AGENDA
UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION COUNCIL
February 11, 2020
7:00PM

I. Call to Order
- Robert calls the meeting to order at 7:15 pm

A. Signing of the Attendance Sheet
- Sign in sheet is passed around

II. Approval of minutes*
2/4/2020 minutes
- Lalo motions to approve the minutes from 2/4/2020, Kelechi seconds
- By motion of 11-0-0 the motion passes, 2/4/2020 minutes are approved

III. Approval of the Agenda*
- Naomi motions to add AAC Bylaw Change action item to New Business, Lalo seconds
- By motion of 11-0-0 the motion passes, AAC Bylaw Change action item added to agenda

- Kelechi motions to add CommUnity Funding Guidelines as a consent item, Naomi seconds,
  - By motion of 11-0-0 the motion passes, CommUnity Funding Guidelines added to New Business

- Strike AAC Travel Grant, strike TGIF, strike ARCF, add discussion item on referenda to new business, strike Capital Contingency

- Lalo motions to approve agenda as amended, Naomi seconds
- By motion of 11-0-0 the motion passes, agenda is approved as amended

- Naomi motions to move by law change up in the agenda, Lalo seconds, 12-0-0 motion is approved

EVP Compensation
Dear USAC and UCLA Student Body,

I stand here today to remind you all of my reality and urge you to take action accordingly. As you are aware, I am UCLA’s External Vice President. This letter is a reminder to you all that as an Association, there is a massive grievance that has been understated and overlooked for far too long. I come here tonight with so much pain, anxiety, and frustration to ask you all for support, but I want to be clear before I start that my emotions in this context are not directed towards anyone at this table. I am here because I have not been paid and the work of whomever is in this position should never be uncompensated. I was allocated funding from this council when I lost DACA status, but it is week 6 now and I have not received any updates since then about when to expect pay. I have been surviving off of $300 dollars from my last paycheck and now only have $50 dollars to my name. I am here to highlight the inequity and injustice that is occurring to myself and my wellbeing, but also to remind you all of the reality that I am not the only one at UCLA without DACA status and that this University has a responsibility to pay me for my labor and has failed to do so.

According to our election code, bylaws, and constitution, there are only a few requirements that an individual must meet in order to be eligible to hold office at UCLA’s Undergraduate Student Association. 1. You must be currently registered and paid. 2. You
must be currently enrolled in at least 4 units. 3. You must have at least a 2.0 cumulative grade point average. 4. You must not be subject to any administrative disciplinary sanctions, the terms of which would preclude participation in University activities. Lastly, no member of the Association shall run for or be appointed to an office which he/she is ineligible to hold for its full term. Additionally, for External Vice President, a candidate must have completed seventy-two (72) quarter units, by the petition-filing deadline, twelve (12) of which must have been earned while enrolled at UCLA. I met all of the requirements, and won my election uncontested in May of 2019. Therefore, the University began to pay me for my labor as soon as I processed my hiring paperwork, up until my DACA status expired on January 1st of 2020.

The duties of External Vice President are that a. I shall assist the President and Council in coordinating advocacy efforts on behalf of student interests and shall represent the Association to off-campus entities as delegated by the President or Council. b. I shall assist the President in ensuring that Council members understand the issues being advocated by USAC and USAC sponsored groups. c. My specific duties shall be those delineated in the Bylaws and other functions as delegated by Council. To fulfill these duties, I ran on several campaigns, I have my own staff meetings, and I am the only councilmember required to work one weekend every month at UCSA board meetings. Taking my job requirements into consideration, I have not failed to do any work that would make me ineligible for pay. In fact, I am standing here today carrying out my duty to highlight for you all that you all must work together as council to engage in advocacy with my consent as it is in the best interest of this council and the undocumented community at UCLA and across the UC. This advocacy should be work that is done in coordination with me as I am the one being affected, and I will not accept any help other than that of which I agree to.

I am here because this has impacted my wellbeing in far too many ways than I even know how to describe. I feel ostracized and underserved by this institution and my peers while being a leader and representative for the 45,921 enrolled students at this university. I bring my circumstances to your attention, because there are plenty of non-DACA students at UCLA and across the UC that deal with lack of university employment opportunities. I have worked with them in the past, and I still work with and for them today to uplift them and fight for equal opportunities. At this moment, I am fighting to stop tuition hikes that will impact every single student at this university, and thousands of others in the future if the Regents have things go their way. But I think it's important to highlight the ironies of this situation. I believe that through my experiences and leadership, we have a strong possibility of defeating that tuition hike. However, if we were to achieve that victory, it would be off of the back of current unpaid labor and overdue wages that I have fought against throughout my entire undergraduate career. This is the legacy that I am setting myself up for, and I refuse to be complicit in sanctioning this university’s negligence anymore. How can I reasonably be expected to be the voice for UCLA students time and time again, when their leader is being expected to survive their lack of basic needs. I do not have any other job. I did not know that undocumented students without DACA would be virtually ineligible for office at this institution even though we have given decades of knowledge, service, and leadership at this institution. I did not know as a freshman, that in my senior year I would be fighting to keep a job at USAC because I have always hated USAC. Yet here I am tonight, doing work for peers like you because I have love for others and a passion for fighting for justice. I cannot be quiet anymore, and will never forget the ways that this University has wronged me and so many others, and I urge you all to take action accordingly. I am not available for questions or comments at this point. I don’t want to talk to any of you anymore regarding work and if you want to help me out with the issues I have highlighted, you may contact me at my email at usaevp@gmail.com. Moving forward, I will only participate as EVP by running my Staff meetings, but will not be present at future USA Council meetings or UCSA board meetings until I get paid.

Best regards,

Johana Guerra Martinez
USAC External Vice President
University of California, Los Angeles | Class of 2020
Kerckhoff Hall 300C

IV. Public Comment

No audio no video:
Hello everyone. My name is Brett and I’m an intern for CALPIRG. I’m here to give you guys a quick update on our New Voters Project. So as you may have heard, CALPIRG is part of the oldest and largest non-partisan youth voter mobilization effort in the country. Here at UCLA we are working with the EVP office to co-run the Bruins Vote Coalition. This week we are actually running a huge voter registration drive to try to get a new generation to come in and vote. Our register to vote drive is going to be meeting up until the last day to vote which is Tuesday the 18th, so if anyone here isn’t registered, please do that. Since CALPIRG actually lobbied our Registrar’s office after the 2018 midterm elections, it is confirmed now, we’re going to have a vote center in Ackerman for eleven days leading up to the day of the election. Here at CALPIRG we’re excited to increase the new generation of voting so our generation can get a voice in the process.

Hello everyone, I’m from CALPIRG as well. I’m an intern for the Plastic Free Seas Campaign. If you didn’t know, CALPIRG is a statewide student organization that’s been around for over forty-five years. We are working to help protect the environment, combat food insecurity, and increase civic engagement. On campus right now we are working on our Plastic Free Seas Campaign, our New Voters Project, and we’re working to make textbooks more affordable by starting an open access textbook program. Today I have a couple of exciting updates in regards to our plastics campaign. Every quarter we run a pledge drive in order to collect support from the student body. Our funding is what gives us the power to run big environmental campaigns on campus. This allows us to educate the campus community on these issues and to give them an opportunity to help make a difference and shape their future in a positive way. We just finished up our pledge drive and we successfully signed up 1,014 new members to our organization and we also collected 1,500 petitions in support of getting rid of single use plastics all over LA County. We know that having our county supervisors public endorsement on this ordinance that would get rid of single use plastics in our county would make it more likely to pass and get us closer to saving our oceans and protecting marine wildlife. A recent report on the negative impact of plastics was recently released by UCLA [indiscernible] Center, it outlines that our county is not doing a very good job at recycling and how we are still using single use plastic food service ware. In other words, it just says that plastic is really bad for our environment. We appreciate USAC’s support on this issue and we will continue to prioritize our Plastic Free Seas Campaign in order to create a healthier and brighter future for everyone.

Public Comment concluded at 7:39pm

**Capital Contingency**

- Komzyuk

**Contingency Programming**

- Komzyuk

Total Requested: $52,863.86  
Total Recommended: $14,117.84  
53 Applications  
USAC and non-USAC groups  
- Mihika motions to approve recommended allocation of $14,117.84, Lalo seconds  
- By motion of 11-0-0 the motion passes, Contingency Programming allocation approved

**SFS Allocations**

- Wisner

Total Requested: $4,012.56  
Total Recommended: $3,412.56  
CSC and non-CSC groups  
4 applications  
- No opposition, allocation passes by consent

**SWC Programming Fund Allocations**

- Sridhar
Total Requested: $1,224.19
Total Recommended: $750
One USAC entity
   - No opposition, SWC Programming Fund allocation approved

**Bruin Advocacy Grant Allocations**
   - Tabled

**ASRF Allocations**
Allocating $5,788
Non-USAC entities
   - No opposition, ASRF allocations approved

**AAC Travel Mini-Grant Allocations**

**ARCF Allocations**

**TGIF**

---

### V. Special Presentations

**Public Transportation and the UCLA Experience**

UCLA Universal “BruinGo” Student Transit Pass- Student Referendum 2020

The Vision:
   - Your Bruincard unlocks LA County

My UCLA Story:
   - Where am I going to live?
   - How am I going to get to campus?

UCLA’s Current Pass Program:
   - **Subsidized Transit Passes:**
     - Go Metro
     - BruinGO!
     - LADOT
     - Long Beach Transit
     - Santa Clarita Transit
     - Antelope Valley Transit Authority
     - EZ Transit Pass

Signing up for Existing Pass Program: Lots of Friction!

There is a lot of decision making involved…
   - Most likely already a transit rider
• Be willing to pay over $40 dollars upfront per quarter – not that competitive compared to parking pass
• Have to schedule a time to go into the Transportation Services office
• Have to renew your card every quarter
• Have to remember to bring your transit card around

What can UCLA do?
● Provide a universal “BruinGo” Transit Access Pass!

Universal “BruinGo” Transit Access Pass Would Include…
• Unlimited Quarterly Access on Different City Bus and Train Services…
  • LA Metro (including rail/subway)
  • Big Blue Bus
  • Culver City Bus
  • And 7 other operators!
• Utilizing the above service anywhere, anytime
  • Doesn’t have to be a route that passes through UCLA
    • All routes on these agencies can be used to access the whole County

Advantages: Everyone Benefits!
• Access everywhere in LA county!
• Explore great things the city (and the county) have to offer
• Enhance your undergraduate experience at UCLA
  • Get to campus, your job, the beach, downtown, etc.
• More people on transit in general = fewer cars which results in =
  • Less Congestion, Lower Carbon Footprint
  • Less Pollution (Cleaner Air)
  • Less Demand for Parking
• Win/Win Situation
  • Beneficial to riders and non-riders alike

Universal “BruinGo” Transit Access is Equitable
• Will greatly benefit all students
• Especially low income students
• Financial Aid will cover costs for 48% of all undergraduates students (and 52% of all graduate students)

For Only…
• About $15 per quarter!!! (One meal at Veggie Grill)

Most UC’s Already Have Universal Transit Access
• UC Berkeley
• UC Davis
• UC Merced
• UC San Diego
• UC Santa Cruz
• UC Santa Barbara

• Even USC and Santa Monica College have universal transit passes for undergraduates!

Testimonials
“[BruinGO] has made a huge, positive difference in my education, and I don’t know what I’d do without it.”

“I like the idea that I won’t be stranded somewhere without my car or change for the bus.”

“Please don’t discontinue [BruinGO]! Give it a chance. Parking here will NEVER improve. This is the ONLY viable alternative.”

“[BruinGO] saved my life. I really appreciate all of your work to improve students’ lives.”

“Whenver I ride the bus it is full of other students making me feel safe.”

“I can meet a lot of friends on the bus. That’s really fun.”

100’s of universities in the US already have universal transit passes
• But UCLA’s would be the biggest and best in the nation!
• Big recruitment draw for parents and students

Election Updates:

Updates Since Last USAC update (wk 3)
• Fresh new office
• Logo refresh 2.0 + 3.0
• Social Media Guidelines
• New website https://electionboard.wixsite.com/website/ -> eventually ported to https://uclaelectionsboard.org/
• Setting up meetings with student orgs + if you have any in mind, please have them reach out.
• Elections Board worked on social media guidelines
• Investigations committee reworking infractions and penalties guidelines
• Refined the election calendar
  • Met with my.ucla, Admin, etc. (can’t do physical polling with special digital ballots)
• Potential partnership with USC (failed) or Cal (likely to happen)
• Director interviews and staff apps
  • Will not be filling the Director of Programming position ->staff appreciation + marketing + cand reimb.
• Redid all of the Candidate Application Packet; condensed from 4 parts to 3 parts
• Election code
  • Incorporated new changes and made a handy hyperlinked table of contents
  • Round 2 of Changes to be presented tonight

Election Calendar*
• Nixed Candidate/USAC info session -> personal presentations instead
• Extra 1 week for Candidate Packet circulation (3.75 weeks total)
• Extra 1 week for online campaigning (3 weeks total, including election week)
● Extra 1 week for on-campus campaigning (2 weeks total, including election week)
● Deletion of endorsements hearing
● Additional Meet the Candidates (does not require USAC approval)
● Link: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1qQR6zBjPxXb8a6yy3SwowYJD6bZm0_XhqlYIzWv1pDk/edit?gid=0

- Brandon motions to change election calendar to reflect that on campus campaigning and leafleting will begin on Wednesday April 29th until Friday from 11-2pm, Naomi seconds
- By motion of 11-0-0 the motion passes, changes in Elections Calendar are made to reflect that on campus campaigning and leafleting will begin on Wednesday, April 29th until Friday from 11am-2pm

-Lily motions to approve the Elections Calendar, Kelechi seconds
- By motion of 12-0-0 the motion passes, Elections Calendar is approved as amended

Social Media Guidelines*
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION

ELECTION CODE
Social Media and Technology Guidelines

Regulation 1: Websites
1. Each candidate and Designated Campaign Representative is allowed to create their own campaign website.
2. All candidate and Designated Campaign Representatives must give the uniform resource locator (url), to the Election Board, of all websites that are designed to campaign for them or for a group of candidates with whom they associate.
3. The use of unofficial websites on UCLA affiliated computer servers for the purpose of campaigning is strictly prohibited.
4. Websites shall not be uploaded and accessible to the Association until online campaigning begins.
5. Websites shall not be published and accessible to the Association until online campaigning begins.
6. The footer of the website must include the hashtag(s) designated by the Elections Board Chair as well as a message that says: “Report violations of the Election Code at uclaelectionsboard.org”

Regulation 2: E-mail
1. Any e-mail that contains campaign literature or is an endorsement slip may only be sent to:
   a. A subscription listserv that the sender is a member of.
      i. For the purposes of this code a “subscription listserv” will be defined as a list of emails that were voluntarily collected for the purpose of communication within a specific group. It strictly excludes all listservs that Association members are required to subscribe to in order to receive an official University service (i.e. the Association listserv, or the Office of Residential Life listserv).
   b. Individuals whom the sender personally knows.
2. The footer of the website must include the hashtag(s) designated by the Elections Board Chair as well as a message that says: “Report violations of the Election Code at uclaelectionsboard.org”

Regulation 3: Social Media
1. Definitions
   a. Social Media: forms of electronic communication (such as websites for social networking and microblogging) through which users create online communities to share information, ideas, personal messages, and other content (such as videos).
      i. For the purposes of this code, direct or group messages sent on any platform (including, but not limited to, iMessenger, Whatsapp, WeChat, Facebook, Instagram, Tinder, Bumble, etc.) will be considered a form of social media.
   b. Post: something (such as a message) that is published online.
      i. Posts can be text-based, image-based, or a combination of both.
   c. Direct Message: a private message sent on a social media website, that only the person it is sent to can see.
i. For the purposes of this code, text messages are considered a form of social media.

2. Approval of images and graphics on social media
   a. The Elections Board must approve all social media images, graphics, and videos in advance of their publication.
      i. In order to receive approval for an image or video for social media, designated campaign representatives/candidates must submit the image(s) or the video to the Elections Board for approval.
         1. Any images or videos submitted for approval must contain the Elections Board logo. The logo must be large enough to be readable.
            a. Readability shall be determined at the discretion of the Elections Board.
         2. When using repetitive graphic content or images (i.e. a Facebook or Snapchat frame), designated campaign representatives only need to seek approval on the general graphic. A single, sample image with the graphic must be included.
      ii. Candidates may not post anything until appropriate permission has been received.
      iii. Elections-related photos and videos that do not explicitly contain campaign material and are not overlaid with graphics or images are not subject to this provision.
   b. The Elections Board does not need to approve posts or messages that contain text only (i.e. posts that don't contain any images or graphics).

3. Use of tagging and hashtags
   a. All campaign related posts, regardless of whether or not they include images/graphics, must be appropriately tagged and use the hashtags and/or additional messages as designated by the Elections Board Chair.
      i. For Facebook and Instagram, the Elections Board social media account must be tagged and the following message must be included at the end of every post: “For more information on USAC Elections, visit uclaelectionsboard.org”
      ii. For Twitter, the Elections Board social media account must be tagged and the hashtags designated by the Elections Board Chair must also be used.
      iii. For Snapchat, the hashtags designated by the Elections Board Chair must be used. In addition, the image/video should be forwarded to the Elections Board’s Snapchat account.
   b. For direct messages on GroupMe, Slack, Text, WhatsApp, WeChat, Facebook Messenger and other similar platforms, the following sentence must be included at the end of the message: “For more information on USAC Elections, visit uclaelectionsboard.org”
   c. For group messages on GroupMe, Slack, Text, WhatsApp, WeChat, Facebook Messenger, and other similar platforms, messages must include the following sentence towards the end of the message: “For more information on USAC Elections, visit uclaelectionsboard.org”
   d. It is within the full discretion of the Elections Board Chair to specify new, temporary tagging and hashtag guidelines during the elections season only for platforms not mentioned in Regulations 3.3.a-c.
      i. Temporary guidelines expire at the conclusion of the Spring Election.
      ii. Prior to using social media platforms that are not explicitly mentioned in Regulations 3.3.a-c, advice should be solicited from the Elections Board as to the appropriate tagging and/or use of disclaimer method.
      iii. Candidates who post on novel social media platforms without the advice from the Elections Board on how to appropriately tag and/or use the disclaimer can be issued sanctions, including, but not limited to suspension of campaigning.

Regulation 4: This document will defer to Article XI of the Election Code when issuing sanctions for violations. Article XI also establishes the Elections Board’s authority in enforcing the guidelines presented in the document.

Social Media and Technology Guidelines Last Amended: February 11, 2020

- Lily motions to approve Elections Board Social Media Guidelines, Naomi seconds
- By motion of 12-0-0 the motion passes, Elections Board Social Media Guidelines are approved

Election Code*

Proposed Election Code Changes 2.0

2.11 (move the others down) Duties of the USA Judicial Board

1. The Chief Justice’s responsibilities and duties shall include to:
   a. Act as an advisor to the executive committee of the Election Board and the Elections Board Chair in matters related to investigations in emergency or urgent situations.
      i. The Election Board Chair will formally request the advice of the Chief Justice of the USA Judicial
Board. The Chief Justice can deny the Elections Board’s request for advisement.

ii. Provision 2.11.1a shall not preclude a candidate’s right to appealing a sanction issued by the Elections Board nor will it preclude the Elections Board’s ability to be the sole issuer of sanctions.

b. If the Elections Board is dissolved within 28 days of a scheduled election, the Judicial Board will assume the following responsibilities of the Elections Board:
   i. The Judicial Board will be responsible for the certification of the election results.
   ii. The Judicial Board will be responsible for issuing sanctions and conducting investigations involving alleged Elections Code infractions.
   iii. The Judicial Board will absolve itself of the above responsibilities upon the appointment and confirmation of the new Elections Board Chair, Director of Finance, and Director of Investigations.

9.2.1a
Candidates for all offices will be allowed to spend up to $500 on their campaigns.
Candidates for all offices will be allowed to spend up to $670 adjusted dollars on their campaigns.

9.3.5a-b -> 9.2.2
3. Ballot Propositions Spending Limits
   a. Campaign Representatives for a ballot proposition, will be allowed to spend up to $1,000 on their campaigns.
   b. Ballot propositions cannot be ran under a slate nor receive slate funding.

9.2.2
2. Ballot Propositions Spending Limits
   a. Campaign Representatives for a ballot proposition, will be allowed to spend up to $1,000 adjusted dollars on their campaigns.
   b. Ballot propositions cannot be ran under a slate nor receive slate funding.

9.2.3
1. Campaign representatives and candidates that purchase physical campaign materials (i.e. flyers) that are considered “environmentally friendly” can report an adjusted price for that specific item on their campaign expense forms.
   a. Definitions
      i. Unadjusted price: the actual total price (inclusive of all taxes, fees, etc.) paid by the campaign representative or candidate for campaign material.
      ii. Unadjusted dollars: the actual total price (inclusive of all taxes, fees, etc.) paid by the campaign representative or candidate for all campaign material.
      iii. Adjusted price: a 33% deduction that is applied to the unadjusted price for only environmentally friendly campaign material.
      iv. Adjusted dollars: the sum of unadjusted dollars minus any appropriate deductions on specific, environmentally friendly products
   b. Environmentally friendly status can be achieved in the following ways:
      i. The source materials contained in the products (i.e. recycled material or material gathered from a natural source like bamboo).
      ii. The manner in which the campaign material is used (i.e. reusable water bottles).
      iii. The way campaign material is produced (i.e. using solar power).
   c. Campaign representatives must submit to the Elections Board proof from the vendor from which the product was purchased from that details how the campaign material is considered environmentally friendly.
      i. The Elections Board Executive Committee/Chair has full discretion in determining if an item qualifies as environmentally friendly.
      ii. Misrepresentation, by a campaign representative or candidate, of the environmentally-friendly status of campaign material is tantamount to falsification of
expense accounts and can be punished with sanctions, including, but not limited to disqualification.

d. Campaign representatives and candidates must report the unadjusted price and adjusted price of all campaign material on their expense account forms.

e. Provision 9.2.3 allows candidates and campaign representatives to exceed campaign spending limits defined in provision 9.2.1 and 9.2.2 in unadjusted dollars but not adjusted dollars.

f. Paid advertising on social media platforms will be considered environmentally-friendly for the purposes of this Election code. Website-related expenses will not be considered environmentally friendly.

Misc.

Change USAC Constitution to USA Constitution

Add “the” in front of Elections Board.

Change Election Board to Elections Board

- Mihika motions to not approve 9.2.2 and 9.2.3 changes, Naomi seconds
- By motion of 10-2-0 the motion passes, 9.2.2 and 9.2.3 are not approved

- Naomi motions to approve the amendments to the Election Code, Lalo seconds
- By motion of 11-0-1 motion passes, Election Code approved as amended

VI. Appointments

- none

VII. Officer Reports

A. President
- Quarterly student body email - sending out a reminder about upcoming elections
- Lots of work in the office about engaging in social media
- Got the laundry costs almost cut in half
- Gender recognition task force is wrapping up
- Slack is coming up, hosted at Pitzer

B. Internal Vice President
- Campus Safety Alliance meeting tomorrow from 5-6pm in the John Wooden Center
- True Bruin R.A.I.S.E. ceremony happening at LATC
- IVP Partnership Fund Application has been released, presenting funding guidelines
- IVP Office has the speak out against [indiscernible] survey

C. External Vice President

D. General Representative 1
- Released survey about issues with UPath

E. General Representative 2

F. General Representative 3

G. Academic Affairs Commissioner
- Just dropped flyer for Central American Counter Spaces happening February 26th
- Launching study space survey at the end of the week, including incentive to fill out study space survey, possibly looking to fund extension cords
- Vice Chancellor Beck reached out to let us know he will be starting a task force about mitigating class impacts associated with fires
- Proposal to Academic Senate in terms of textbook affordability

H. Campus Events Commission

I. Community Service Commissioner

- Impact this weekend
- VCH fundraiser at Rubios tomorrow from 4-9pm in Ackerman
- Directors of Analytics are starting a workshop series on data in service, first workshop is tomorrow at 7pm in Kerckhoff 408

J. Cultural Affairs Commissioner

- Hip Hop Congress had a meeting today
- Word is tomorrow
- Thursday- concerts has a black love event
- Interns have started their project

K. Facilities Commissioner

- Bruin Bazaar tomorrow from 9-5pm in Bruin plaza
- Ozzi petition is out

L. Financial Supports Commissioner

- Tent and supplies are being ordered for Reproductive Justice Health Center and the tent will be up and running Week 1 of Spring quarter
- Two workshops coming up through Fundamental Rights, the first one is a forum on February 20th regarding the UC Dignity Health from 6-7pm, the seconds is a Know Your Rights workshop on March 5th from 6-7pm
- UC Affordability Committee- Campaign on tuition hikes in collaboration with EVP is on its way
- Creating a study abroad transparency report
- Meal swipe petition is still up. Link is tinyurl.com/fsmealswipe
- Bruin Card Fee waiver program will begin February 17
- Sending emails to professors about mandatory printing as well as asking them to include in their syllabus about the resources that UCLA has for students without laptops
- Apartment hunting workshop is February 19th
- Hosting a women in financial services breakout session at the UC Women’s Leadership Conference on March 8th in AGB
- Financial literacy event in April in AGB
- Organizing our Attire for Hire event and applying for funding for it

M. Student Wellness Commissioner

- Active Minds: Had a successful Women’s Reproductive Health event yesterday.
- BruinNecessities: Menstrual Hygiene Fair on Thur 2/13 on Bruin Plaza (artmaking, giveaways); Hygiene Drive w/ Bruins for Accessible Resources Weeks 6-8 (Donation boxes outside SWC Office Kerckhoff 308, Good Clothes Good People in SAC, and the RISE Center in Lu Valle).
- Bruin Run/Walk: Registration is open! So far, 220 participants and over 2300 website views.
- Bruin Consent Coalition: Weds from 4-6 p.m. (Feb 19) - Peace Over Violence Empowerment self-defense class. Sign-up here. Consent Training Mon 2/17.
- EARTH: Upcycling event w/ Medical Aid Initiative Wed 2/19 at Royce 152 from 6-7pm.
- SEARCH: “We Regret to Inform You: Reflections on Rejection and Resilience” KAG exhibit is up this week.
- Sexperts: Snacks and Sex Ed today from 6-7:30pm in Bradley Hall A with Queer Alliance for Pride Week; 4 different workshops at Dykstra, Rieber, Hedrick, Sunset Village this week; new YouTube video up on body hair
- Student Health Advocates: Stress Less presentation on the Hill next week
- Total Wellness: Valentines Day String Painting Event 2/13 (Dickson Court from 11-3pm); Bullet Journaling Workshop 2/19 (Covel Commons West Coast from 7:30-9pm)
- Student Health Network: if campus leaders could check out and sign this letter in support of Students With Dependents’ priority enrollment, please do.

N. Transfer Representative
- Study hall was tonight
- Asking you all to sign on in support of petition for priority enrollment for students with dependents
- tinyurl.com/parentingpetition

O. International Student Representative
- 1 event next week, alumni panel for international students, dealing with visas etc.

P. OCHC Representative
- Town hall yesterday was successful

Q. Administrative Representatives
O’Connor
Josh: Covel dining closing at spring break
Jessica: Please fill out the form for 417 reservations to get them on the books for spring quarter, every office will get one hour.
Bruin day coming up in April and in May for transfers.

VIII. Old Business
- None

IX. New Business

IVP Partnership Fund Funding Guidelines*

The Internal Vice President
Partnership Fund Guidelines

I. About
A. Undergraduate Student Association Council
   1. The Undergraduate Student Association Council (USAC) is comprised of 15 elected undergraduate members. USAC is the governing body of the Undergraduate Student Association (USA), which consists of all UCLA undergraduate students.
B. The Office of the Internal Vice President
   1. The Office of the Internal Vice President is one of the 3 executive offices of the Undergraduate Students Association Council. The Internal Vice President office’s most important role is representing all UCLA students and student groups. The IVP is the executive liaison between our dynamic community of 1000+ student groups, USAC, and the administration. IVP programs and advocacy strive to ensure that all Bruins are safe, connected, and empowered.
C. The IVP Partnership Fund Directors
   1. The IVP Partnership Fund Directors are chosen by the Internal Vice President.. The Directors of the IVP Partnership Fund have 2 responsibilities: (1) deciding the allocations of applicants for student groups and (2) overseeing the process of application for the IVP Partnership Fund.
D. Purpose
1. The purpose of the IVP Partnership Fund is to connect student government to the different student organizations that we have on campus. This fund serves to support the tremendous projects and initiatives that student organizations do in order to improve and progress their Bruin experience.

2. The IVP Partnership also comes along with logistical support such as publicity, reserving spaces, and other opportunities to connect USAC with student organizations. In essence, it really is about bringing USAC to the students that we serve.

II. Applicant Eligibility
   1. All programs shall be initiated and implemented by currently registered UCLA undergraduate students organizations.
   2. All groups applying for funding must be sponsored through the Center for Student Programming (SOLE).

III. Program Eligibility
   1. Programs shall not be primarily for the personal benefit of the student applicant or organization.
   2. Funds must go towards a specific event or program.
   3. Event must take place no later than Week 5 of Spring Quarter.
   4. Event must be open to everyone not just members of the organization.
   5. There is NO retroactive funding. This fund cannot be used for programs that have already taken place.
   6. Please also note that the IVP Partnership Fund does not fund trophies, charms, cameras, flowers & plants, candles, decorations; picture frames, personal gifts, compact discs (including DVDs), IM sports fees, plaques and engraving, parking citations, personal reimbursements of honorarium payments for UCLA faculties.
   7. The maximum allocation is $1,200 per student organization.

IV. IVP Partnership Fund Rubric
Rubric: (scale: 1 2 3 4 5, 1 (very poorly) 5 (very well))
   I. Relevance:
      A. Does this program supplement and support the holistic success of students at UCLA?
   II. Practicality:
      A. Is the program feasible enough to be carried out?
      B. Will it be reasonable within the funding limits?
      C. Has the organization effectively organized and planned the program?
   III. Thrift:
      A. Will the budget be cost-efficient?
      B. Are the items purchased from the least expensive sources?
      C. Has the organization sought other funding for the program?
   IV. Longevity:
      A. Does the program produce results that will benefit future students?
   V. Necessity:
      A. Has a similar program ever been implemented in the past? If so, how will the current program be different? Will it attract students?
   VI. Accessibility:
      A. Can the program reach and impact a wide variety of students?
         1. Outreach
         2. Expected attendance
   VII. Application:
      A. Is the application complete?
      B. Does the narrative effectively demonstrate the funding need?
   VIII. Deadlines
      1. Applicants must submit a completed application at least two weeks prior to the date of the proposed program.
      2. All proposals must be accompanied by estimates/quotes/documentation of what the funds will be used toward.
3. IVP Partnership Fund: The last day IVP Partnership Fund will accept applications in the 2019-2020 academic year is March 20th, 2020.

IX. Awarding
   A. Awarding
      1. Following the application review, the Directors of the IVP Partnership Fund will email the allocated amount and further instructions on reimbursement.
      2. In applying for this grant, the applicant agrees to hand over the necessary reimbursement paperwork to the Directors of the IVP Partnership Fund.
      3. All allocations will be made without regard to viewpoint and shall solely be based upon viewpoint-neutral criteria.

Any additional questions or concerns should be directed to solemnidad22@g.ucla.edu or arshg2811@gmail.com
Application link: https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1Yzuwq2vKL9yqgY8JLshzmpA8ZggfUuqm6rEl2A/viewform?edit_requested=true

- No opposition, IVP Partnership Fund Funding Guidelines passes by consent

CommUnity Fund Funding Guidelines

COMMUNITY FUND APPLICATION

✓ GENERAL INFORMATION:

The purpose of the Community Fund is to help alleviate some of the fiscal responsibilities student organizations face when putting on culturally oriented programs while also providing resources through the Cultural Affairs Commission. The fund is an auxiliary financial resource and can be used supplementary to other monetary sources. Student organizations apply each quarter for the amount of $2500.00 for the student organization and $200 as a stipend to the leader of the project. The USAC Cultural Affairs Commission has the right to fully grant the applied amount dependent on the application’s thoroughness, applicant’s necessity, and program’s benefit for the general undergraduate student body. Upon receiving the fund, the organization will need to provide the Cultural Affairs Commission with proof of progress on the project used in application.

✓ GUIDELINES:

Please read through the entire guidelines section to ensure your organization qualifies for the fund. Additional information about the fund may be found on the “ARC Referendum Funding Guide” on the CAC website.

○ Eligibility- Must be an undergraduate student group/organization registered with the Student Organizations, Leadership & Engagement (SOLE).
○ Each student organization may apply once per project, per funding period (quarter).
○ A project is only allowed to apply once per academic year.
   ○ A project is defined as a specific event, initiative, program, that an organization holds on a specific date
   ○ Reoccurring programs may apply more than once per year
○ All applications must include estimates/quotes/documentation outlining what the allocated funds would be used for.
○ Allocated funds may only be used for the program for which it was applied for.
○ The program(s) must have cultural relevance, either by promoting cultural diversity, various cultures, and/or cultural awareness.
○ Funds may only be used to offset honoraria and/or facilities expenses and/or supplies.

DEADLINES:
Letter of Endorsement for Priority Enrollment for Parenting Students*

Students with Dependents Program USAC Office of the Transfer Student Representative

February 10th, 2020

We, the Students with Dependents Program (SWD) and the USAC Office of the Transfer Student Representative, are submitting a proposal to the Academic Senate for Priority Enrollment for undergraduate students with dependents. We are writing to you today to request your support for this proposal.

Parenting students’ schedules are bound by their children’s school and childcare schedules. This means attending class that begins before 9:30 am or ends after 2:30 pm requires the parenting student to find alternate childcare arrangements which are often at an additional cost.

Parenting students face scheduling challenges related to conflicts between their parental responsibilities and their need to take specific UCLA classes to make satisfactory academic progress. As a result, they may struggle to reach their academic goals and face an overwhelming sense of stress.

Parenting students who delay taking a required course due to the inability to enroll in courses that fit their schedules, risk delaying their graduation. This often leads to parenting students petitioning to stay another year at UCLA, accruing additional costs.

Parenting students’ class schedules are crucial for them to be able to plan to meet their children’s and their own educational needs. In order to meet their academic goals, students with dependents must select courses that meet the academic requirements for their course of study and that are held during times that the student can be present in class. Parenting students often find that they cannot enroll in a course because it conflicts with their child’s schedule, the course is full by the time their enrollment pass is active, and/or they must enroll in courses that don’t satisfy their major requirements in order to maintain full-time eligibility.

In addition to scheduling conflicts, the financial burdens parenting students face include costs of supporting more than one person (i.e. childcare, food, school supplies for dependents), the additional costs of finding childcare for extended daycare, the additional costs of transportation, and/or the tuition and living costs of attending college for additional quarters.

Priority enrollment for parenting students would permit the flexibility they need to schedule their coursework around the needs of their family and enhance the welfare of both the parenting student and of their children, contributing to the quality of campus life and the academic success of the university as a whole. The Students with Dependents program under the Bruin Resource Center was established to provide the support and resources that parenting students need for their academic success and retention. Anecdotal accounts from parenting students led to the Students with Dependents program, along with campus partners, to assess the needs of the parenting student community. The assessment was completed within the Students with Dependents Taskforce, concluding that priority enrollment took precedence as an immediate solution for parenting students.

The University of California, Santa Barbara and the University of California, San Diego currently offer priority enrollment

✓ Spring 1st Funding Period – Due 11:59 PM Saturday of WINTER 9th Week
✓ Spring 2nd Funding Period – Due 11:59 PM Saturday of SPRING 4th Week

- No opposition, CommUnity Funding Guidelines passes by consent
for students with dependents. While those UC’s have had positive results with implementing priority enrollment, it is now the time for UCLA, the number one public university, to follow suit and lead by example for all other UC’s.

UCLA is well-positioned to meet the academic scheduling needs of parenting students by enacting priority enrollment for this population. UCLA has the opportunity to join other leading UC campuses in the effort to accommodate the unique needs of students with dependents through priority enrollment and demonstrate its commitment to the academic retention and success of all students.

Join us in supporting this initiative for priority enrollment for students with dependents at UCLA. We need your assistance and support to make priority enrollment a reality and bring about change for the wellbeing and success of parenting students. By signing this Endorsement Letter to the Academic Senate, you are supporting our initiative to implement priority enrollment for all parenting students at UCLA.

Let’s make parenting students a priority!

- Brandon motions to approve Letter of Endorsement for Priority Enrollment for Parenting Students, Millen seconds
- By motion of 12-0-0 the motion passes, Letter of Endorsement for Priority Enrollment for Parenting Students is approved

AAC Bylaw Change*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed change(s) apply to the following Article(s) (including section(s)) of USAC Bylaws:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Article II, Section C, Number 4, Item F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article II, Section C, Number 5, Item G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article IV, Section E, Number 1, Item A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Additions should be in **bold** and removals should be noted with a **strikethrough**)

f. The following appointments to the **Academic Senate Committees, receiving a stipend**, to be appointed by the Academic Affairs Commissioner

i. The Committee on Undergraduate Admissions and Relations with Schools: two (2) undergraduate representatives for one (1) year terms. **One (1) of these appointments shall be recommended by the Student Initiated Outreach Committee Chair from the pool of potential appointees.**

ii. The Undergraduate Council: four (4) appointments for one (1) year terms

iii. **Legislative Assembly:** three (3) appointments for a one (1) year term

iv. The Faculty Executive Committee: two (2) undergraduate representatives for one (1) year terms
v. **Committee on Academic Freedom**: two (2) appointments for one (1) year terms
vi. **Committee on Continuing and Community Education**: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
vii. **Committee on Planning and Budget**: two (2) appointments for one (1) year terms
viii. **Committee on Research**: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
ix. **Committee on Diversity, Equity and Inclusion**
    Diversity and Equal Opportunity: two (2) appointments for one (1) year terms
x. **Intercollegiate Athletics Committee**: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
xi. **Committee on International Education**: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
xii. **Committee on Teaching**: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
xiii. **General Education Governance Committee**: two (2) appointments for one (1) year terms
xiv. **Mobile Steering Committee for Online Teaching and Learning**: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
xv. **Faculty Welfare Committee**: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term

---

**g. Academic Affairs Commissioner Appointments**

i. **Academic Freedom**: two (2) appointments for one (1) year terms
ii. **Continuing and Community Education**: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
iii. **Committee on Planning and Budget**: two (2) appointments for one (1) year terms
iv. **Committee on Research**: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
v. **Committee on Diversity and Equal Opportunity**: two (2) appointments for one (1) year terms
vi. **Intercollegiate Athletics Committee**: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
vii. **International Education**: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
viii. **Library and Scholarly Committee**: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
ix. **Teaching Committee**: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
x. **General Education Governance Committee**: two (2) appointments for one (1) year terms
xi. **Student Initiated Outreach Committee**: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
xii. **Writing Success Program**: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
xiii. ORL Academic Development Committee: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
xiv. Faculty Committee on Educational Technology: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
xv. Mobile Steering Committee: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
xvi. Campus Retention Committee: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
xvii. Faculty Welfare Committee: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term
xviii. Committee on University Extension: one (1) appointment for a one (1) year term

5) The Academic Affairs Commissioner
   a) The duties and responsibilities of the Academic Affairs Commissioner shall be:
      i) To serve as a member of the Council.
      ii) To represent the student body on all matters of educational policy and academic affairs to the Administration and Faculty.
      iii) To appoint, subject to the Council’s approval, all student representatives to the Academic Senate Committees, unless the Academic Affairs Commissioner chooses to sit on the committee.
      iv) To sit on the Academic Senate Executive Board Committees.
      v) To sit on the Campus Safety Alliance as a voting member.
      vi) To nominate students to department committees that pertain to educational policy.
      vii) To initiate programs and activities intended to enhance the educational environment at UCLA.
      viii) To maintain a record of participation by students appointed by the Academic Affairs Commission to academic committees at UCLA.

- Lily motions to approve the AAC Bylaw changes, Kelechi seconds
- By motion of 12-0-0 the motion passes, AAC Bylaws are approved

Discussion Item: Referendum

Robert: I just want to make sure that everyone is adequately prepared for what a referendum is, the timeline, the process. I just want to make sure we’re all on the same page. Does everybody understand the process of what the referenda is, the time timeline, etc? As long as everybody knows that if you are working on a referenda that you need to submit that language to Dr.Geller by Week 8 to be sent to UCOP. We will be dealing with presentations probably throughout the rest of the quarter about these referenda as they come to council. The referenda do not need to be approved by council until the day we approve the ballot but the draft of the finalized language has to be done by week 8, and that draft can change after it’s sent to UCOP and comes back with recommendations to put it in compliance with UCOP policy.
**X. Adjournment**

- Robert adjourns meeting at 11:24 pm

* Indicates Action Item
# Indicates Consent Item
@Indicates Executive Session Item