

Finalized

Approved November 3, 2015

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION COUNCIL

Kerckhoff Hall 417

October 27, 2015

7:00 PM

PRESENT: Heather Hourdequin, Zach Helder, Anais Leontine, Danny Siegel, , Trent Kajikawa, Lexi Mossler, Zack Dameron, Amy Shao, Ian Cocroft, Ruhi Patil, Marvin Chen, Ariel Rafalian, Stephanie Wong, Patricia Zimmerman, Deborah Geller, Christina Mata

ABSENT: Heather Rosen, Aaliya Khan

I. Call to Order

-Hourdequin calls the meeting to order at 7:00pm.

A. Signing of the Attendance Sheet

The attendance sheet is passed around

II. Approval of the Agenda

-Kajikawa has the Student Health Advisory Committee before CSC Mid quarter event.

-Kajikawa moves to make appointments an action item.

-Kajikawa tabled Meredith and struck Kyle's appointment

-Dameron strikes the CSC Officer Report

-Chen strikes the SWC Officer Report

-Cocroft moves to make J Johnson a Facilities Commission Appointment rather than a Presidential Appointment

-Rosen moves to strike the Presidential appointment

-Kajikawa moves to approve the agenda as amended. Rafalian seconds.

11-0-0 the agenda is approved.

B. Approval of the Minutes from October 20, 2015

-Helder moves to approve the minutes. Siegel seconds.

11-0-0 the minutes have been approved

III. Public Comments

-Amanda Doe is this years audit coordinator and wanted to introduce herself and looks forward to working with everyone

-Linda Buoy is a new member of the finance committee and is excited to do work

-He looks forward this year and is a new member of the finance committee.

-I'm from the school of education and I'm here to talk about the townhall. It's a student organized and student led event. We're trying to pull in faculty and staff and graduate students together. One is to hear about Vice Chancellor Jerry Kwang is going to do for Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion but there's been limited spaces for students to hear from them and what they're doing in terms of improving campus climate and for collaboration for faculty, staff, and students who care about improving campus climate. I'm passing out fliers with tentative agendas. I'm here to

ask for your help to spread the word in getting lots of folks and we are hoping for at least 300 to show up. Dinner will be provided and its an event on the hill. I'm going to pass out if you're interested in volunteering and the other is if you can sign up to receive fliers and other information to help us spread the word.

IV. Special Presentations

A. Student Health Advisory Committee

-UCLA representative for the oversight board for the UCSHIP and I'm here to fill you in. We had the first meeting of the year up in Oakland and every single rep for the campus came to meet one on one as a group of students. We figured a lot of issues that we had in common and those that are unique to our campus. Our 3 biggest goals is mental health. Obviously we have a huge backload problem for CAPS mainly because our UCSHIP doesn't cover a psychologist after school and looking to offer more providers and make it easier. As of right now, CAPS with 8 therapists and psychiatrists is only 26,000 appointments. Realistically that means 3 appointments for those who signed up and it isn't reliable. The second is dependent coverage, for example Cal opted out of dependent coverage and their president went to talk to Napolitano but for students who don't have an option its really something they're trying to look at it. The last thing is drug inflation and drug price inflation and the diaphran scandal. Essentially we had a frank discussion what do we do if it happens to a drug that students do a lot. Legally we have no protection and UC ships board of directors have no shareholders. We aren't allowed to have a true cash deficit. We were in 2 \$52 million deficits before and now we have a committee. We might not be able to support the drug and can't for specialty drug tiers. We have a lobbying firm called UC in DC as the UCSHIP we are going to try to lobby for a law to protect our drugs to make it an illegal. Its going to be a start of several year long project system wide.

Accomplishments since the past year we finally got free anonymous HIV testing. Its completely confidential and free for every student, but we do have to report it to county and put it in the system. One of the solutions is that we bring in free HIV testing vans on campus with no names and no questions and get the result and students wont have to worry about it. The only thing we have to do is to pay for the permit for on campus as a solution to a project. We now fully cover the cure for HepC and its extremely expensive and more expensive than treating a symptom for 4 years, and after negotiating we can afford it. Students who have hepatitis c can actually get cured. It's the first treatment to come like this and life altering diseases that are getting cured. Pharmacy update, vision used to be part of the Ashe center and its in Ackerman. We are going to do that with our pharmacy soon to move it in there this summer and free up space in Ashe. Does that mean faculty can get their prescription. Your vision is subsidized and if faculty goes there it makes your glasses cheaper and it doesn't cost us extra and we continue to sell our generics. ASUCLA was worried we were going to compete but we have We are looking into urgent care on the hill and it's a very infant idea and a 5 year long process. They get charged \$50 every time if they cant come in during hours so why not offer an urgent care. The project that I mentioned with Chen and Rosen and

we were looking for free STI testing. Its very popular which tells us more students would get tested if offered but they don't. Essentially we are looking for SWC funding the bill. We cant legally give it to them for free and essentially you would have to pay for every student. The solution that we are recommending with several vans like the HIV ones. We can book them once a month and its much cheaper than paying \$26 per lab. Those vans are subsidized by the county. I'm happy to work with Chen and Rosen. It means we would be able to offer free STI testing to every student. Lastly, I would love for you guys to find 2 undergraduate SHAC appointments. I would love to find someone there and would want to phase them out.

-Helder states if you need any help with lobbying we are visiting DC's three times a quarter and we're happy to take that on.

-Chen states Rosen and him are going to meet on and we can talk about it.

-I do take notes on all the meetings but when we had our EOB meeting when the students discussed campus concerns and if you want a copy I will be sending my meeting notes to Rosen and feel free to email with any questions.

B. CSC Mid-Quarter Report

-Dameron state we have over 30 registered campus organizations and the commission has a little over 40 staff members that do programs and events to promote services and plan events. Today we are going to go over what's going on this quarter. The alternative breaks appeal to al to of students where they go out on spring break and volunteer for the entire week. They specialize in a particular issue in a certain community. We've expanded our sites to Georgia, los Angeles, Utah, san Diego, California, san Francisco, whitewater preserve, and new Orleans. This week is dedicated to exploring the issue. Something that's nice about these its that its all subsidized trips and the commission subsidizes half. He shows pictures of some past alternative breaks trips. Overall students apply by next week and go through interviews and we get hundreds of applicants. Once they get into AB it's a year long program where we have orientation session that have them focus on the issue. Its not like a subsidized spring break trip we are rarely focusing on the issue that its engaged with. We have them come back and do the sessions and reflect on the trip to bring back to the local UCLA community. For the process we have applications due October 28 and the interviews are from Nov. 4- November 13 and decisions November 15. That's sort of the process for AB.

-Camilleri states day of service will be November 7th from 8am-2pm to work on different issues around LA and come back for a fun lunch and speaker and edutainment. Some of the range of issues is environmentalism, trail clean ups, LA kitchen, and food banks. There's also women and children homes and a pretty wide range. Council for those that are here it would be a cool time for your office to come out on a Saturday and chipotle is donating all of our food. Dameron states if you have a group email us if that's what you're interested in. Our last thing is going on Week 7 which is our food campaign. CSC is taking on a new initiative in that every quarter our external programming committee will put on a weeklong campaign. The topics are sustainability, security, and health. We have a food fair in bruin plaza with different orgs on campus. Wednesday we have a volunteer opportunity with farm

into urban gardens. On Thursday we will have a sustainable food system panel and on Friday we are going to have a workshop with SWC called "Don't sweat the supermarket!"

-A lot of our stuff is out on bruin walk or just come by and take photos and we are good at getting donations.

-Cocroft asks if there is a deadline for day of service

-Dameron states we have 15 sites confirms and want to make sure we have the proper amount. The deadline is November 2nd.

V. Appointments

-Hourdequin states they all have votes and the consent items don't need to have an interview unless they request an interview. If a consent appointment does not receive a majority of affirmative votes than they can either withdraw from the entire process or come to council. We have two appointments that are here because of that. There are action item that have been interviewed and do have a recommendation from ARC and its just like a presidential appointment where we do ask questions.

-Helder asks we established that the procedures I move to appoint Kajikawa and then discussion ensues and then someone calls to question and then we vote on the appointment.

-Cocroft states instead of a call to question the chair just counts for a vote.

A. J Joelson

-Hourdequin says it's a consent item

-Cocroft states TGIF receives \$300,000 each year to support sustainable issue. Jay would be the representative who votes on grants and applications for TGIF. He has support and told me he was interested in the position and studying environmental systems. He really wanted to learn the ins and out and looked at how to use the funds and vision and a great and engaged member.

-Hourdequin states he received a 3-0-0 approval.

-Hourdequin states all the consent items were approved and voted on via email.

-Hourdequin states he is approved by consent to TGIF.

B. Academic Freedom – Eva Chen

--Kajikawa states we have 2 appointments within the academic senate. The committee will remain as a liaison for academic freedom and academic senate. Kajikawa states the Academic Freedom Committee studies and reports to the Division concerning any conditions within or without the University which, in its judgment, may affect the academic freedom. Academic freedom is freedom from duress or sanction aimed at suppressing the intellectual independence, free investigation, and unfettered communication by the academic community -- faculty, librarians, students, and guest

-Hourdequin states our ARC vote was a 3-0-0 vote of approval.

Hourdequin states she's approved by consent.

C. Academic Freedom -- Miranda Baker

-Hourdequin states this is an action item because she didn't receive a majority vote from ARC.

-Baker is a second year polisci major and is honored to be in front of us to try to make sure she's approved to the Academic Freedom As chancellor block once wrote "the university should give wide latitude to our faculty and we have a responsibility to protect the freedom of expression." I would be thrilled to express the UCLA student body because I would want to ensure that they would protect the advancement of ideas and I have direct access to Kajikawa which facilitates the relay of information for any academic issues that may arise.

-Cocroft asks why do you think academic freedom is important

-Baker stated she wanted to ensure that she went to a school with a nice well rounded education so faculty and students wouldn't be afraid to express their ideas if there was differing opinions. UCLA is a great place to be in that area and that's why I chose UCLA and I definitely want to protect campus climate.

-Kajikawa asks how do you see academic freedom and hate speech affecting your committee and what's going to be brought up

-Baker states October 22 last Thursday they had a committee meeting and we went over the UC Regents statements of intolerance and we discussed about this statement for a long time. There's a fine line between academic freedom and free speech. Academic freedom is what its said in class in pursuing educational and academia with an academic purpose. Hate speech depends on if its in class or on campus and that's where we drew the line and it was an interesting debate and liked being in attendance.

-Amin states you believe you have what it takes to represent the undergraduate body and what qualities do you think that is and possess to represent them.

-Baker states she had a lot of leadership roles in campus and like in my sorority and in my high school mock trials and effectively speak and get my mind across and I have access to Kajikawa and understand what the student body is going to want and relay the information to my committee.

-Shao what is your knowledge about how academic freedom correlates to social class, communities of color, and the idea of privilege.

-Baker states its very important and there has been a lot of hate speech going around lately and academic freedom is different than freedom of speech kind of. There is a really fine line but its just not okay to necessarily bring hate for different cultures and different races into academics because we should all have respect and if we're educated people we should have respect for differing cultures and values.

-Cocroft asks what the biggest threat to academic freedom is

-Baker states the biggest threat to academic freedom would be hate speech. People should be open minded and respectful but sometimes there's over lap between personal opinions and general feelings. Faculty and professors could maybe present a lecture to class and not everyone agrees with but as long as they have evidence to back themselves out but for an educational purpose they should be allowed to do that.

-Baker states as a polisci student I'm a strong believer in the first amendment and its necessary foundation for a well rounded education which students are paying for to the best school. Attending the first meeting on October 22nd I was able to interact

with professors and given my perfect attendance thus far and experience in subject area I would be more than please to be the undergrad student voice. Thank you for your attention and consideration.

-Helder moves to approve Miranda Baker to the Academic Freedom community.
Cocroft seconds.

-Helder states I want to point out that Kajikawa trusts Baker and we have a long line of appointments and I advice that we move to appoint her as quickly as possible since it seems like we have a qualified and competent applicant.

-Kajikawa states I take full responsibility for her application not being complete. He states that she talked about her background as a republican being conservative and a lot of these professors are liberal leaning and someone with a different viewpoint would be interesting.

Serving on the academic freedom committee and something that would be unique as a republican would be unique.

-Helder states in response to that some viewpoint that's a minority is best way to represent viewpoints for those who have freedom of expression threatened.

-Hourdequin calls to question.

6-5-1 Miranda Baker is approved by majority vote to Academic Freedom committee.

-Kajikawa states for those voting against to hammer home any misconceptions and a vote in no confidence so that person can directly address what you're hesitant about because they are taking time out of their night.

-Helder states you cant abstain unless it's a financial stake.

-Geller states if the concern is that you didn't accurately record it you need to re-record it but its not an opportunity to change the position just to confirm that they were accurately recorded.

-Geller states if you're saying its not an accurate count you're going back to the same vote and asking for the same show of hands to verify the count.

-Hourdequin states raise your hand for those in favor.

5-5-1 Miranda Baker is not approved.

-Helder states point of order that typically Rosen would make the tie to vote so what I would recommend is that I would move to revote and we could to my understanding on the motion to revote and we will re-vote and given that Kajikawa doesn't have a financial strike does that hold.

-Geller states rather than doing a re-vote there might be interest in someone bringing a motion to put it back on the agenda next week when more people will be here and you can have another vote rather than encouraging people to change their positions.

-Helder moves to table the appointment of Miranda Baker to next weeks meeting.
Kajikawa seconds.

-Cocroft states if the motion has been voted on if we can

-Helder states the bylaws stipulate if there's a time

-Kajikawa states in terms of Beyda didn't they have a revote

-Geller states it never went to a decision

-Geller states in a typical meeting the president would be leading the meeting and would have a tie breaking vote if there was a tie. Because the vice president is acting as chair she keeps the vote. Without a tie breaker you are at a tie and there's no way

to tip it. What you can do is not table it but add it to the agenda again as a new appointment so the same person for the same committee can come back next week with or without interview to be determined by whatever motion for what was brought forward. You cannot undue the vote. The reality of it is that the number of votes and voting chair there is no opportunity to cast a tiebreaker

-Hourdequin states as of right now Miranda Baker is not approved

-Cocroft asks would we be able to make a motion to reconsider

-Geller states that is an option but you shouldn't pressure any particular individual to change a vote but it should be a debate on the merits of two sides. Its based on new information being shared in discussion rather than what would appear to be pressure to break a tie.

-Hourdequin states what we should do, she was no appointed right now and you can go back and work with the directors of appointments and if you want to refill and your director appointments

-Kajikawa would like to open it up for discussion and would want to know what type of appointment you're looking for if that's something you want to continue with.

-Helder states why don't you consult us throughout the week and take the next few days to do that.

-Hourdequin states you were not appointed to the committee but Kajikawa is going to go back to the director of appointments and work up a new process and at this time you were not appointed.

D. Continuing and Community Education: Kristin Siu

-Kajikawa states The mission of the Committee on Continuing and Community Education (CCCE) is to advance programs in continuing and community education that meet the public's needs, that maintain UCLA's reputation for academic excellence, that promote innovative methods of instruction, and support the mission of the University. The CCCE focuses on educational issues and academic programs for non-matriculated students who are not registered or enrolled in undergraduate or graduate degree programs. It considers the educational, organizational, technological, legal, and economic dimensions of continuing and community education at UCLA, seeking to advance the University's contribution to society and its position as a leading research institution.

-Hourdequin states she received a 2-1-0 vote as a vote of no recommendation.

Hourdequin opens it up for discussion

She is approved by consent.

E. Committee on Planning and Budget: Nikhil Saukhla

-Kajikawa states Committee on Planning and Budge charge is to "make recommendations based on established Senate policy to the Chancellor and Senate agencies concerning the allocation of educational resources, academic priorities, and the planning and budgetary process" as well as formulating a Senate view on "the campus budget and each major campus space-use and building project." CPB discusses with the Executive Vice Chancellor and Vice Chancellor for Finance the current strategic and budget issues. CPB maintains an active relationship with the

Statewide University Council on Planning and Budget (UCPB) through its UCPB representative.

-Hourdequin states he received a 3-0-0 vote of approval.

-Hourdequin opens it up for discussion.

He is approved by consent.

F. Committee on Planning and Budget – Archibald Lai

-Hourdequin states a 3-0-0 vote of disapproval.

-Archibald Lai thanks everyone for their time and hopes to get their approval.

As a formal chemistry engineering and now pre-human biology and society major I have the best of my ability for south campus to interdisciplinary with my counterpart Nikhil Saukhla from political science and theatre and we are encompassing all aspects in terms of majors and have a holistic feedback to the council.

-Shao asks what he would revamp and bring it to the next level and increase transparency

-Shao states with a new deal and \$25 million and potential student increase there has to be an open conversation about the budget but also the internal workings. One of the conversations that we had was vice chair Monica Smith about the spaces between different classes and with this potential rise of 5,000 students we need to create at least 20 spots per student. We are talking with Steve Olsen and expecting to enroll an additional 400 students and adjust how we are utilizing classroom spaces and smaller classrooms. In order to make this the focus in the same seriousness I think we can revamp the program.

-Amin asks how would you work with faculty to combat these problems

-Lai states a lot of it goes with the campus space planning committee to find these additional spots and we need to talk to faculty as well and see a potential increase in student body but don't see the same amount of growth in faculty. To create this dialogue with faculty and TA maybe opening the discussion for master students having TA roles and lower discussion class sizes.

-Lai thanks the council for inviting him to speak as the undergraduate representative for the council of planning an budget. A lot of us want to leave a permanent impact so people coming in can get a worthwhile education to have the tools and utilities for the real world outside. Have a great night.

-Cocroft moves to approve Archibald Lai to Committee on Planning and Budget. Helder seconds.

-Kajikawa wants to emphasize that I met with them and we know them best so please if there are any kind of hesitation please bring that forward to the table to address that head on.

-Hourdequin states I voted against it because his application was blank and I had nothing to go off of and because he was a consent item we didn't have an interview and there was no opportunity to interview. He is knowledgeable about this position and appreciates how he wants to integrate other committees into this position because it brings a unique perspective to accomplish.

-Rafalian states he fell victim to bad circumstances and he is well versed in the things he needs to know and dealing with the statistics.

-Kajikawa calls to question.

10-0-1 vote Archibald Lai is approved to the Committee on Planning and Budget.

F. Committee on Research: Ross Mudgway

-Kajikawa states It is the responsibility of the Council on Research (COR) to consult regularly with the Vice Chancellor for Research and with the various Directors within the research administration as required, to advise on issues pertinent to the faculty research mission. It is the mandate of the COR to advise the Chancellor and inform the Division concerning (a) faculty perspectives on issues pertaining to the research mission at UCLA and the University of California; (b) campus budgetary needs for support of research and support of research infrastructures, policy, and strategy regarding the pursuit and acceptance of such support; (c) promotion and coordination of multidisciplinary research and collaborative work among faculty; and (d) policies governing acceptance of extramural funding. COR meets once a month during the academic year.

- Hourdequin states he received a 3-0-0 vote of approval.

- Hourdequin opens it up for discussion

-Hourdequin states he is approved by consent.

G. Committee on Diversity and Equal Opportunity: Tiffany Hsu

-Kajikawa states The primary mission of CODEO has been to provide advice to the University administration on policies/programs to advance faculty diversity, including the recruitment and retention of women and underrepresented minorities. The Committee administers the annual Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Award, and reports to the Division on recruitment, promotion, and retention of faculty from underrepresented groups. The Committee provides advice to the Academic Senate on issues relating to diversity and equal opportunity in the University community.-Kajikawa they're being tasked to have issues including hiring and departments to ensure that they will be conducted fairly and consider all communities.

- Hourdequin state Hsu received a 3-0-0 vote by ARC.

- Hourdequin opens it for discussion.

- Hourdequin states she's approved by consent.

H. Committee on Diversity and Equal Opportunity: Aubrey Sassoon

- Hourdequin states she received a 3-0-0 vote by ARC.

- Hourdequin opens it for discussion.

- Hourdequin states she is approved by consent.

I. Education Abroad (International Education) Committee: LeAnn Kelch

-Kajikawa states the principal charge of the Committee on International Education is to represent the Los Angeles Division in matters concerned with International Education.

-Hourdequin states Kelch received a 2-1-0 vote of no recommendation.- Hourdequin opens it for discussion

- Hourdequin states she is approved by consent

J, Faculty Welfare Committee: Conrad Alumia

-Kajikawa states The principal charge of the Committee on Faculty Welfare is to advise the Division and confer with administrative agencies on all matters involving the economic welfare of the faculty, including but not limited to the level of salaries, salary determination methodology, benefits, insurance, retirement, housing and conditions of employment. In consultation with the Chair of the Division, also sets a calendar at the beginning of each academic year for the preparation of reports and studies to be presented to the Division, the University Faculty Welfare Committee, and administrative agencies in time for appropriate consideration and implementation. The committee is also responsible for filing an annual report that describes the current economic welfare of the faculty and makes proposals for studies and actions to improve that welfare.

-Approved by consent

K. Intercollegiate Athletics Committee: Lea Luterstei

-Kajikawa states A major responsibility of The Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics involves reviewing policies and practices of the UCLA Athletics Department as they affect the academic progress and performance of student-athletes. The Committee also engages broader issues regarding the relation of the athletics program to the overall academic mission of the university.

-Approved by consent

L. Library and Scholarly Committee: Clare Camilleri

-Kajikawa states the Committee on Library and Scholarly Communication (COLASC) takes, as its principal obligation, to reflect and articulate the views of UCLA faculty members concerning the role of the University Library in the acquisition, storage, and provision of scholarly materials.

-Approved by consent

Teaching Committee: Simon Joo

-Kajikawa states The Committee on Teaching has two major responsibilities: (1) the selection of six members of the Senate, three non-Senate faculty, and five teaching assistants to receive awards for distinguished teaching, and (2) the advising of the Division and departments with regard to policies that will enlarge possibilities for distinguished teaching and improve the quality of instruction, including methods of evaluation of teaching.

Approved by consent

N. Committee on Undergraduate Admissions and Relations with Schools: Christelle Rocha

-Kajikawa states CUARS advises the Office of Undergraduate Admissions and Relations with Schools and the Chancellor's Office on matters pertaining to undergraduate admissions policy and helps formulate guidelines for admission to

be used during the admission process. In order to learn what is involved in making actual admissions decisions, committee members read some sample student applications during the regular fall or winter quarters.

-Hourdequin states she received a 3-0-0 vote of approval and was really passionate about her work.

-Rocha introduces herself as a mathematic for teaching major and also a transfer student and have a different experience than incoming freshmen and that impacted how I view. I'm passionate about k-12 experience because of the access I had and started a chapter here at UCLA so I'm open to any questions.

-Amin asks what have you advocated for in the past for the betterment of students and what do you continue for

-Rocha states during community college I was in student government and that put me in perspective of peer to peer and see how you can influence and help students where they want to be. I do have experience with that and have had shared governance through that leadership.

-Kajikawa asks can you talk about Students for Education Reform

-Rocha states it politically organizes around k-12 and fight for educational equity because we know that equality and equity are different things. We have different chapters across California and I'm an active member to empower each other and empower the students we're trying to life up.

-Rocha appreciates everyone for their time and hopes we get to work together soon.

-Helder moves to approve Christelle Rocha to the Committee on Undergraduate Admissions and Relations with Schools. Rafalian seconds.

-Cocroft states it seems like she's really knowledgeable and passionate and would be great on the committee and totally stand by the recommendation by ARC.

-Helder calls to question

10-0-1

O. Committee on Undergraduate Admissions and Relations with Schools: Claire Crinion*

-Hourdequin states she received a 3-0-0 vote of approval with great perspective and will bring great ideas.

-Crinion introduces herself as third year cognitive science major and I think I'm enthusiastic and well informed on the issues that we're looking to discuss. I've been involved in a lot of different committees and bring in a view that helps in regards to access and diversity issues.

-Cocroft asks for perspective about Project Literacy

-Crinion states Project Literacy goes to underserved areas and teaches literacy to different areas and I've seen a lot of discrepancies in the quality of education and I think I can bring a good opinion on that to the committee on how access is easy for some people and not so much for others in relation to socioeconomic status and the kids I work with in project literacy.

-Crinion thanks them for having and she hopes that they'll confirm her appointment because I'm confident I can be a good representative for the undergraduate student body and I'm excited for the year to come.

-Helder moves to approve Claire Crinion on the Committee on Undergraduate Admissions and Relations with Schools. Cocroft seconds.
-Amin states she really likes how she listed her issues and personally liked her application.
-Shao stated what stood out of her interview is bringing out an outside perspective and working with project literacy she is aware of social class and privilege and working class and she brings in outside of class.
-Helder calls to question.
10-0-1 Claire Crinion is approved on the Committee on Undergraduate Admissions and Relations with Schools.

Q. Undergraduate Council: Ally Miller*

-Kajikawa states The Undergraduate Council makes policy for undergraduate education at UCLA; recommends to the Legislative Assembly undergraduate programs leading to new degrees; authorizes, supervises and regulates all undergraduate courses and programs of instruction and preparatory education; periodically reviews and evaluates all undergraduate programs of study and all programs of preparatory education in conjunction with the Graduate Council; and sets standards for honors and recommends procedures for awards of undergraduate scholarships. For information on undergraduate course or program approval, please refer to the "Guide to Undergraduate Course and Program Approval." For a general understanding for the routing process for evaluating proposals, please see "Procedural Manual for the Review of Proposals for Academic Programs And Units." Procedures and guidelines are available upon request.

-Hourdequin states she received a 3-0-0 vote and is the chief of staff with Academic Affairs Commission and liked that outreach was important and making changes. We recommended to find more ways of outreach and engagement.

-Miller introduces herself as chief of staff Academic Affairs Commission. I believe that my connection with AAC and Kajikawa will be important when sitting on UGC to use your ideas and opinions to help me bring that back to the rest of council and I was really happy.

-Amin asks what chief of staff entails for AAC

-Miller states she works on the policy side such as the program for students to teach and the 1 year anniversary of the diversity requirement to put on a celebration

-Miller thanks everyone for having and hopes to continue it for the rest of the year for UGC.

-Cocroft moves to approve Ally Miller to Undergraduate Council. Helder seconds.

-Shao states having sat in on the interview I appreciated the breadth of knowledge of AAC and what she was walking into and that she was critical.

-Hourdequin calls to question.

-10-0-1 vote Ally Miller is approved to Undergraduate Council.

R. Undergraduate Council: Stella Fang

-Hourdequin states she received a 3-0-0 vote of approval

-Fang states she's a psychology and economics major and was an appointment last year and is happy to be here as an experience of resident assistant and on orientation staff I've had the privilege of coming in contact with large volume and hopefully I would be able to bring a well rounded perspective to the council as well as the other committee members sitting here.

-Hourdequin asks about specific vision for this committee

-Fang states they've only had 2 meetings and we've been feeling out how the committee works so we're testing it out but even last Friday we have been looking to represent all students even though there's 4 of us.

-Wong asks how she plans to better the structure and what that entails

-Fang states as an appointment last year and there's hierarchy and sometimes my reporting back it gets lost. Are students hearing what is going on? How I plan on changing that structure is a loaded thing but little by little I would hope with the involvement of Aly and her position on chief of staff, I believe that the four of us have a great foundation to voice our concerns if any and hopefully bring back what the committee has discussed in past meetings and take future committees what needs to be discussed.

-Fang thanks everyone for time and consideration and I'm here to serve so if any of you want to voice a concern please feel free to contact one of us.

-Helder moves to appoint Stella Fang to undergraduate council. Dameron seconds.

-Amin likes that she was a student rep and knows exactly what to work on. That reassures me.

-Hourdequin calls the motion to question.

S. Undergraduate Council: Maria Felix*

-Hourdequin states she received a 3-0-0 vote

-Felix states she's a fourth year biology major and education minor. Education is my passion and I love working with kids and I currently work at the lab school and specialize working there with complex learners to give students the resources to be successful and do well in the primary level and hopefully college. Once you get into an institution at UCLA and doesn't come out on the same playing field and being able to open up this communication with students and address what the students needs are and are important. I work on the hill as a supervisor for the front desk and come across a lot of people on a day to day basis and because I do work on the front desk I'm the forefront of "hey I have a problem" and all of the students have different needs but aren't directed to the right people. The issue at hand is to be able to communicate and who do we get into contact to let things happen and make a change and let people be aware of what needs to happen.

-Shao asks how would you essentially move the undergraduate council to something better and transparency. What's a personal initiative and a group initiative you'll take for the other 3

-Felix states it would be my first year to work on staff of AAC. What is really needed is communication to know students needs and need people to come out to these things. We need incentives and get the word out and have people come out and people will voice their opinions after the fact. It was discussed that AP/IB wont be used for enrollment and its after the fact. A lot of these agendas are already made

and already have the set agendas and we need to communicate with students this is what needs to be discussed to better inform the council on how the students feel and being able to discuss that beforehand rather than after.

-Shao asks are you going to look for things other than town hall

-Felix states she noticed that the council was going off on survey. For the undergraduate survey we have a turn out of 25%. We have 75% not voicing their opinions and the access to it is a big issue. One of the big problems is that they don't have a big turnout. We need to be able to voice their undergraduate experience. One of the things that one of the faculty members made the senior survey requirement to get your graduation. What about the students that are fourth years and aren't planning on attending to attend graduation? All of their opinions and experiences aren't being voiced so its up to us to make them more involved. A townhall is one thing but it doesn't work if they aren't coming out. It has to do with being able to communicate with our students.

-Felix thanks everyone for having her and education is something I'm passionate about and I love seeing it and how they are excited to learn and I want that to be able to translate to our later years and have that experience here at UCLA.

-Helder moves to appoint Felix to the Undergraduate Council. Cocroft seconds.

-Mossler thinks she was really thoughtful in her statements and really understood it.

-Amin states she had a lot of statistics and did her research

-Wong states she has a clear vision and confidence and came well prepared so I think she's great.

-Hourdequin calls it to question.

10-0-1 Maria Felix is approved to Undergraduate Council.

VI. Office and Member Reports

A. President – Rosen

B. Internal Vice President – Hourdequin

-Hourdequin states there will be a Campus Safety Alliance next week and I can send you details. These are meetings in which we meet with residential life and UCPD and all sorts of different entities. Last week I met with Steve Juarez the associate vice president for UCOP and talking about the use of body cameras on UCPD. I had discussed with Jack Wazzit and the chief of police and its something we all wanted to do but what hindered it was a legality conflict in what could be recorded and what couldn't. There has been a passage of a new law that will allow for police officers to have body cameras. The UC system will adopt usage of body cameras but they're going to make a policy and procedure of that usage in which body cameras shouldn't be used like if someone's talking about their sexual assault or go back to the scene of a crime to identify certain details. Its all being discussed and hammered out. I met with Art Kirkland about bruin alert and students want to know what's going on. He stets he understands and he was really receptive but bruin alert shouldn't be a TMZ update and personally from experiencing an arson and homicide in my own apartment there are details that cannot be released there should be recommendations on how they can keep themselves safe.

C. External Vice President - Helder

-Helder states he met with Steve Juarez and talked with the UCDC center about federal advocacy and doing work on Perkins. We have been putting in work on safety and gun violence and bruin defenders will be up and running by the end of the week.

D. Administrative Representatives

-Zimmerman states all the pumpkins are here and I wanted to deliver them but the elevators are out of service. Just go down to a-level. It's a \$75 prize for food in the union. Just bring them to A-level. Its first come and first serve.

VII. Fund Allocations

A. Contingency Programming

-Wong stated \$24,965.89 required. \$13,559.54 requested. \$3,137 recommended. If approved, the remaining balance would be 56,8054 would be left for contingency. 8-0-2 contingency allocation is approved.

B. Student Wellness Programming Fund

-Chen states \$200 to MEChA for their community project.

VIII. Old Business

IX. New Business

A. Funding for Diversity Townhall

-Siegel stated the cost for the total event is \$7,540. We were discounted by UCLA event staff so it's a little bit less than that. I think Manpreet discussed the diversity of the townhall especially in light of events that happened it would really serve the entire UCLA community to be a part of this event to hear more from vice chancellor Kwong where students from different cultural committee groups to explore intersectionalities and there will be free food. To go over funding specifics, I request that council discretionary spends \$500. We spent \$2,000 from graduate student association and \$2,000 from diversity office and from Dr. Geller's \$1,450 and ORL gave us a \$1000 rebate. This is all the costs but we really want USAC to be part of the vent and interact with USAC and be involved in that to talk about it. That is why I'm requesting \$500 from the discretionary and I made this budget with the costs.

-Hourdequin asks to describe how every office can be involved.

-Siegel stated what's cool is that any student organization on this campus could have a table on this event be it a member of a council here and you're allowed to be at this event the rest of the campus community what we're trying to offer to UCLA students and different experiences on campus and showcase what you hope to bring to light.

-Wong asks is it \$500 because you sought out other funding sources.

-Siegel states after we took out other funding sources that's the left over cost and thought that USAC could contribute it would mean a lot to the undergraduate population to show our financial support.

-Wong states there's \$15,550.44 left in discretionary

-Shao likes the event in terms of the purpose. Are you aware of what the mother orgs are?

-Siegel states he's not 100% sure.

-Shao states if it's a diversity caucus its important to bring the Mother Orgs in this space and specifically as much as CAC has one of the direct connections its important for the mother orgs to hear from the genrep1 office. Tomorrow they have an event at 7pm and foster that network that they are in solidarity and they'll be more inclined to come. Make sure you reach out to the mother orgs. If they hear about the diversity caucus they will be salty if they weren't any outreach. These barriers would directly affect these communities. I would hate to see it to become just a tabling event with Jerry Kang speaking.

-Siegel states he is definitely interested and are able to outreach to these groups and make sure these groups are included.

-Hourdequin asks if Shao has the details

-Shao states they have a general email. However, she recommends to meet them face to face and work better personally rather than just emailing. They want to know that they are important to the space and not being used. There's a fine line with mother orgs.

-Cocroft moves to approve \$500 from discretionary to funding for diversity townhall. Helder seconds.

10-0-1 \$500 from discretionary has been allocated to diversity townhall event.

X. Announcements

-Chen states this Friday there is a Halloween party hosted from Active Minds in Sunset Rec to scare off the stigma. This Thursday is Speak your mind by Active minds and Reslife where students talk about their experience with mental illness and bruin consent coalition is hosting their last event of domestic violence awareness month in Kerckhoff stateroom. Sexperts is hosting their first workshop of the quarter on the hill in DeNeve on the third floor.

-Mossler states keep your November 11 open!

-Shao states the word on Wednesday there hosting the CUSPY team. It's the big nation wide slam poetry competition and it started two years and our first year we placed second and our second year we placed second as UCLA. If you know anyone interested to compete in a national level come out tomorrow at Kerckhoff at 7 to grade who gets to go to nationals.

-Amin states the Mother Org Coalition tomorrow at Sunset Canyon Rec Center Mesa room at 7pm on Cultural Appropriation ASU, AISU, APC and so forth and there's a Facebook event.

-FSC is hosting their annual on campus jobs fair from 11-1.

-Kajikawa thanks everyone for the first stress free day and the most successful and we ran out of things 15-20 minutes and ran out of things in 20 minutes and had 2,000 people RSVP on Facebook

-Hourdequin states t shirt design competition is open

-Siegel states tomorrow night from 5-8 in Young Hall and my office and bruin republicans will be hosting an event for the next presidential debate and those who are interested in presidential campaign to interact with students who are introduced in the presidential campaign for voting and whatever from tomorrow 5-8. I'm going to be there much of the time and it'll be interesting.

XI. Signing of the Attendance Sheet

The attendance sheet has been passed around.

XII. Adjournment

-Helder moves to adjourn the meeting. Cocroft seconds.

11-0-0 the meeting is adjourned at 9:13pm.

XII. Good and Welfare