only approve May events. There are a total of 32 groups. Total required is 172,627.68. Total requested is $27,339.38. Total recommended is $9,260.00 The balance after is $8,486.32.
-Hourdequin moves to approve. Cocroft seconds.
11-0-1 contingency is approved.

B. Capital Contingency
-Wong states total required and requested is 716.95 and $600 recommended. The balance after is $512.11.
-Geller asks if the estimate was inflated or did they have extra funding, how does it work for approve funding for a part of item
- Wong states we base it on quality of the application so we don’t give them full allocation and either have their money or organization money to cover the remaining costs.
- Rosen asks if it’s the same quantitative reasoning’s.
- Wong states yes that’s our grading and it’s the average of three members grading.
- Hourdequin moves to approve capital contingency. Siegel seconds.

12-0-0 capital contingency is approved.

C. Arts Restoring Community
- Shao states May 10, 2015 the ARC Fund allocated to 27 student organization for a total $42,766.90. That was our goal and the last remaining applications and maybe 2 more that need to be allocated. The goal was to use all of the funds so the new referendum was efficient and going to arts and culture based programming and not surplus used for students.
- Rosen states this is a consent item
- Kajikawa asks if you can send it out or explain it now
- Shao states the Arts Restoring Committee was implemented from last years elections with the passing of the referendum and coincides with the Cultural Affairs Commission through the collective and essentially began with $100,000 and started in Winter quarter with 5 members and meet weekly to do these allocations. Student organizations can apply twice a quarter and if you are part of the collective you can apply up to $6,000 and if you aren’t $4,000.
- Wong states for approving to other funding sources if you can send out matrix to all council members before hand then no one can really object to see the matrix.
- Rosen states with any funding body we need to allocate to any student groups. With surpluses we’ve had lower surpluses and that’s good because it means we’re more efficiently using the funds and allocating and it’s a great precedent.

VIII. Old Business

IX. New Business
- Cocroft moves for a 5 minute recess to allow for one of the sponsors to get there.
- Rosen suggests reading the resolution first and then maybe have a recess
- Cocroft withdraws his motion.

A. A Resolution in Support of Increased Affordability for Students at the University of California

WHEREAS, following the economic recession in 2008, state funding for the University of California was initially reduced by $850 million, and subsequently reduced further by $350 million in 2011 and 2012, as part of Governor Brown’s plan to stabilize state finances; and

WHEREAS, despite the passage of Proposition 30 in November 2012 to prevent additional cuts, the Governor instituted a plan for tuition increases in the same year,
following a structure of 5%/ 5%/ 4%/ 4% increases over the following four years; and

WHEREAS, state funds remain $480 million below the levels which funding was at in 2007 and 2008, without considering inflation or increases in enrollment; and

WHEREAS, the University of California currently receives the same level of funding as it did in 1999, despite having an additional 83,000 students and having chartered another4campus; and,

WHEREAS, in spite of the fact that the actual cost to provide a UC education has decreased from $23,050 to $18,060 due to increased efficiencies employed by the University, students will, for the first time since the University’s inception, be paying more than the state for the cost of their education; and

WHEREAS, revenue in the state of California is currently $1 billion over projections, making this an opportune time to increase funding to the University in order to stabilize its finances so that it may serve future generations of California students; and

WHEREAS, the state of California is currently expected to spend more than $62,000 on each prison inmate between 2014 and 2015, while it is expected to spend only $7,090 on each student in the University of California, meaning that the state will fund its incarcerated inmates at nine times the level that it funds UC students; and
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WHEREAS, through reducing staff, curtailing faculty recruitment, maximizing operational efficiencies, boosting fundraising, and deferring critical maintenance, the University has saved more than $644 million over the course of the past few
years, $426 million of which is tied directly to the aforementioned cost-cutting measures, while the remaining $238 million is tied to projects which generated revenue; and

WHEREAS, the University of California, when compared to similar public and private institutions of higher education, has lower tuition and fees for undergraduates who are California residents; and

WHEREAS, every nonresident student that the UC enrolls partially subsidizes an additional California resident student, the University has increased nonresident enrollment in order to mitigate the impact of financial cuts; and

WHEREAS, the earning gap continues to widen between California residents who hold Bachelor’s degrees and those who do not, while residents who hold those degrees contribute to the state's tax base and are less reliant on state social services; and

WHEREAS, 41% of undergraduates at the University of California are first-generation college students, 30% of undergraduates at the University of California are transfer students from community colleges; and

WHEREAS, increasing numbers of high school graduates in California, in particular students of color and students from low-income communities, did not previously have equal access to education; and

WHEREAS, 42% of undergraduates at the University of California qualify to receive federal Pell Grants (indicative of a family income below $50,000), and within five years of graduating, Pell Grant students from the University are projected to earn more than their parents’ combined earnings; and
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WHEREAS, the Federal Perkins Loan Program, a 5% interest loan for low-income
students, is also facing cancellation; and

WHEREAS, the University of California generates more than $46 billion in economic activity every year, due in large part to the fact that every dollar invested by a California taxpayer in the University results in $10 in gross state product and $14 in overall economic impact.

LET IT BE RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council supports the investment of an additional $100 million in the University of California, allocated from the $165 billion California state budget in order to eliminate the need for an increase in undergraduate tuition in 2015-2016. In addition, this investment will help meet the increasing demand for a UC education by enrolling 5,000 additional California high school and community college transfer students over the next five years. Furthermore, it will support initiatives to increase academic quality, and facilitate the continued production of highly qualified graduates who will supplement California’s workforce; and

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council supports the perpetuation of the Federal Perkins Loan and Federal Pell Grant Programs as they both apply to the students of the University of California; and

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council supports the establishment of a Middle Income Scholarship specific to UCLA and will advocate for middle income affordability continuing to make access to higher education a priority at the council table; and

LET IT BE FINALLY RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council will take action on behalf of this effort, lobbying both the California State Legislature and the California executive branch, and coordinating with President Napolitano and the UC Regents to strengthen the effort and achieve our common goal of state investment in the University of California.
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-Cocroft motions for a 5 minute recess.
-Cocroft retracts his motion for a 5 minute recess.
-Rosen opens the floor for grammatical errors.
Leontine states she likes the resolution but the last clause counters the effort made by students that any sense of communication with Napolitano is not carrying through. I just wanted to make that point.

Helder understands and totally agrees with dissatisfaction with regents and Napolitano and I’m trying to implement regential reform and trying to hold the president accountable and I need everyone’s help with that. This resolution comes down to an issue of funding. If we get $100 million on Thursday or June 15 then tuition hikes will be a thing of the past. I know no alternative and no better ally of the process to fund the university than those who are in charge of it. Despite my differences with the regents and Napolitano I see no alternative then to exchange resources and work together in common goal. I just spent the last 24 hours in Sacramento and excuse my lack of breath I just ran from the airport and today was a marathon of lobby visits and introductions to broad range of legislators and members of government office and all 3 members to be part of this coalition and making great promise towards the efforts. When it comes to standing up to the state and governor Brown the student voice needs amplification and that requires a coalition. The coalition has to be between people with powerful voices and in spite of our differences this is something we can agree on we don’t want tuition hikes and want funding. The point of this resolution is to give it a sense of action and urgency. This resolution is realistic unless I also told them it requires coalition building.

Shao states with the clarification of the idea of creating a coalition between UC regent Janet Napolitano and students there must be an acknowledgement that there’s already a coalition of students with no confidence of Janet Napolitano and the coalition of students will be the lobbying body. When talking about Napolitano and UC Regents accountable and we are implementing student voice and create the coalition that is composed of students. My self as an out of state student paying $50,000 I’m subsidizing an instate student. Especially coming from a low income household will leave us with the same narrative unable to go to the institution. Its not a coalition of UC Regents and Napolitano and already shown unable to work with students we have to build a coalition of students officially and make students the lobbying body.

Helder states he respects the points and this week and recently especially on the issue of funding both the regents and Napolitano do want to work with students and reached out to his office and other council members and do that lobbying on own behalf and share resources to accomplish this goal. You make an excellent point that if tuition should go up students will be in deep deep deep trouble. To me if we are doing triage that we have to look at what’s our prime directive leader and our first and foremost priorities is our tuition to not go up by any means possible. There’s a great deal of anxiety about tuition increases since announced and so that means if the office of the president are sharing the resources with student government official sot make a difference I would feel irresponsible as the external vice president to reject resources especially with no strings attached. They come merely with the common aim of funding our university in a way that the tuition burden doesn’t need to be increased I will emphasize the concerns of past actions of regents and Napolitano are well noted and important and going forward that is something they have to address. Our first and foremost priority and prevent students from
paying an additional 24.5% and we have an opportunity to stop that, it would be irresponsible to jeopardize that opportunity to simply say we aren’t working with Napolitano.

-Leontine stated the past actions of Napolitano but over this past weekend there was a national summit for undocumented students she missed the presentations and came late and initiated the conversation to engage with students and didn’t hold herself accountable and hearing the perspectives and narratives of the undocumented students. It is a good point to make and prevent the tuition hikes but the main concern is that students still don’t feel safe and the conversation isn’t going anywhere and no progress happening. That is where the apprehension lies and students across campuses are still distraught.

-Kajikawa completely agrees and there’s apprehension and that doesn’t mean we should just stop and not work with her. Unfortunately that’s no that situation we are in but we have to make the best of it. The language specifically says “, lobbying both the California State Legislature and the California executive branch, and coordinating with President Napolitano and the UC Regents to strengthen the effort and achieve our common goal of state investment in the University of California.” Its not a vote of confidence, its just saying we’re coordinating it and unfortunately we have to do that. Nonetheless we as USAC has to take these steps we need to address the issues and if we don’t work with her who are we going to work with.

-Siegel states what really resonated that despite the shortcoming of Napolitano that have been expressed time and time again and to question her leadership but that doesn’t change the fact that she is the president of the UC system and that hasn’t changed in the past and based on my own observations of the institution that is not going to change in the foreseeable future. Its doing a disservice that we aren’t going to pressure her. We want to work with all the avenues we have available and take advantage of every opportunity to meet and force the conversation and tell them what we want to see. To me it seems like an eye for an eye so Napolitano shutting out students then student shutting them out. As students we are the mature ones and meet with and demand that its going to happen and students should take advantage of every resource and champion affordability of all students.

-Hourdequin states this comes at high times with the Dear Gov. Brown campaign and there’s one target and one goal of putting pressure on governor Brown to give the UC funding. We need to somewhat stay and be a unified front and government relations is going to be meeting in Washington DC to discuss pell grants and Perkins loans and come together as much as there is satisfaction and put pressure on one goal of governor Brown. I also want to talk about the language and instead of “coordinating” and holding her accountable for “working with students for Funding the UC”

-Rosen asks if there’s a motion and specific language

-Lazarovici wants to widen the lens. With a lot of campaigns there’s an insider and outsider strategy and helpful to have them go on simultaneously. This current resolution is working form the inside of the institution bound by those rules and conventions to make change. There’s often an outsider strategy which is efforts from people outside of that structure to affect change from the outside. In successful campaigns there’s usually both of those going on consciously and sometimes the
way politics plays itself out. I see both as legitimate with each strengths and weaknesses. A couple of years ago when Janet Napolitano was appointed the president of University of California and people like me and immigrant right services it was like being socked in the stomach. There was discussion in USAC about a no confidence vote about Napolitano and my argument at that time if unless you are all ready to mount a full on campaign to have her removed but saying we have no confidence wont be effective. She has a lot of power and sees the tension between the insider and the outsider. The wording choice was to add a clause will coordinate when possible and appropriate because frankly it wont always be possible or appropriate.

-Khan agrees that a clause would be helpful and thank you for letting us know they reached out to. Since we are representing the students we have privilege in our position but not a lot of students get that same privilege. Unfortunately that’s what happened at the UC Regents meeting and said “I don’t have to deal with this crap” and tats important for us to remember. Although she ahs outreached to us now and the last call effort to stitch back and an amendment will help. Overall the amendment is great and amazing and its just the problem of how students feel.

-Shao stated there was this talk of jeopardy and coordination and I find it commendable that Helder said I would not jeopardize this tuition hike wouldn’t happen and coordinate with her. The fact of the matter is that the history of Napolitano does not want to coordinate. I thought this resolution was wonderful until “coordinate.” If you attack my identity and my family was an immigrant family and out of state and all the tables turn against us coordinate with an individual that continues to perpetuate it even though verbally she wants to but in the past and gone to conferences and I have seen that first hand. We have tried to talk to her and work with her but every single time she has invalidated the points and said our voices are irrelevant. This resolution is necessary to address communities but need to address the fact that if we coordinate it would be a backwards turns as opposed to moving forward. At the end of the day she will turn the tables because her history has shown that.

-Cocroft stated we are focusing on making sure this tuition hike doesn’t happen. We have to put as much pressure on the Governor to make sure it doesn't happen and have to partner with people for common goals like reagents and Napolitano. Coordinating with her is a necessity and its not always possible and changing when its appropriate and possible is something we should do. If we took out the language of coordinating it would be unwise. Cocroft makes a motion “when possible and appropriate” before coordinating. Siegel seconds. 10-3-0 it is approved to amend the language for the last part.

-Shao has a point of clarification of what the middle income scholarship entails

-Rosen states its one of her platforms and work with Yolanda Coupland Morgan and there’s already a middle income scholarship in UCDavis and Cal and this Middle Income one would be for UCLA at current financial situation. Its to support the establishment and look at our generous alumni generous network funding it beyond UCLA and beyond student fees.

-Shao asks who is eligible for the middle income scholarship

-Rosen states details are still being sorted but we’re looking from $60,000-$160,000.
-Leontine makes a new motion to add after California executive branch "students will not work with Napolitano or the regents until the regents and Napolitano and the UC Office of the President are held accountable and are transparent with the decision making process and have engaged in conversations with students in regards to issues about the tuition increase.
-Cocroft asks if it nullifies his amendment
-Anais states the amendment would be instead of.
-Hourdequin asks what is the dissatisfaction with the language Cocroft proposed.
-Cocroft stated “when possible and appropriate” coordinating with President Napolitano.
-Leontine states because students consistently have worked with Napolitano and tried over years there’s no progress being made. Putting that pressure and the language I just proposed makes it more pressing and makes it more relevant to the concerns students have and to move forward we have to make it more transparent with the decision making process.
-Khan seconds.
-Zimmerman states there can be a discussion after the second.
-Helder states that should that language pass and to be compliant with the resolution it would preclude me with the next lobby visit and on account of resources shared by the regents and the pass given the language and cancel all those lobby visits and phone calls and stop advocacy efforts. I don’t fully understand how binding resolutions are but obviously I hope for a united council and would like us to act together.
-Hourdequin understands the dissatisfaction and when two circumsitual situations arise we should act on that student leaders. There’s not going to be students that agree with her and aren’t students that are gung ho and this instance in funding the UC we need to unite and act on one force of governor brown because I don’t think there’s any other way to be successful. Being held accountable is being incorporated in “when appropriate and when possible.” We should as students be working with Janet Napolitano
-Khan states its not necessary to cancel those meetings but continue to go to those meetings and what’s in mind with the students you’ve heard from and a lot of them feel uncomfortable working in space of Napolitano and disrespected students. If anything its great that you’re going on those visits and push the conversation of holding them accountable. Though we are willing to work with them and keeping them accountable and holding them to transparency and hasn’t been done in the past and has to be fixed. We have to think about the past.
-Shao’s question is what are the standards of possible and appropriate
-Cocroft when are we going to judge being accountable and transparent. We have to judge and agree to be held accountable and transparent and make these decisions.
-Siegel states the reason he supported Cocroft’s changing gives council flexibility and gives us discretion and best judgment and the reason I find the language problematic because it kind of makes an ultimatum. These should be conditions and have them explicitly written down and we cant negotiate and move around. That’s my biggest concern. Leaving it vague on person is something I appreciate and allows us to disseminate information to see what we see fit.
-Mossler asks if there’s a way to combine them and the additional amendment felt not negative, but have an opportunity to have a clean slate and see what Helder is capable of but acknowledge they are more transparent and pressure them to be more transparent and accountable so we can acknowledge that.

-Khan wants to yield to Denea and accountability doesn’t mean you have to choose either or but if you create a stipulation of accountability or walk in to meeting with president or regents and if you can have asks. If you can say president Napolitano that you keep the consideration of the ucsa bylaws and 40 days notice. It doesn’t mean let me pick to have those conversations but making sure you set a precedent that a tuition increase in the future doesn’t happen without student voice without being represented. This is a 5 year tuition increase. If we don’t hold them accountable this year they’ll see they’ll get over it. That final whereas and let it be resolved is devaluing all those works and all of the EVPS unanimously voted no confidence. It’s a beautiful resolution but have asks. Let them know that its unacceptable moving forward that students aren’t consulted.

-Helder totally agrees with the plan of action and the external vice president and external bodies and its one of the natural laws of the office to hold them accountable to the things they’ve done and my concern is the use of the word “unless” and makes me giving the asks mutually exclusive. I can’t work with them unless they say “okay.” The fact of the matter is that it’s a working progress and the meeting is Thursday and the final signing is June 15. I don’t have time for the relationship to be perfect. Can I motion to vote on the language or further discussion?

-Rosen states there’s an opportunity to issue to call of question and 2/3 vote to end debate.

-Helder motions to calls the question and end debate and immediately go to end debate. Siegel seconds.

-Leontine has a point of inquiry that Lexi proposed to combine the two languages Cocroft’s and myself and didn’t want to discredit.

-Rosen states this is just the language you proposed amendment. 10-3-0 we’re going to end debate.

-Rosen asks for votes for language by Leontine 3-10-0 the amended language was voted against.

-Rosen states we are still with the original language Cocroft

-Mossler states make it positive but acknowledge to help pressure. To keep Cocroft’s and combine to make it accountable and transparent to make it happen and acknowledge the fact that she hasn’t been receptive.

-Leontine asks for Cocroft to repeat

-Cocroft states “when possible and appropriate coordinating with President Napolitano”

-Mossler makes a motion to amend the language of the final paragraph to tack on and when possible and appropriate coordinating with President Napolitano and the UC Regents to strengthen the effort and achieve our common goal of state investment in the University of California as well as pressuring President Napolitano and the UC Regents to be held accountable and transparent with their decision making process.
-Leontine has a friendly amendment with stating decision making process. Siegel seconds.
-13-0-0 the amended language is approved.
-Siegel moves to approve the resolution of its entirety. Kajikawa seconds.

**A Resolution in Support of Increased Affordability for Students at the University of California is approved.**

X. Announcements
- Chen states next Wednesday the 20th the AIDS awareness is holding roller raids and support HIV research in Ackerman Grand Ballroom. This Thursday there’s SWC Sexperts with people talking about things related to that title. The leader of one of the SWC committees will be attending sexual health coalition meeting tomorrow at Wednesday at 4pm and welcome everyone on council and there will be free food.
- Mossler states on Thursday we’re showing 50 shades of grey and showing a free sneak of Tomorrowland. On 5/19 we’re doing a free sneak of me, earl, and the dying girl. On 5/20 we’re having a free concert for gold link and 5/21 short takes film festival and doing a concert in Ackerman grand ballroom with snakehips. Rush CEC.
- Dameron states the John Sarvey $1000 scholarship for continuing students and have it forwarded it out to all of council and read a brief description and “gone beyond role of students and integrating service leadership” and each students bar account due Friday.
- Shao states that this coming Sunday fro 11-3 CAC and Gen Rep 1 have coprogrammed to take a diversity tour to a Sikh temple. Aside form that that Jazz Reggae is hiring at the same time and that will allow JRF staff to jump right into CAC stuff and be collective.
- Khan states their chai talk tomorrow 7-9 in Dodd with green over red with plants based diets and impact the world and south Asian issues that have to deal with other communities. There will be free native foods or veggie grill and there will also be chai.
- Rosen thanks everyone for those who changed their profile pictures for dear governor brown and collectively work together and the video has been released as well and like the UC student regent designate Avi Oved’s page and encourage them to make sure its behind this. Additionally, I will be recruiting council members for ARC and BOD in early July and I’ll send out an interest survey and gage interested on which council members will be on specific committees and you will be on one committee and not all of them. There’s also the interfaith event on Thursday at 3pm.

XI. Signing of the Attendance Sheet
*The attendance sheet is passed around.*

XII. Adjournment
- Hourdequin moves to adjourn the meeting. Cocroft seconds.
The meeting is adjourned at 8:43pm.

XII. Good and Welfare