I. Call to Order
-Rosen calls the meeting to order at 7:09pm

A. Signing of the Attendance Sheet
The attendance sheet is passed around

II. Approval of the Agenda
-Kajikawa strikes ASRF and Academic Affairs Commission Report
-Rosen strikes the EVP Report and Bruin Defenders because Helder is at work
-Chen strikes student wellness programming fund
-Kajikawa moves to strike ARC Fund
9-0-0 agenda is approved as amended

B. Approval of the Minutes from April 26, 2016
-Siegel moves to approve the minutes. Hourdequin seconds
9-0-0 the minutes are approved

III. Public Comments
-Josh said Dameron is appointing a bylaw change to expedite the allocations. CSC has a CS Mini fund and I was learning how to read allocations and conduct hearings and deliberate and it was a very hands on. Its catch up and I cannot imagine doing this for the regular fund which receives 4-5x as many applications and it tends to be longer. Its important to have a fully trained committee. This allocation hasn’t happened and had to cancel so its really important that it doesn’t happen moving forward. CSC begins training in 0 so that committee people and office hours and workshops so they won’t be at an unfair disadvantage. Its extremely necessary we go in with a full committee ready to be trained.

-Regina is in Heather’s office and project director for student directive. Gabby is the membership director and later next year of SOOF. You are voting more or less to give us the space in 5th floor of Kerckhoff to have a pilot and it would be amazing and it would mean that we have access to more students and serve the student body in a better way. We can do the best we can with the money we’re receiving and food initiatives.
-Jazz Kiang said on behalf of the social justice referendum and clue you in on judicial board petition. We have been very fortunate that 2 of you on this table decided to petition on the violation of the election code specifically with spending limits for referendum and potentially have a hearing tomorrow. I wanted to clue you all in because if the judicial
board rules against this I hope you all can be in favor of supporting like how you unanimously placed it on the pilot.

IV. Special Presentations

V. Appointments

VI. Office and Member Reports

A. President – Rosen
-Rosen stated she met with Lindsey and election board to discuss about the 5th floor co-op because they are currently using this space. Additionally thank you to Hourdequin who came out to my programming event a dialogue of student leaders and it was a small conversation but productive about identity based issues and student group funding and how administrators can meet the needs. It was a great conversation. We also met with Michael Beck the administrative vice chancellor and conversation regarding campus climate and the historic significance about the events and conversations regarding freedom of speech and how they feel unsafe. I just got off the phone with council student presidents and organized a phone call with Ruben about global food initiative. UCOP has been preparing their talking points for travel and advocacy for food access and security. It will be in conversation with stake holders to prioritize food security. It will now be partnered with housing security. We cant talk about food security without talking about housing security because we need to make sure these basic securities are being met. One other change is the student experience survey and will now be questions about food security. There isn’t necessarily a survey based so its great to see that these questions will be incorporated and expected to get about 13,000 responses.

B. Internal Vice President – Hourdequin
-Hourdequin said her I Fellows are planning the USAC banquet 8th week. They sent out an invitation so please respond back to them. Hopefully all of you can attend. Invite members of your office and will be reserving 10 spots per office. We want to celebrate all the accomplishments of the members of the staff to accomplish all the projects. We met with Michael Beck to talk about increase safety and increased lighting and tackle this from a multifaceted approach and support these efforts in improving the lighting. We talked a lot about campus climates especially in regards to needs of students and how can we prevent these instances from occurring and all of these different unfortunate events. We can expedite the communication between administration and students to make sure they are responding to these instances fairly. Something we both asked from administration is to come out with a process on how to respond and they’re aware what to expect. I also met with Janina Montero and Denise Pancheko on Monday and talked a lot about similar instances about increased lighting and how to formalize a process for these different issues. Marvin and I came from an Every1 event from interfaith dialogue and came together to discuss. How we can have these sorts of interactions with other students so I’m happy there are continued conversations. I will be a panelist on the undergraduate religious center on May 17 and talk about m experience on interfaith trip and shed light
of dean of spiritual life. I want to let you all know that this coming Friday there will be a campus climate hearing and I’ll forward you all the invitations so please do attend.
-Chen states we are fortunate to sit down from a bunch of people not even UCLA but also outside UCLA and we have our successors continue the conversation so it wont end with us
-Hourdequin says the Lets Get Lit campaign has started and please share
-Rosen said she had a meeting with Melody from development about middle income affordability and these conversations are going especially to incorporate it.

F. Administrative Representatives
-Zimmerman says she has the installation invitations and it’s a nice event like changing of the guards. I hope you can all make it and if you cant make it please let me know or bring in a proxy. Sometimes its another council member and we’ve had proxies from that office sometimes. We can coordinate it for turning around the name plates so please mark your calendars.

VII. Fund Allocations
A. Contingency Programming
-CSC applied for $1111.50, requested $1,095, recommended $275.
-Kajikawa moves to approve the allocation for $275. Hourdequin seconds.
9-0-1 the allocation is approved.
-Student organizations required $113,280.56, recommended $26,417, and recommended $5,811.
-Kajikawa moves to approve the allocation for $5,811. Amin seconds.
-There will be $6,944.83 left in contingency if approved.
10-0-0 the allocation is approved.

B. Discretionary Request for Contingency Fund
-He stated we received 20% more than expected. This week alone we’ve had 45 applications so the finance committee would like to request $8,000 which would allow us to allocate funds before June and would allow us to request more.
The current amount if $14,250.47 and given the high amount we would like to request more.
-Kajikawa moves to move money from council discretionary to contingency.
-Rosen asks is the amount including reqs from last week?
-He stated it’s already accounted for.
-Kajikawa asks how much would be left if $8,000 is moved.
-He stated it would be $6,250.47. I would like to propose that next week we put the rest in endowment.
-Geller asks if those allocations would be next week
-He says yes
10-0-0 the discretionary request for contingency fund
C.Capital Contingency budget transfer to Contingency fund
He said only one capital contingency allocation and. Given that it's the Ficomm would like to request $2,338.63 from capital contingency and it would leave a comfortable buffer of $1000 to ensure if there are any allocations.

Mossler states shes about to put a request for capital and I want to make everyone aware before we make a request.

Cocroft asks if we do this would that be all that money until next January because incoming council members might need council items. Zimmerman stated the incoming council member cant allocate any of your funds. If there are any capital items that want to be purchased they would have to be applied to this years council.

Geller asks what is the time period of contingency and capital contingency needs to cover. I know ficomm holds office until June even though the rest of council turns over next week. What is the deadline for applications? He said we do it before June 1st but sometimes we do have late applications. Itll last until the end of 9th or 10th week.

Dameron says to not do the transfer and then determine that and do it at our last meeting.

Rosen asks are you proposing to table. Rosen asks all in favor to transfer. 0-10-0 they would not be transferring.

VIII. Old Business

IX. New Business
A. A Resolution in Support of Increased Lighting in Westwood Village

WHEREAS, more than 64 property crimes and 12 violent crimes have occurred since January 1, 2016 in the Westwood North Village between the hours of 9PM and 5AM

WHEREAS, over 10,000 University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) students live within one mile of campus

WHEREAS, the current street lighting infrastructure in the North Village of Westwood is inadequate, leading to many dark locations and dim lighting in general

WHEREAS, improvements such as LED bulbs and improved circuitry would improve the lighting situation in the neighborhood

WHEREAS, increased street lighting can serve as a deterrent for crime and create safer communities
WHEREAS, the Undergraduate Student Association Council (USAC) recognizes the importance of student activism in calling attention to issues of safety.

WHEREAS, the USAC believes that partnerships with stakeholders such as University of California Police Department (UCPD), UCLA administration, and the City of Los Angeles are crucial in improving the safety of UCLA students.

WHEREAS, UCPD and the USAC Internal Vice President’s office have asked the Westside Alliance, a coalition of landlords, tenants, and UCLA administration, to upgrade the lighting on their respective properties.

THEREFORE, LET IT BE RESOLVED that the Internal Vice President’s office shall ask for statements of support for the improvement of lighting within the Westwood Village from the UCPD, the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD), the Westwood Neighborhood Council, and UCLA administration.

THEREFORE, LET IT FINALLY BE RESOLVED that the Undergraduate Students Association Council calls upon the Bureau of Street Lighting for the City of Los Angeles to upgrade the lighting infrastructure in Westwood Village as expediently as possible.

-Kajikawa moves to approve it. Amin seconds.
-Cocroft changes the wording of the 6th clause.
-Amin says there's too many improvements its redundant. You can use enhance or a different adjective so you can delete the first improve.
-Hourdequin asks about the comma after January 1
-Amin says that’s fine. Also to make it create a safer community rather than just community.
-Patil says there should be an adjective before circuitry exists
-Hourdequin suggests “updates”
-Rosen asks if there's any questions.
10-0-0 A Resolution in Support of Increased Lighting in Westwood Village is approved.

B. Kerckhoff 519 into Shared Space with Student Food Co-Op
-Rosen states it’s a shared space but the only people that use it is election board during elections but we feel that the 5th floor is a great location and its centrally located and it’s a large space to provide a facility for tiny workshops, other entities, housing pamphlets, nutritional guides, or any meetings. Also above all host the student food collective and bulk buying. We are working on having a large refrigerator downstairs in the locker. We cant put it on the 5th floor because of electronic shortages and have it on the bottom floor and serve students and meet it. We want the 5th floor to have it as food security. The conversation is about student food co-op or food resource center. We decided on food co-op to make it have a central location and working in accordance with the election board. OSAC doesn’t allocate this so that’s why we allocate it. I wanted to provide background information and I can open it up for a motion.
-Cocroft moves to approve the use of 519 as an office space for a Student Food Co-Op. Hourdequin seconds.
-Amin asks if that space is bigger
- Rosen states it was formerly the gen rep office and it is a larger space than I thought but we wanted to have the face on campus to support food insecure students and support a campus of inclusivity and understanding and what we identified the most feasible for now. There's always the conversation and the people that face food security aren’t necessarily living on the hill. We felt that having it on campus was more of a priority. As a reminder this information is on the PowerPoint last quarter. We have been allocated $2,500 to make it a beautiful and inclusive location on campus and we have the financial means to accommodate that financial cost.

10-0-0 the action item has been approved.

-Rosen thanks everyone

C. Changes to the bylaws pertaining to the CSC
-Cocroft says removing the committee for community activities committee from the presidential committee to the community service commission. The committee recommended 0-3-0 on the change.
-Dameron says I want to apologize to Rosen because for late. CRC is the main funding source for community service projects and over 80 student groups applied to. We have a one retreat where we just work on this. The appointment of committee members is very important and the committee needs to be appointed by late June and early July in order to sit in hearings. We didn’t have an issue this past year, but in the past specifically during the previous year and last summer when Devon was president there was a lot of travel and it was super delayed and we want to improve the process for all concerns as possible. One of the concerns of the SOLE and the appointments of members of the committee was a big problem because it was delayed. Our solution was just to move it under CSC but after last week I met with them last Friday and received feedback and bringing forth an amendment. I just sent Zimmerman I would like to amend it to and I want to amend it rather than move it under the community activities committee and I don’t want to have a conflict of interest so I want to add a stipulation that the community activities committee has four appointments which must be appointed by finals week of Spring quarter. That way there's a solution. Dameron moves to approve.
-Rosen entertains a motion.
-Cocroft moves to change the bylaws pertaining to the CSC. Khan seconds.
-Rosen says this refers to the middle. Dameron is proposing the CSC bylaw change and this is the amendment for Community Activities Committee four appointments, which must be appointed by finals week of Spring quarter
-Rosen states it’s a fair proposal.
-Cocroft says it makes more sense. My primary concern was that the president should be making appointments and my second concern was problematic because funding can have a conflict of interest.
-Geller asks if its feasible to do it in 5 weeks? Both CPC and CRC have orientations at the same time. Is there any argument for making also the same type of change for senior sake. When it comes before orientation there might be value there.
-Rosen says regarding the time period when I sworn I was aware of all of the appointments need to be made. Its expected of the president to be sent out as soon as possible. If for some reason something does come up there are some mechanisms to accommodate that issue.
Dameron says going on theta it will create a system that motivates the president to get the application out there and get ARC appointed. As far as CPC I cant speak too much because I know they don’t allocate funding during summer which is why its typically been acceptable. They have adjusted orientation for that funding body because CPC doesn’t allocate until fall quarter where as CRC isn’t allocating until August. I know SOLE is very flexible. Our goal is to get their 80 groups their allocations from week 1 fall quarter.

10-0-0 the amendment has been made.

Kajikawa asks if we should delay this a week because the amount of groups and whether or not there may be other stakeholders of potentially having an appointment if the president doesn’t make the appointments by finals week.

Rosen says there may be a question regarding other stakeholders to potentially have an issue on the deadline.

Kajikawa says hypothetically they don’t have full appointments then Dameron can choose?

Rosen says the amendment is just adding a deadline.

Kajikawa asks what happens if they don’t meet the deadline.

Rosen states they’re violating bylaws.

Chen says jboard

Cocroft says we don’t need another week.

Kajikawa just wanted a clarification.

10-0-0 the changes to the bylaws pertaining to the CSC has been approved.

D. Changes to the bylaws pertaining to the Presidential Appointments

-Cocroft stays the committee recommended that ii. Campus Food Security Director: two (2) appointments and a. The stipend for these positions shall be contingent upon funds provided by the UC Office of the President.

-Rosen says the funding comes from food security work group and the healthy council initiative would be matching those payments. The reason I didn’t include regarding the stipends because its given through the community programs office not through USAC. Its included in the information description and wanted to include the appointment under the same precedent and the funds are not provided by UC Office of President could be the Healthy campus Initiative and it isn’t coming out of USAC’s pocket.

-Cocroft says we can make a motion to approve the bylaw and then make the amendment.

-Hourdequin moves to approve the changes to the bylaws pertaining to the presidential appointments. Cocroft seconds.

-Rosen states it will address the needs of food and security, must work closely with food security work groups, the student food collective, and once there is designated space the appointees will be in charge of overseeing volunteers and making sure that the center functions appropriately. Also it is a 1 year term.

-Cocroft says the amendment by the constitutional review committee isn’t necessary and passing it as presented that don’t have a stipulation on where the funding comes from.

-Geller says if you're getting more than one you might want to say directors or co-director.

-Rosen states food security directors would be the best.

-Hourdequin moves to change the name to Food Security Directors. Amin seconds.

10-0-0 the amendment has been made.
9-0-0 the amendment has been approved to change it to directors
-Rosen says we’ll go back to the overall motion.

9-0-0 the changes to the bylaws pertaining to the Presidential Appointments

E. Changes to the bylaws pertaining to the AAC
-Cocroft states they proposed to add “d. Address academic inequities present in K-16 education.” to the role of Academic Affairs Commission. ARC has a 3-0-0 recommendation.
Dameron moves to approve. Amin seconds.
9-0-0 the changes to the bylaws pertaining to AAC approve.

E. Changes to the bylaws pertaining to the IVP
-Cocroft states they recommend to add 15. The Internal Vice President shall be responsible for working to ensure the safety of UCLA students. And 16. The Internal Vice President shall have the authority to delegate an investigatory committee to research any problem which deals with the students’ safety. The committee recommended 3-0-0 vote that these bylaw changes are added.
-Hourdequin states I added these 2 duties and you convene the campus safety alliance and you can understand the projects and initiatives and I wanted that responsibility and those roles to be reflected. By no means do I think that IVP is the sole owner of safety. I think that council and everyone should be working to ensure the safety of our campus. I took the language about the investigatory committee from the SWC bylaws. There's says health and I changed it to safety.
-Rosen states it would be a change
-Cocroft says it would be two separate motions.
-Rosen says I would like an agenda item to be added because it is listed and sent out on a timely manner but just for Roberts rules of order because its technically related to presidential appointments but just a note that the electronic version was sent out properly and it was listed for the clarity of minutes and Roberts rules.
-Rosen says we are talking about the proposed article 9 section b on the internal vice president. There is a motion and a second so we can talk about any objections or questions regarding items 15 and 16.
9-0-0 the changes to the bylaws pertaining to the IVP has been approved.

-Rosen entertains a motion to add a new motion.
-Cocroft moves to add an agenda item as follows: changes to the bylaws pertaining presidential appointments in regards to the Student Risk Education Committee. Kajikawa seconds.
9-0-0 the changes bylaws to the presidential in regards to SREC

E. Changes to the bylaws pertaining to the SWC
-Cocroft says it would change the CARE advocate to the Office and add the Clothesline Display.
-Chen states we talked and the lead for safety awareness week and alliance is best suited by the IVP and to echo what Hourdequin said its not a single office to do the work. I’m very happy and fortunate to work with IVP address and their lead. Farther down for the
next change will be the care office and experience changes in the structure its to allow for changes and change the advocate itself to the entire office. In addition we wanted to add the clothesline display and it was the biggest in all of its history in the 20th year. We wanted to make sure to put it in the bylaws.

-Hourdequin moves to approve the changes in bylaws pertaining to the SWC. Kajikawa seconds.

9-0-0 the changes to the bylaws pertaining to the SWC has been approved.

F. Changes to the bylaws pertaining to the Presidential Appointments for Student Risk Education Committee.

-Cocroft states changing the bylaws to have the presidential appointment for REC move to IVP.

-Hourdequin says the IVP serves a role and every week we are allocating funds to different student organizations that need safety precautions such as if they're working with children or students off campus and have to have shirts designate them, driver safety, and hypertension training. In line with this I spoke with the chair person and he is supportive of the recommendations and making changes.

Proposed change(s) apply to the following Article(s) (including section(s)) of USAC Bylaws: Article II, Section C, 5, E, x. The Presidential appointment to the Student Risk Education Committee shall be the Internal Vice President.

-Cocroft moves to recommend changes to the bylaws pertaining to the Presidential Appointments for Student Risk Education Committee. Khan seconds.

9-0-0 the changes to the bylaws pertaining to the SREC has been approved.

X. Announcements

-Chen asks if there's two documents in circulation and the other one is officer and member report and one from communications director

-Hourdequin states communications director

-Kajikawa states AAC and CEC are partnering up to bring out the CEO of the Malala Foundation and she’s a Forbes 30 under 30. When I was a freshmen I applied for CEC and I got denied. Its tomorrow at 8:30pm in Moore 100.

-Cocroft says we have one more meetings and no more bylaw changes.

-Kajikawa asks people to respond to his group me

-Chen says its next Tuesday 7-10pm turning Ackerman into a roller rink so we can go. Tomorrow they have a Sexperts star wars theme called “may the force be consensually in you”

XI. Signing of the Attendance Sheet

The attendance sheet has been passed around.

XII. Adjournment

-Hourdequin moves to adjourn meeting. Amin seconds.

The meeting is adjourned at 8:31pm.

XII. Good and Welfare