I. Call to Order
- Robert calls meeting to order at 7:06 pm

II. Approval of the Agenda*
- Add action item: Resolution in Support of Survivors to new business
  - Isabel motions to add Resolution in Support of Survivors as an action item, Lalo seconds
  - By motion of 12-0-0 the motion passes, Resolution in Support of Survivors as action item added to new business

- Add action item:
  - Kim motions to add Resolution to Recognize SPEAR and SPACE as an action item, Lalo seconds
  - By motion of 12-0-0 the motion passes, Resolution to Recognize SPEAR and SPACE is added to agenda

- Strike Travel Grant Mini Fund
- Strike FAC officer report
- Strike TGIF
- Strike SWC Programming Fund
- Strike BAG
- Strike SFS
- Strike SIOC/CRC Budget Report
- Strike Gen Rep 1 officer report
- Strike OCHC officer report
- Strike ISR officer report
- Strike TSR officer report
- Strike ARCF

- Lalo motions to approve agenda as amended, Kelechi seconds
- By motion of 13-0-0 the motion passes, agenda is approved as amended

III. Approval of minutes*
- 5/5/2020
- Lalo motions to approve 5/5/2020 minutes, Naomi seconds
- By motion of 14-0-0 the motion passes, minutes from 5/5/2020 are approved

UCPD
Scott Scheffler: First off, for the outgoing board on behalf the UCPD congratulations on a successful year. It's been a pleasure working with you even though for a few months. On behalf of Lieutenant Kilgore for the first part of the year, it's been a pleasure. For the incoming board congratulations, welcome. We look forward to working with you and continuing our collaboration; I look forward to the upcoming year. Just a quick update, with campus still being pretty empty with the stay at home orders and the safer at home orders, crime is still down. Violent crime is down 60% at this point compared to last year year-to-date. Property crime is down about 15%. However burglaries are up about 50% and you may have received some emails. Last month we sent out a couple timely warnings. Quite a few burglaries, residential burglaries at fraternities on the west side so we are concerned about people that are coming to campus and taking advantage of people not being around so we're increasing our patrols when
those types of situations happen. Earlier this week we arrested somebody for residential burglary and we are investigating whether that person is responsible for those incidents in April so if that is confirmed we will update the bulletins on our website and possibly have a media release so we're looking into that. Also on that note the person who has been arrested is not affiliated so it is an individual who was coming onto campus coming into the surrounding areas and basically looking for opportunities but he is not a student, staff, faculty member so we are just maintaining our patrols focusing on the areas that are empty that may have staff or faculty working with fewer people than normal. We're just trying to make sure that the entire community stays safe during these unusual times.

IV. Public Comment

Audio and Video:

- Hello my name is Josephine Flores, I'm the current president of Samahang Pilipino, one of nine mother organizations on campus. I know that today USAC will be voting on whether or not to support a resolution to recognize the 20th and 30th anniversary of Samahang access and retention projects. I would just like to say that Samahang Pilipino as SPACE and SPEAR’s sponsoring organization, we fully support this resolution in its efforts to recognize SPACE and SPEAR contributions to increasing access and retention rates within the Filippinx community here at UCLA and beyond.

- Public comment concluded at 7:19pm

Capital Contingency*  Minasyan

Contingency Programming* Minasyan
Total Requested: $1,000
Total Recommended: $1,000
- Lalo motions to allocate $1,000 to non-USAC entities, Kelechi seconds
- By motion of 14-0-0 the motion passes, Contingency Programming allocation approved

SFS Allocations# —Wisner

SWC Programming Fund Allocations# Sridhar

Bruin Advocacy Grant Allocations# —Guerra

ASRF Allocations# —Riley

AAC Travel Mini-Grant Allocations# —Riley

ARCt Allocations# —Iheanacho

TGIF —Shaw
V. Special Presentations

CALPIRG

Bonifacio

Calpirg Year in Review

1. Organizational Structure
   a. Mission
      i. CALPIRG Students’ mission is train student leaders to act on problems that affect the lives of all Californians and to promote solutions.
      ii. We do this by combining the energy of students with the expertise of professional staff including advocates and organizers who work both on and off campus to advocate for the public.
   b. Statewide Organization
      i. We have chapters at 8 of the UCs as well as at other state universities in a number of other states.
      ii. Student Board of Directors
         1. 36 elected student members
         2. Votes on budget + statewide lead campaign + approves new campaigns
      iii. UCLA Board Representatives:
         1. UCLA has 5 representatives on our state board
      iv. Statewide Executive Committee
         1. 6 students elected from the Board of Directors
         2. Drives statewide priorities
   c. CALPIRG @ UCLA
      i. 323 volunteers
      ii. 53 interns
      iii. 14k educated through class presentations
      iv. 18k on email list

2. Campaigns
   a. Plastic Free Seas
      i. Goal of the campaign: protect our oceans from plastic pollution
      ii. Current focus: defend national environmental protections and prevent any more rollbacks
         1. Also working with UCLA to start implementing the phasing out of single use plastics
      iii. UCLA committed to phasing out single use plastics this winter
      iv. UCOP will be announcing that all UCs need to make a plan to phase out single-use plastics
      v. Worked with LA county on addressing single-use plastics
   b. New Voters Project
      i. GOAL: Help institutionalize voter registration & create a culture of voting on campus
      ii. Helped over 1400 students register to vote for the primaries!
      iii. VICTORY ALERT: We won our campaign to get Gov Newsom to send every voter an absentee ballot!!!
      iv. Goal: Help 10,000 UC Students register in the fall
         1. Building up the BruinsVote! Coalition
         2. Sending a letter to the registrar with suggested improvements
         3. All campus email about voting
   c. COVID-19
      i. Goal: Expand testing availability nationwide and assist local needs projects
      ii. Successfully galvanized ventilator manufacturers to release repair manuals
      iii. Raised $460 for LA Regional Food Bank
      iv. Accrued 15% of national total petition signatures for testing expansion petition
v. Ongoing:
   ○ Video testimonials
   ○ Faculty sign-ons
   ○ Increasing visibility of student resources:
     ■ COVID-19 Relief Scholarships
     ■ Hotlines

d. Affordable Textbooks
   i. Goal: UC-wide grant funded program for open textbooks!
   ii. Collected 25 personal letters from students, 45 faculty sign-ons, covered in the Daily Bruin 3 times!
      (UCLA)
   iii. Met with Regent Oakley, Student Regent Muwwakkil and the OER librarian!
   iv. Next steps:
      1. Continue organizing quality student and faculty support
      2. UCSA, UC Academic Senate, UCOP, Regents

e. Zero Hunger
   i. Goal: Commit UCLA to Zero Hunger
   ii. Collected 1500 petitions, held roundtable discussions and got published in the Daily Bruin
   iii. Vice Chancellor Gorden is on board with the idea of committing to Zero Hunger and will help us
        secure an official commitment from the university
   iv. Working on the official Statement of Intentions with CPO

f. No Bees No Food
   i. Goal: Make UCLA a Bee Campus USA Affiliate and ban neonic
   ii. This year:
      ● Got the last 300 petitions
      ● Got support from Vice Chancellor Beck
      ● Recruited for the Bee Campus Committee
      ● Now:
        ● Working with Sustainability staff to submit application
        ● Getting approval from Chancellor

3. Organizational Highlights
   a. Spring Kickoff
      i. 70 new and returning volunteers came to our Spring Kickoff -- our largest meeting of the quarter!!
      ii. Success in attracting dedicated students even remotely!

b. Pledge Drive
   i. CALPIRG Students is funded through a voluntary, opt-in fee of $10 per quarter
      1. 5150 students are currently pledging
      2. 16.5% of the student body
      3. Collected 1654 in fall, 1014 in winter
      4. Will be signing up 450 new dues paying members this week!
      5. Building grassroots support for our New Voters Project (NVP) Campaign!
   ii. What’s Next
      1. Summer:
         a. Summer Internship Program
      2. Fall Quarter:
         a. Lead campaign: New Voters Project

VI. Appointments
   - None

SIOC/CRC Budget Reports*                          Velazquez
VII. Officer Reports

A. President (Watson)
- CALPIRG contract, got opt in threshold lowered to 10%
- Final weekly meeting with VC Gordon
- Released quarterly review graphic
- Spectrum 1 news interview
- Releasing graphic of staff
- GCGP drafted a charter
- Senior Celebration Task Force meeting last week

B. Internal Vice President (Bonifacio)
- Campus Safety Alliance meeting Week 7
- Met with VC Beck last Thursday to draft Public Safety Advisory Charter
- Working on transitioning out

C. External Vice President (Guerra)
- SAT and ACT requirements are being dropped
- Year in review report is out

D. General Representative 1 (Velazquez)

E. General Representative 2 (Smedley)
- Reached out to next Gen Rep to facilitate transition

F. General Representative 3 (Broukhim)

G. Academic Affairs Commissioner (Riley)
- This Friday our senators are having a transition kind of retreat meeting
- SAT and ACT requirements have been dropped
- Academic Senate transition meeting
- Session C is now going to be online, assessing what fall quarter will look like
- Sitting on the housing subcommittee, we have not made a decision for students when it comes to returners vs. first comers for housing

H. Campus Events Commission (Steinmetz)
- Saturday we had our event with Antoni Poworski, got positive feedback from students, about 300 people in attendance
- Opened up voting for short takes, our short film festival, voting goes through Sunday
- Two live streams for this weekend with artists
- Next Tuesday we are having UCLA alum, Shubham Goel from The Circle, doing netflix gift card giveaway
- Postponed yoga class this weekend for Mother’s day
- Rep from Bumble reached out to us and wanted to donate money to a charity
- Had a meeting with incoming and outgoing directors

I. Community Service Commissioner (Wisner)
- Hiring going on, staff apps are out and due this Friday at 11:59pm
- Working with UCLA leaders and LAUSD in regard to partnership between the university and LAUSD for tutoring for summer school
J. Cultural Affairs Commissioner
- Internal organizing going on, preparation for hiring
- Slowly transitioning out

K. Facilities Commissioner

L. Financial Supports Commissioner
- Covid-19 relief fund: sent out first round of acceptances this weekend, sent out 480 acceptances
- Universal funding goes out to three main groups of students

M. Student Wellness Commissioner
Sridhar
- SWC: COVID-19 Relief Award ($500, need- and application-based) has granted awards to 401 students out of the 870 applicants. Phase 2 of the SWC Basic Needs Subsidy opened yesterday and will remain open until May 15th, for a maximum of $100 per UCLA student allocated; currently $40,000 allocated for 520 accepted applicants out of 621 applicants total.
- Active Minds: Nikita Gupta from GRIT spoke today at Active Minds meeting at 7pm PDT.
- Bruin Run/Walk: Sending $4,200 in donations to Chase Child Life Program at Mattel Children’s Hospital.
- CPR/First Aid Program: Free Virtual First Aid Workshop on Saturday, May 23rd from 2pm-4pm PDT.
- SEARCH: Presenting project on Event Evaluation Program at Undergraduate Research Poster Day next Tuesday between 3:30-5pm PDT.
- Sexts: Podcast w/ Asian Pacific Coalition - form to submit testimonials here regarding the intersection between specific cultures and the stigma/attitudes surrounding sex! New YouTube video about birth control and side effects! And next week another regarding pleasure/anatomy.
- Bruin Run/Walk, Total Wellness, and BruiNecessities social media challenge giveaways ongoing!

N. Transfer Representative
Oraha

O. International Student Representative
Tariq

P. OCHC Representative
Gupta

Q. Administrative Representatives
Alexander, Champawat, Geller, O’Connor
- Jessica: Transition meetings still going on, we have some outstanding payments for ASUCLA event services so get in touch with Vuong to get those processed.
- Fernando: Invitation to sign up for the one on one transition meetings

VIII. Old Business
- none

IX. New Business
Resolution in Support of Survivors*

A RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF SURVIVORS

Primary Sponsors:
USAC Facilities Commissioner, Lily Shaw
USAC Financial Supports Commissioner, Millen Srivastava
USAC Office of the President, Robert Blake Watson
USAC Student Wellness Commissioner, Mihika Sridhar
USAC Transfer Student Representative, Isabel Oraha
WHEREAS, on May 6th, 2020, Education Secretary Betsy DeVos implemented new regulations for Title IX. While such regulations are framed as “historic” in the fight for protection, there are serious underlying concerns. Most notably, the regulations are said to “restore due process on campus” through granting more support to the accused, though these regulations were stated to have been implemented in order to protect those falsely accused of sexual assault, this reasoning falls flat because the proportion of miscarriages of justice among sexual assault cases is the same as the proportion for all other criminal cases (2-8%) and the proportion of sexual assault cases that go unreported (65%) eclipse miscarriages of justice, these new regulations make it more dangerous for survivors to report cases to Title IX because of (1) mandated additional interaction with the perpetrator, (2) lowered likelihood of prosecution of the perpetrator due to a potential higher evidence standard, and (3) longer investigative procedures that continually ignore trauma-informed language and practices thus jeopardizing survivors’ health and wellness. These rollbacks in protections attempt to silence the survivor community, the Daily Bruin article initially released on May 6, 2020 provided insensitive, vague, and incomplete reporting on the recent changes to Title IX. The original title stated that “New Title IX Rules Aim to Improve Due Process…” while this title is not an incorrect phrasing of the changes, this terminology ignores the impact that changes to Title IX will have on survivors, misleading the community. Using the term “improve” to describe the reforms gives a positive connotation to the new Title IX regulations, when in fact, the regulations impede survivors’ likelihood of coming forward. The exclusion of statements from students or survivor advocacy groups is evident in the article’s failure to offer meaningful insight on the changes or the implications they may have on the campus community, UCPD saw a rise in sexual assault cases this past year while the Campus Assault Resources & Education (CARE) office remains understaffed, with just three CARE advocates to serve a campus of 81,000 people. Changes to reporting, investigation, and evidence within Title IX will spread CARE, an already underfunded office, even thinner, “UCLA’s Response to Recent Title IX Changes” was timely but not nearly enough to support students who are the most vulnerable. Students expect support from their administration, but the immediate outreach from the Chancellor and Vice Chancellor for Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion needed to be a specific commitment to survivors and those most negatively impacted by these new regulations, not a dedication to all parties involved in Title IX processes,

2 https://www.rainn.org/statistics/criminal-justice-system
4 https://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/vnrp0610.pdf
8 http://www.admission.ucla.edu/campusprofile.htm
9 https://chancellor.ucla.edu/messages/uclas-response-recent-title-ix-changes/
LET IT BE RESOLVED, that the Daily Bruin must move beyond this year’s non-fact-based reporting and be more empathetic, fact-based, and thoughtful in its evaluations of individuals and policies. The USAC further calls on the Daily Bruin as a source of information for all Bruins to include survivor-oriented perspectives in its reporting and think critically about word choice. These practices are implemented at other universities of UCLA’s caliber around the country.10,11

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that administration has yet to acknowledge the USAC’s prior demands to increased funding for CARE12. In addition, the USAC continues to demand that the Campus Assault Resources & Education (CARE) office be fully funded to provide adequate support to all students, and that administration recognizes the burden these new regulations place on an already strained system.

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, the USAC calls upon administration to create and disseminate a concrete plan of action on how to support survivors in spite of new regulations and remote learning. This plan of action must be transparently available to all members of the UCLA community and include a timeline regarding its development and implementation.

- Brandon motions to approve Resolution in Support of Survivors, Johana seconds
- By motion of 14-0-0 the motion passes, Resolution in Support of Survivors is supported by USAC

GCGP Charter* Watson

Charter for the

Good Clothes Good People Basic Needs Referendum

Between GCGP and USAC

HISTORICAL CONTEXT

The concept of developing a consistent hub for campus redistribution at UCLA was first actualized in the summer of 2017 by Robert Blake Watson, who at the time was a rising 2nd year attending UCLA.13 The project named Good Clothes Good People was originally intended to facilitate clothing redistribution in the Metro-Louisville area14, but was later expanded to UCLA when Watson proposed the idea of facilitating donations in one central hub on campus to support students.

This proposal was originally developed while Watson served as a director in the Undergraduate Students Association Council’s (USAC) Office of the President Arielle Yael Mokhtarzadeh, Office of the Internal Vice President Vivy Li, and Office of the General Representative 2 Kayla He. Upon receipt of funding from The Green Initiative Fund (TGIF) and USAC Surplus Fund, organizations from across campus, including Bruin Visual Arts Club (BVAC), The Hunger Project at UCLA, and UCLA’s On Campus Housing Council (OCHC) organized the development of art-oriented donation bins across campus to collect clothing items and unused hygiene products for a number of programs that centered on the mission of supporting students in financial crises.15 This funding would not have been possible without the help of numerous individuals, including ASUCLA Student Government Services Division Manager and TGIF Grant Coordinator Jessica Alexander, ASUCLA Director of the Union Roy Champawat, 2017-2018 Facilities Commissioner and TGIF Chair Zahra Hajee, and some members (though not all) of the 2017-2018 USAC who supported GCGP’s mission and request for surplus funds to support this project.16

---

12 https://usac.ucla.edu/docs/resolution.2019.USAC%20CARE%20Funding%20Resolution.pdf
14 https://dupontmanual.com/manualptsa/good-clothes-good-people/
16 https://usac.ucla.edu/docs/minutes.2018-02-06.pdf
Upon Watson’s transition to the elected position of USAC Internal Vice President (IVP) in the Spring of 2018, he appointed Jimmy Zhou as the Good Clothes Good People Director. Watson and GCGP Director Jimmy Zhou met frequently with UCLA Recreation Associate Director Rudy Figueroa to finalize the securing of space for the creation of a redistribution center on campus. Upon Watson’s and Zhou’s securing of unused space in the SCUBA programs office in the Student Activities Center Room B54 thanks to Figueroa’s assistance, Watson and Zhou led the initiative, with the support of BVAC and IVP members (including IVP staff members Sneha Thirkanad, Katie Wall, Sonam Sidhu, and Abdus Najmi), to transform the unused SCUBA space into what would become the permanent home of the Good Clothes Good People (GCGP) Basic Needs Redistribution Center.

Upon the arrival of Fall Quarter 2018, Watson and Zhou selected Sneha Thirkannad to be the first President of UCLA’s Chapter of Good Clothes Good People based on her work ethic during the prior summer. Starting in the Fall 2018 Enormous Activities Fair (EAF), Thirkannad would then continue to lead the UCLA chapter of the organization for a 2-year term. In its initial year, GCGP served over 1,500 students, focusing on improving accessibility to basic needs resources including clothes, hygiene products, and school supplies. GCGP additionally offered formal clothing, crutches, and wheelchairs that students could borrow for a month at a time.

At the close of GCGP’s first year in operation, Thirkannad reviewed the improvement suggestions given by visitors to UCLA’s GCGP Redistribution Center, and expanded the number of products offered from roughly 15 to close to 40 to better support the needs of the UCLA community. At the suggestion of the 2019-20 GCGP board, GCGP also began to offer umbrellas for a 3-day borrowing period. These changes, along with fervent publicity from the GCGP team and USAC offices, brought in many new students to the GCGP center in its second year of operation, ultimately tripling foot traffic, which highlighted the importance of having stable funding for this initiative.

After having numerous unfruitful experiences with various funding bodies, Thirkannad went to Watson, who was now serving as USAC President, to discuss the possibility of running a referendum to support GCGP. Following much deliberation, Thirkannad and the GCGP board decided that a referendum was indeed the best way to move forward, and chose to run the referendum specifically to provide funding for the various hygiene products and school supplies that GCGP offers. Thirkannad decided to be the designated campaign representative for the referendum, and with the support of the GCGP board, Watson, and Zhou, she moved forward with the process.

Thirkannad and the GCGP Board drafted the language for the Good Clothes Good People Basic Needs Referendum, and selected a fee of $0.33 during each of the regular academic quarters, with no fee during summers. The amount was decided based on previous budgetary requirements of the organization, and the terms for collection were decided based on the availability of the offerings - since GCGP did not operate during the summer, the board felt that it would be unfair to charge students when the benefit of their fees could not be seen. On February 13th 2020, the referendum language was finalized by Thirkannad and the GCGP Board, and after being reviewed by Associate Dean of Students & Deputy Title IX Coordinator Dr. Debra Geller, the language was sent to the University of California Office of the President for approval. On February 19th 2020, Thirkannad and GCGP External Vice President and upcoming GCGP President Elaine Pham presented their referendum proposal to the Student Fee Advisory Committee (SFAC) as part of the required consultation process. Nicole Corona Diaz, the 2019-2020 Chair of the Student Fee Advisory Committee, was a vital supporter of Good Clothes Good People’s mission ever since Diaz’s role as USAC’s General Representative 1.

As it was already a USAC project, GCGP initially aimed to receive the referendum funding through its parent USAC IVP office, but at the request of Watson, the GCGP Board decided to request to move the organization from the USAC IVP to become a commission-related group of the USAC Community Service Commission (CSC). This fit with USAC Community Service Commissioner Jonathan Wisner’s mission of making the Commission the hub for service on campus and including all service organizations in the Commission’s functions and resource sharing. In turn, this prompted a USAC bylaw change, and on March 4th 2020, Thirkannad, Pham, Watson, and Wisner met with USAC Student Wellness Commissioner Mihika Sridhar, and USAC

17 https://usac.ucla.edu/docs/minutes.2018-04-03.pdf
19 https://usac.ucla.edu/docs/minutes.2018-05-08.pdf (General Representative 1’s Office Presentation)
Internal Vice President Kim Bonifacio to discuss removing GCGP from all other entities, and including it only under CSC. This included the Facilities Commission, and though Commissioner Lily Shaw was unable to attend, she signed off on the suggested changes prior to the meeting, and after all the included council members agreed to the change, GCGP was successfully moved under CSC.

On March 10th 2020, Thirkannad presented the referendum proposal to the USAC Council, which was passed unanimously with a 13-0-1 vote, allowing the referendum to be put on the ballot for the upcoming USAC elections. The campaign was led by Thirkannad, Pham, and GCGP Publicity Director Cynthia Bui, who took charge of publicity for the referendum. The campaign team included the remainder of the GCGP Board - Maimi Akter, Audrey Hernandez, Brea Hogan, Valentina Ocariz, Natalie Tang, and Nicole Tran - as well as numerous GCGP volunteers and various members of Watson’s USAC Office of the President. After four weeks of campaigning, the referendum passed with a 75% majority, with 6,070 votes in support of the initiative and 2,011 against. The passing of this referendum sends roughly $8,000 back to student financial aid, and secures an annual working budget of roughly $24,000 to fund hygiene products and school supplies, allowing GCGP to continue to grow and serve the UCLA student body for years to come. Pham and the rest of the 2020-2021 GCGP board will be the first to utilize the referendum fees and will set precedent for the future use of the fees. Immediately upon the announcement of the passage of the referendum, Thirkannad, Watson, Zhou, Wisner and the GCGP UCLA Chapter Board drafted this charter so that future generations of student leaders will understand the full history and intentions of this referendum, and to provide a roadmap to successfully run referenda in the future.

PREAMBLE TO CHARTER

This charter is designed to formulate agreements between the USAC and Good Clothes Good People (a project under USAC CSC) leadership in order to delineate how GCGP Basic Needs referendum fees shall be managed and allocated.

The following referendum was voted on and approved by students in Spring 2020 during the undergraduate student body elections:

“Shall the Undergraduate Students Association Council (USAC) institute a new fee of $0.33 per undergraduate student per quarter beginning in Fall 2020 to fund school supplies and hygiene products to be distributed for free to UCLA undergraduate students at USAC’s Good Clothes Good People redistribution center? This fee would be collected from all undergraduate students enrolled in Fall, Winter, and Spring. The fee will be increased annually thereafter by the amount of the L.A. County CPI, if any, beginning in Fall 2021. 25% of the amount collected will be returned to undergraduate financial aid.”

This charter shall take effect immediately and shall be in place indefinitely until otherwise altered or revised with the clear and mutual consent of the Board of UCLA’s Chapter of Good Clothes Good People USAC CSC project leadership, and the USA Council (USAC). Any changes that are made and/or initiated by either party must be made in consultation with the Community Service Commissioner (CSC) and take into account the history of this advocacy outlined above.

OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT OF GCGP BASIC NEEDS REFERENDUM FEES

- The management of GCGP Basic Needs Referendum fees shall be managed by the USAC Community Service Commission at the direction of UCLA’s Chapter of Good Clothes Good People USAC CSC project.

- The UCLA Chapter of Good Clothes Good People USAC CSC project exists to serve as the entity that occupies the Good Clothes Good People Redistribution Center in order to operate the day-to-day functions of the center and ensure the redistribution of basic-needs items for students.

- Any changes to the logo, brand, or name of the redistribution center shall be made in consultation with Good Clothes Good People leadership.
● If the UCLA Chapter of Good Clothes Good People organization ever ceases to functionally exist, yet still occupies the space in SAC Room B54, the responsibilities of the Good Clothes Good People Redistribution Center shall fall under the direct responsibility of USAC’s Community Service Commissioner.

  ○ In this event, the CSC shall assume direct responsibility over the finances and operations of the Good Clothes Good People Redistribution Center, and ensure that the Redistribution Center remains fully operational.

● If it is determined that the Good Clothes Good People Basic Needs referendum fees are being used for purposes other than those delineated in the ballot language, the USAC may assume direct responsibility of the Good Clothes Good People Redistribution Center.

● The annual election of UCLA’s Chapter of Good Clothes Good People’s Board of Directors shall happen internally within the USAC CSC project unless it is otherwise necessary that the USAC Community Service Commissioner solicit students for leadership positions.

● The USAC Community Service Commissioner, after discussing with the UCLA’s Chapter Good Clothes Good People USAC CSC project Board, and with the consent of USAC, shall have the authority to remove members from the Board of Directors of UCLA’s Chapter of Good Clothes Good People, given that it is a project housed under USAC’s Community Service Commission. Such action shall only be considered in the case of evidence-based non-compliance with requests made by the Community Service Commission and/or USAC, and/or the improper use of referendum fees.

● The USAC Community Service Commissioner shall be tasked with supporting and overseeing the operations of the Good Clothes Good People Redistribution Center.

  ○ The USAC Community Service Commissioner shall regularly update the USAC on the usage of the Good Clothes Good People Basic Needs referendum fees, and the status of the UCLA Chapter of Good Clothes Good People USAC CSC project.

● School supplies may include any items commonly used by a student in a course of their study.

● Hygiene products may include any items that contribute toward maintaining health, wellness, and preventing disease/illness.

● In the event that there is no longer a physical Redistribution Center operated by Good Clothes Good People USAC CSC project or the USAC Community Service Commission on campus, such referendum fees shall be redirected by the USAC Community Service Commissioner for the distribution of hygiene products and school supplies through other programmatic and institutional methods. Such efforts shall still recognize and publicize that their programs and initiatives are utilizing funding from the Good Clothes Good People Basic Needs referendum.

● In the event that the USAC Community Service Commission ceases to exist, the campus student governing entity tasked with community service initiatives will oversee and manage the Good Clothes Good People Basic Needs referendum fees and the redistribution center.

--------------------------------
GOOD CLOTHES GOOD PEOPLE FOUNDER & USAC PRESIDENT
Signed on Behalf of the Undergraduate Students Association Council (USAC)

--------------------------------
FIRST PRESIDENT OF GOOD CLOTHES GOOD PEOPLE & DESIGNATED CAMPAIGN REPRESENTATIVE

Signed on Behalf of the UCLA’s Chapter of Good Clothes Good People USAC CSC Project

-----------------------------------
USAC COMMUNITY SERVICE COMMISSIONER

Signed on Behalf of the USAC Community Service Commission

-----------------------------------
ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR OF RECREATION

Signed on Behalf of UCLA Recreation

-----------------------------------
UCLA CHAPTER OF GOOD CLOTHES GOOD PEOPLE CAMPUS DIRECTOR

- Lalo motions to approve GCGP Charter, Kelechi seconds
- By motion of 14-0-0 the motion passes, GCGP Charter approved by USAC

CRC and SIOC Resolution*

A Resolution in Support of Student-Initiated Access and Retention

Co-Sponsors:
Kimberly Bonifacio, Internal Vice President
Naomi Riley, Academic Affairs Commission
Lalo Velazquez, General Representative #1

WHEREAS, the Campus Retention Committee (CRC) is currently housed within the Community Programs Office, and is a student-majority committee that was started in the 1988-1989 academic year using the Special Education Membership Fee passed in 1969.
WHEREAS, the Student Initiated Outreach Committee (SIOC) is currently housed within the Community Programs Office, and is a student-majority committee that was started in the 1999-2000 academic year following the passage of the CARE Referendum in Spring 1999.

WHEREAS, both the CRC and the SIOC receive student fees, with the CRC receiving $1,434,314.28 and the SIOC receiving $1,276,276.29, fees collected from various referendums passed by the student body.20

WHEREAS, the 2019-2020 Undergraduate Students Association Council was made aware of concerns by appointments to each committee of administrative overstep by the Community Programs Office throughout the year. This overstep contradicted the student-initiated, student-ran aspects of each committee. This included lack of showing the committees how much in student fees each committee collects.

WHEREAS, on November 19, 2019 the USAC sent both the CRC and the SIOC a letter asking for three years of budgets to be presented at a council meeting, the budgets to be posted online, and the meeting minutes for the past year be posted online to be accessible to the student body. The USAC asked for the CRC and SIOC to present the budgets at the January 7th and January 14th council meetings, respectively.21

WHEREAS, these requests made to the committees were not completed, and the administrative representatives for each committee let the USAC know the day they were supposed to give the presentations they needed more time. No potential date was ever communicated to the USAC, even after the USAC appointments to each committee consistently asked for a set timeline.22

WHEREAS, following the lack of communication and continual lack of communication a letter published by student organizations and signed on by USA Councilmembers was released, calling for accountability from the Community Programs Office, the CRC, and SIOC. This letter was later unanimously endorsed by the USAC.23

WHEREAS, after no communication occurred from the committees or CPO administration following these concerns being brought up, the USAC voted to release the student fees to the committees pending the receipt of the three years of budgets that had been previously asked.24

WHEREAS, the Thursday following this decision taken by the USAC, Assistant Vice Chancellor of Campus Life Mick Deluca sent the USAC preliminary budget reports that were roughly one page in length for the entirety of the academic year for each committee. Such budget reports were deemed entirely inadequate by the USAC.

THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED, that the USAC re-emphasizes the importance of reinstituting the student-initiated, student-run aspects of both the SIOC and CRC.

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that the USAC is entirely unsatisfied with the culture of non-communication between the Community Programs Office and the USAC.

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that the USAC calls on the Community Programs Office to reveal the entirety of the SIOC and CRC funds to their respective committees.

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that it is unacceptable for the Statements of Understanding between the SIOC and USAC/Student Affairs and CRC and USAC/Student Affairs to be continuously violated.

20 https://usac.ucla.edu/docs/budget.pdf
22 https://imgur.com/a/meKALHla
23 https://usac.ucla.edu/docs/minutes.2020-03-03.pdf
24 https://usac.ucla.edu/docs/minutes.2020-03-10.pdf
Finally let it be further resolved, that such violations have taken place both in the form of the defiance by the CPO to comply with its mandate to present these committees’ budgets to the USAC, and in the form of the CPO’s altering of the function and structure of the committees without required USAC approval. Such deviations have moved funding and authority away from the student-initiated, student-run committees, and into the hands of the Community Programs Office administration.

- Lily motions to approve a Resolution in Support of Student-Initiated Access and Retention, Naomi seconds
- By motion of 14-0-0 the motion passes, Resolution in Support of Student-Initiated Access and Retention passes

Office of the President Bylaw Changes*

USAC Bylaw Change Submission Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Was Bylaw Change forwarded from Constitutional Review Committee (CRC)?</th>
<th>☑ Yes</th>
<th>☐ No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Record of CRC vote: For: 3</td>
<td>Against: 0</td>
<td>Abstain: -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Proposed Bylaw Change submitted to USAC by: | Name: Robert Blake Watson
Position: USAC President |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposed change(s) apply to the following Article(s) (including section(s)) of USAC Bylaws:

- Article 2. Section A. 5.
- Article 4. Section B. 5.
- Article 7. Section C. 15.2
- Article 4. Section B. 2. (adding in red)
- Article 3. Section B. 3. (move numbers down aka 3 becomes 4)-> This language is already in constitution, but should be added to bylaws for clarity too)

Summarize and Attach the Bylaw change(s) you are submitting:

Article II Section D. 4.
All Council approved appointees must submit to the Office of the President as soon as possible a copy of all agenda items to be brought before the committee on which they serve.

Article IV Section B 1. 5.
The President shall oversee the following committees i) Immediate Support and Relief ii) Presidential Internship iii) Development and Fundraising Team.

Article VII Section C 15. ii.
Student Government Accounting must present written monthly financial statements to each of the Council Officers for their office, and shall provide the President and the Finance Committee Chair with monthly financial statements for all the Council offices.

Article IV Section B 1. 2. a.
2. The President shall immediately notify all Council members of any veto made by his/her office.
   a. A veto shall only be cast on matters relating to budgetary changes and/or allocations. A veto shall be overridden by 2/3 of present voting members of Council.

Article IV Section B 1. 3.
3. The President shall vote only in the event of a tie, or where his/her vote will affect a Constitutionally required two-thirds or three-fourths vote.

CRC: The committee recommends that that ‘his/her’ be changed to ‘their’ for inclusivity.

- Naomi motions to approve bylaws for the Office of the President, Kelechi seconds
- By motion of 14-0-0 the motion passes, bylaw changes for Office of the President are approved

Academic Affairs Bylaw Changes*  

USAC Bylaw Change Submission Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Was Bylaw Change forwarded from Constitutional Review Committee (CRC)?</th>
<th>✔ Yes</th>
<th>❏ No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Record of CRC vote:</td>
<td>For: 3</td>
<td>Against: 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Bylaw Change submitted to USAC by: (if applicable)</th>
<th>Name: Naomi Riley</th>
<th>Position: Academic Affairs Commissioner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Proposed change(s) apply to the following Article(s) (including section(s)) of USAC Bylaws:
Article IV, Section B.5

Summarize and Attach the Bylaw change(s) you are submitting:

(Additions should be in **bold** and removals should be noted with a *strikethrough*)

5. The Academic Affairs Commissioner
   a. The duties and responsibilities of the Academic Affairs Commissioner shall be:
      i. To serve as a member of the Council
      ii. To represent the student body on all matters of educational policy and academic affairs to the Administration and Faculty
      iii. To appoint, subject to the Council’s approval, all student representatives to the Academic Senate Committees, unless the Academic Affairs Commissioner chooses to sit on the committee.
      iv. To sit on the Academic Senate Executive Board Committees.
      v. To sit on the Campus Safety Alliance as a voting member.
      vi. To nominate students to department committees that pertain to educational policy.
      vii. To initiate programs and activities intended to enhance the educational environment at UCLA.
      viii. To maintain a record of participation by students appointed by the Academic Affairs Commission to academic committees at UCLA.
      ix. To facilitate continuity and optimal efficiency between terms, student appointments made by the Academic Affairs Commissioner to the Academic Senate or academic committees at UCLA are to submit specific transition documents to the outgoing and successive Commissioner. This folder should include but not be limited to:
         a. A comprehensive summary of the year.
         b. A yearly timeline of the appointment’s duties, excluding Summer Session(s) A/C.
         c. A comprehensive list of relevant UCLA administrative or Academic Senate officials and faculty affiliated with the committee. This list should include the following:
            i. Emails of relevant contacts, along with their position and department/office.
            ii. A brief description of the Office’s/Commission’s relationship with each contact.
x. To appoint, subject to the Council’s approval, a student representative to the Writing Success Program Board of Directors and the Office of Residential Life Academic Development Committee.

xi. To appoint the Student Vice-Chair to the Undergraduate Student Initiated Education (USIE) Committee.
   1. The Undergraduate Student Initiated Education (USIE) Student Chairs have a term of two (2) years. In the appointee’s first year, they shall serve as Student Vice-Chair and in their second year they shall serve as Student Chair.
   2. The appointee to Student Vice-Chair shall be in their sophomore or freshman year at UCLA, unless they plan to stay a fifth year.
   3. The Student Chair shall be consulted on who they believe would be a good candidate to replace them as Student Vice Chair.

xii. Maintain and uphold the pillars in which the office was built on, including but not limited to academic policy, retention, access, and education.

b. The Academic Affairs Commissioner shall represent to the Council, within their respective capacity, all Council Sponsored Organizations.

c. The Academic Affairs Commission shall be headed by the Academic Affairs Commissioner and will include the following programming and/or services to:
   i. Support first-year and transfer students in their academic adjustment to UCLA.
   ii. Assist undergraduates in networking with graduate students.
   iii. Help undergraduates learn about career options.
   iv. Address academic inequities present in K-16 education.

d. The Academic Affairs Commissioner, along with the Student Wellness Commissioner and the Financial Supports Commissioner, shall represent the Council on the Campus Retention Committee and shall advise the Council regarding the expenditure of monies totaling $7.00 per student per quarter accruing from the following:
   i. $1.00 per student per quarter fee increase approved through passage of a referendum by UCLA undergraduates in Spring 1969;
   ii. $1.00 per student per quarter designated for the Campus Retention Committee through approval by UCLA undergraduates of a referendum captioned, “Funds for Associated Students UCLA Initiated Campus and Community Service Programs”, commonly known as The Service Referendum, in Spring 1990;
   iii. $3.00 per student per quarter earmarked for the Campus Retention Committee through approval by UCLA undergraduates of The USAC Academic Success Referendum in Spring 1993; and
   iv. $2.00 per student per quarter earmarked for the Campus Retention Committee through approval by UCLA Undergraduates of the CARE Referendum in Spring 1999.
   v. $1.30 per student per quarter earmarked for the Campus Retention Committee through approval by UCLA Undergraduates of the PLEDGE Referendum in Spring 2009.

e. The Academic Affairs Commissioner shall represent the Council, or appoint a student to do so subject to the Council’s approval, on the Student Initiated Outreach Committee in the same manner as done with the Campus Retention Committee.

f. The Academic Affairs Commissioner shall be responsible for the following initiative(s):
   i. Books 4 Bruins Textbook Subsidy Program
   ii. I-Clicker Rental Program
   iii. Students for Allyship and Justice through Education (SAJE)

Brandon motions to approve AAC bylaw changes, Lily seconds
By motion of 14-0-0 the motion passes, AAC bylaw changes are approved

IVP Bylaw Changes*

USAC Bylaw Change Submission Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Was Bylaw Change forwarded from Constitutional Review Committee (CRC)?</th>
<th>☑ Yes</th>
<th>☐ No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Bonifacio
Proposed Bylaw Change submitted to USAC by: Kimberly Bonifacio
Name: Kimberly Bonifacio
Position: Internal Vice President

Proposed change(s) apply to the following Article(s) (including section(s)) of USAC Bylaws:
Article IV, Section B 2

Summarize and Attach the Bylaw change(s) you are submitting:
(Additions should be in bold and removals should be noted with a strikethrough)
Article IV
Section B2
18. The Internal Vice President oversee the IVP Partnership Fund, which shall generally be used for partnership with various student organizations, initiatives, and projects.

- Lily motions to approve IVP bylaws, Naomi seconds
- By motion of 14-0-0 the motion passes, IVP bylaw changes approved

USAC Bylaw Change Submission Form

Was Bylaw Change forwarded from Constitutional Review Committee (CRC)?
✔ Yes ❌ No

Record of CRC vote:
| For: 3 | Against: 0 | Abstain: - |

Proposed Bylaw Change submitted to USAC by: Kimberly Bonifacio
Name: Kimberly Bonifacio
Position: Internal Vice President

Proposed change(s) apply to the following Article(s) (including section(s)) of USAC Bylaws:
Article V, Section F

Summarize and Attach the Bylaw change(s) you are submitting:
(Additions should be in bold and removals should be noted with a strikethrough)
Article IV
Section B.2
19. The Internal Vice President shall host the annual Off Campus Living Fair and any profits earned from the fair shall be invested into the IVP Partnership Fund used to help students and student organizations.

- Lily motions to approve IVP bylaw changes, Naomi seconds
- By motion of 14-0-0 the motion passes, IVP bylaw change is approved
Discussion Item: Ballot Accessibility

Lily: I'm sure several of you are aware, but a student with a disability was not able to vote due to the inaccessibility of the website the USAC ballot was on. That is really disheartening and frustrating. I wanted to bring this up to make a firm plan on how we can make sure no students are prevented from voting. Part of that is being accommodating and making sure voting is accessible for all students.

Isabel: I reached out to the student, and the issue was that the ballot is not properly coded for people that have vision impairment. I'm not sure how we can fix it but i'm sure there’s a way to fix it.

Lily: Screen reading has always been a really large issue especially at UCLA. UC Berkeley just faced a lawsuit regarding the same issue and is now retroactively improving all of their websites.

Dr. G: What I can share is that when we became aware of this while voting was still happening, Chris Copollo reached out to the my UCLA technical staff who programmed the ballot. He believed that it was fully accessible and had asked them to look into it and resolve it before voting closed. From what you’re sharing it sounds like that may not have occurred. It certainly should not have happened. I can assure you that from a student affairs perspective we’ll do everything we can to make sure it doesn't happen in the future.

Isabel: Thank you. And then while we’re talking about this, are all the USAC websites and documents also accessible?

Jessica: They should be. Any documents that we create are for sure are. The USAC website itself should be fully accessible.

Lily: FAC has been working really hard to include alternative text to allow individuals using screen readers to visualize content. I would highly recommend all of your offices start to use that and engage with that. But we can help y'all.

Sachi: I'd love to continue working on these recommendations. With future council I look forward to working together to draft some kind of plan for the future on how we can implement more accessibility features.

Resolution to Recognize SPEAR and SPACE*

Bonifacio

A Resolution to Recognize
the 20th Anniversary of Samahang Pilipino Advancing Community of Empowerment and the 30th Anniversary of Samahang Pilipino Education and Retention

SPONSORS:
Kimberly Bonifacio, Internal Vice President
Eduardo Velazquez, General Representative I
Naomi Riley, Academics Affairs Commission

Sponsoring Organization: Samahang Pilipino

WHEREAS, Samahang Pilipino was founded in 1972 as a collective of Pilipinx and Pilipinx-American students aiming to provide a community space for Pilipinx students, ultimately advocating on behalf of the Pilipinx community for issues regarding access, retention, and culture.
WHEREAS, In response to the significant decline in Pilipinx student retention rates after the explicit exclusion of Pilipinx and Pilipinx Americans from Affirmative Action policies in 1986, Pilipino American Project for Education and Retention (PAPER) was established in 1989 by Samahang student leaders, which later became known as Samahang Pilipino Education and Retention (SPEAR).

WHEREAS, Samahang Pilipino Education and Retention (SPEAR) is one of the five student-run, student-initiated retention projects under the Student Retention Center (SRC) which specifically address the barriers to the retention of Pilipinx students at UCLA. Its primary goal is to achieve 100% retention of the Pilipinx/Pilipinx-American community at UCLA and envisions an actively engaged community composed of self-aware and critically conscious students who prioritize their wellbeing while pursuing their goals.

WHEREAS, SPEAR strives to holistically empower students to navigate higher education and achieve their academic and personal goals within and beyond their time at UCLA through its four components: Samahang Teaching Through Experience (STEP) Internship, One Step Ahead (OSA) mentorship, peer counseling, and SRC resources such as the Test Bank and Study Hall. STEP Internship provides culturally relevant education and leadership development for 30-40 students each year including an opportunity to learn about other marginalized communities at UCLA. OSA mentorship builds a social and professional community for 100-200+ students every year. Its peer counseling component provides one-on-one academic and holistic support for approximately 400 students each year with particular focus on High Risk, Academic Action, and Dismissed students.

WHEREAS, Through the consistent hard work and dedication of its student leaders, SPEAR has played a significant part in increasing the 4 year graduation rates of traditional students by 12.9% and the 3 year graduation rates of transfer students by 11.8% at UCLA since 2009.

WHEREAS, The exclusion of Pilipinx students from Affirmative Action in the 1980s also significantly impacted Pilipinx admission rates into these institutions. Decades later, Pilipinx students, along with many other minority groups, continue to face inequities in accessing and achieving higher education. Currently, students of Pilipinx descent are only 10% of the Asian UCLA undergraduate population while Pilipinx individuals are 23% of California’s Asian population.

WHEREAS, Samahang Pilipino Advancing Community Empowerment (SPACE) is one of seven student-run, student-initiated outreach projects under the Student Initiated Outreach Committee that promotes access of Pilipinx students into higher education. Founded in the 1999-2000 academic year, SPACE envisions Pilipinx/Pilipinx-American students who are motivated to pursue higher education and postsecondary goals with respect to their personal interests by empowering themselves through understanding of holistic goals and needs in order to take full ownership of their education, application of their agency as leaders in a self-sustaining community, and the embodiment of critical consciousness reflective of their personal hxstory, capacity, and role in the community.

WHEREAS, SPACE addresses the academic and holistics needs of all students with specific focus on Pilipinx-identifying students. SPACE does this through a holistic and personal approach to their peer tutoring and peer advising services at their high school and community college sites within the greater Los Angeles area, specifically at Carson High School, Van Nuys High
School, and El Camino Community College. In conjunction, field trips to college campuses and collaborations with different collegiate Pilipinx organizations combine SPACE’s work in bridging community, identity, and higher education. Moreover, an annual conference at UCLA for SPACE’s service-recipients centered on community organizing and an internship for UCLA students provide spaces to discuss the role of student empowerment in pursuit of higher education.

WHEREAS, In the 2018-19 academic year alone, SPACE conducted 535 peer tutoring sessions and 168 peer advising sessions just at Carson High School alone. This year, this amount was increased to 10% with 585 peer tutoring sessions and 185 peer advising sessions only after two quarters at Carson High School and is still increasing. Likewise, at El Camino Community College, SPACE held 347 peer advising sessions in total last year. At Van Nuys High School, there is a consistent growth in personal investment of students in the program with the implementation of a student ambassador program where SPACE high school students can create and teach culturally-relevant topics among their peers that promote the values of culture and empowerment. Moreover, SPACE’s internship has grown to about 30 students large this year and its staff has nearly doubled in size with approximately 20 passionate student volunteers. In recent years, SPACE staff has also included volunteer members and project coordinators who were once students themselves receiving SPACE’s services, thus highlighting the goal of promoting a sustainable community.

WHEREAS, Through the ongoing commitment and zeal of student leaders, SPACE has supported numerous students in their journey in being admitted into public universities, including various schools in the University of California and California State University systems, as well as many private institutions.

WHEREAS, SPEAR and SPACE have elected officials within the Samahang Pilipino Board, the Retention Coordinator and the Access Coordinator, to represent their communities within the Campus Retention Center (CRC) and Student Initiated Outreach Committee (SIOC), respectively. The Access and Retention Coordinators serve as student liaisons between the committees, Samahang Pilipino, and the greater Pilipinx community to advocate for the access and retention needs of marginalized communities to be addressed by UCLA.

THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED, that the Undergraduate Student Association Council (USAC) recognizes SPEAR & SPACE’s efforts in raising access and retention rates for Pilipinx students by providing necessary academic and holistic services, creating community building spaces, and consistently addressing the needs of the Pilipinx community.

LET IT BE RESOLVED, that the USAC recognizes the importance of maintaining SPEAR & SPACE’s, as well as all projects housed under the SIOC and CRC’s, student-initiated and student-run core principles.

LET IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that the USAC recognizes SPEAR & SPACE’s contributions to the overall Pilipinx community.

LET IT BE FINALLY RESOLVED, that the USAC congratulates SPACE and SPEAR on a fruitful 20 and 30 years, respectively, of service to the Pilipinx community within and beyond the UCLA campus.
- Lalo motions to approve Resolution to Recognize the 20th Anniversary of SPACE and 30th Anniversary of SPEAR, Johana seconds
- By motion of 14-0-0 the motion passes, Resolution to Recognize the 20th Anniversary of SPACE and 30th Anniversary of SPEAR passes

**Election Results**

Eligible Voters: 29,843
Ballots Cast: 8,972
Voter Turnout %: 30.06%

International Student Representative:
- By a vote of 3,387 to 3,102, Bakur Madini is elected with 52.20% of the vote

Transfer Student Representative:
- By a vote of 4,551 to 2,463, Zuleika Bravo is elected with 64.88% of the vote

Student Wellness Commissioner:
- Christina Read, 100% of the vote

Financial Support Commissioner:
- Noe Garcia, 100% of the vote

Facilities Commissioner:
- By a vote of 4,286 to 3,141, Sachi Cooper is elected with 57.71% of the vote

Cultural Affairs Commissioner:
- Promise Ogunleye, 100% of the vote

Community Service Commissioner:
- Jonathan Wisner, 100% of the vote

Campus Events Commissioner
- Alice Naland, 100% of the vote

Academic Affairs Commissioner:
- Breeze Velasquez, 100% of the vote

General Representative 1:
- Jong Hyeon Lee

General Representative 2:
- Justin Rodriguez

General Representative 3:
- Elijah Wade

External Vice President:
- By a vote of 3,909 to 3,643, Aidan Arasasingham is elected with 51.77% of the vote

Internal Vice President:
- By a vote of 5,162 to 2,604, Emily Hong Van Luong is elected with 66.47% of the vote

President:
By a vote of 4,825 to 3,126, Naomi Riley is elected with 60.68% of the vote

Referenda:
- Cultivating Unity for Bruins: Does Not Pass
- Good Clothes Good People Basic Needs: Passes

CUB Resolution*

A RESOLUTION ON THE HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE
CULTIVATING UNITY FOR BRUINS (CUB) REFERENDUM FOR FUTURE CAMPUS LEADERS

Sponsors:
Robert Blake Watson, President
Lalo Velazquez, General Representative 1
Naomi Riley, Academic Affairs Commissioner
Lily Shaw, Facilities Commissioner

Whereas, this section shall serve as HISTORICAL CONTEXT for the CUB Referendum:

The creation of the CUB referendum was rooted in the need for historically underrepresented and marginalized communities to have their own spaces. The need for a Black Resource Center, solidifying a space for the Transfer Student Center, and providing organizations office spaces were identified and sought to be remedied through this referendum. In collaboration with multiple USAC offices, student organizations, and the Transfer Leadership Coalition, this referendum was developed and approved by the various entities mentioned.

Conversations surrounding the need for improved spaces for marginalized communities have occurred for decades on campus, but were brought to ASUCLA attention through conversations initiated by 2018-2019 Afrikan Student Union Chair Isaiah Njoku. Months later, during Week 10 of fall quarter of 2019, the Mother Organizations (MO) Coalition invited USAC representatives Robert Blake Watson, Lalo Velazquez, and Naomi Riley to attend the MO Coalition’s weekly meeting to discuss the potential of developing a referendum to address the spatial and programmatic needs of underrepresented communities on campus.

From this initial meeting, Afrikan Student Union Chairperson Alexandria Davis, USAC President Robert Blake Watson, USAC General Representative 1 Lalo Velazquez, and Asian Pacific Coalition External Assistant Director Justin Suarez met on various occasions throughout Winter Quarter of 2020 with ASUCLA CEO Pouria Abbassi and ASUCLA Director of the Union Roy Champawat to discuss the logistics and feasibility of running a referendum. These meetings were used to draft rough outlines of a possible referendum to address underrepresented students’ needs. These outlines would later be used in the following meetings to develop the Cultivating Unity for Bruins (CUB) referendum.

On February 21st, 2020, in a Mother Organizations (MO) Coalition meeting chaired by Afrikan Student Union Chairperson Alexandria Davis, student leaders from across campus met with USAC, ASUCLA, the Transfer Leadership Coalition leadership in Kerckhoff Hall 417 to discuss the beginnings of what would become the Cultivating Unity for Bruins (CUB) referendum. This included developing the initial language that would later be sent to the University of California Office of the President for approval.

Student leaders that were involved in these various planning meetings included:
Designated Campaign Representative and Afrikan Student Union Chair Alexandria Davis
Asian Pacific Coalition External Assistant Director Justin Suarez
MEChA de UCLA Chair Vivyana Prado
Muslim Student Association President Hanaan Osman
Queer Alliance Intern Antonio Rodriguez
Samahang Pilipino President Josephine Flores
Transfer Leadership Coalition Co-Chair Milagros Martinez Stordeur
Transfer Leadership Coalition Co-Chair Zuleika Bravo
Upon approval from the University of California Office of the President, the Mother Organizations Coalition, the Transfer Leadership Coalition, the USAC Office of the President, the USAC General Representative Office, the USAC Academic Affairs Commission, and the USAC Transfer Student Representative Office led the campaign for the CUB Referendum during the elections of Spring Quarter of 2020.

Whereas, this section shall serve as a documentation of APPROVED CUB REFERENDUM LANGUAGE:

This memorandum was designed to formulate agreements between the USAC and ASUCLA leadership in order to clearly delineate how CUB referendum fees would be managed and allocated were this referendum to be passed.

The following referendum was voted on in Spring 2020 during the undergraduate student body elections:

“Shall the Undergraduate Students Association address the space, resources, and programming needs of undergraduate students from historically underrepresented and marginalized communities by implementing a new ASUCLA CUB fee of $15.00 per undergraduate student per quarter ($9.00 per UC undergraduate student enrolled at UCLA in summer session), to supplement the Ackerman student union of $22.00 per quarter, beginning in Fall 2020?”

This Memorandum of Understanding shall take effect immediately and shall be in place indefinitely until otherwise altered or revised with the clear and mutual consent of both ASUCLA Board of Directors and the USA Council (USAC). Any changes that are made and/or initiated by either party must be made in consultation with the Mother Organization (MO) Coalition and the Transfer Leadership Coalition (TLC).

Whereas, this section would serve as a draft of the MANAGEMENT OF CUB REFERENDUM FEES had the referendum been approved:

- The CUB referendum fees would be managed by the ASUCLA Board of Directors (BOD), but with the continuous guidance of the USAC.
- The ASUCLA BOD would prepare reports and consultation meetings with the USAC, the leadership of the Mother Organizations, and the Transfer Leadership Coalition on a bi-annual (twice a year) basis.
  - This would be done through ASUCLA BOD Leadership’s biannual attendance at the USAC’s weekly meetings, with a majority of Mother Organizations and TLC leadership present.
- The ASUCLA BOD would take into serious and continuous consideration the recommendations of USAC in how it shall allocate CUB referendum fees.
- In the event that the USAC is in need of financial support for programming related to the purposes of the referendum outlined in the ballot language and supplemental ballot language, the USAC would have the ability to request a portion
of these fees for their individual office-based or all-council-based use in such programmatic endeavors with the approval of USAC Council, the Mother Organizations (MO) Coalition, and the Transfer Leadership Coalition (TLC).

○ The USAC would be able to request, at a maximum, 10% of the CUB referendum fee on programming related to the purpose of the referendum as specified in the supplemental ballot language. If the USAC were in need of additional funds, they could access such fees only with the approval of the Mother Organizations (MO) Coalition and Transfer Leadership Coalition.

Whereas, this section shall serve as a draft of the ALLOCATION AND USAGE OF CUB REFERENDUM FEES had the referendum been approved:

- The immediate development of a Black Resource Center within existing ASUCLA facilities, with financial support for programming.
  ○ 6% of these referendum fees shall be directed on an annual basis for the creation and continuity of a programming fund for the Black Resource Center.
  ○ Upon the development of a new building under ASUCLA Board of Directors leadership, the Board shall reevaluate the quality of the existing Black Resource Center in Ackerman Student Union, and guarantee moving and expanding the Black Resource Center in the new building.
- The full and immediate financial support of the Black Resource Center towards all rent, utilities and maintenance payment.
- The full and immediate independence of the Transfer Resource Center towards all future rent, utilities and maintenance payments.
- The eventual development of high-quality meditation space for undergraduate students seeking to pray, meditate, or rest.
- The ongoing funding of student programming with additional funding specifically related to historically underrepresented communities on campus.
- The eventual creation of new office and storage spaces for organizations in ASUCLA facilities. This shall be accomplished through the usage of this fee to support the interior spatial development of a new ASUCLA building in the old loading dock next to the Ackerman Student Union.
- The eventual maintenance and improvement of ADA compliance in new and existing ASUCLA facilities.

Let it be resolved that it is the USAC’s hope that future campus leaders will continue the work that was initiated through the CUB referendum, and will use this resolution as a guide and resource for the creation of meaningful change on campus.

- Lalo motions to approve the CUB Resolution by a roll call vote, Naomi seconds
  - IVP: Yes
  - EVP: Yes
  - General Representative 1: Yes
  - General Representative 2: Yes
  - General Representative 3: Yes
  - AAC: Yes
  - CEC: Yes
  - CSC: Yes
  - CAC: Yes
  - FAC: Yes
  - FSC: Yes
- SWC: Yes
- TSR: Yes
- ISR: Yes
- By a vote of 14-0-0, CUB Resolution passes unanimously

X. Adjournment* Watson
   - Robert adjourns at 9:48pm

   Administering Oath of Office Gibbs

   Good and Welfare

   * Indicates Action Item
   # Indicates Consent Item
   @Indicates Executive Session Item
AGENDA
UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION COUNCIL
May 12, 2020
8:30PM
Zoom

I. Call to Order
- Naomi calls meeting to order at 1:15am

A. Signing of the Attendance Sheet
- None

II. Approval of Agenda*
- Strike SFS
- Strike ARCF
- Strike BAG
- Strike SWC Programming Fund
- Strike Travel Grant Mini Fund
- Strike ASRF
- Strike Approval of the Minutes
- Jonathan motions, Sachi seconds
- By motion of 13-0-0 the motion passes, agenda is approved as amended

III. Approval of the minutes*

IV. Public Comment
- None
- Public comment concluded at 1:21am

Capital Contingency*

Contingency Programming*

SFS Allocations#

SWC Programming Fund Allocations#

Bruin Advocacy Grant Allocations#

ASRF Allocations#

AAC Travel Mini-Grant Allocations#

ARCF Allocations#

TGIF
- Request for $23,000, tabled until further information is received
V. Special Presentations
- None

VI. Appointments
- none

VII. Officer Reports
A. President Riley
- Office of the resident apps should be coming out
- Sitting on future planning task force
- Planning final transition meeting with Chancellor Block

B. Internal Vice President Luong
- Releasing office application tomorrow
- Will be chairing Campus Safety Alliance this Thursday

C. External Vice President Arasasingham
- Hoping to have greater focus on engagement and accountability
- Taken off a lot of power from myself and relying a lot on transition advisory group
- Board of regents meeting next week

D. General Representative 1 Lee

E. General Representative 2 Rodriguez
- Creating apps currently, planning to have them out in next couple days
- Trying to get office set up
- Working for Organizing and Research Collective: reaching out to professors and research collectives to get support for that.

F. General Representative 3 Wade
- Currently working on office structure

G. Academic Affairs Commissioner Velazquez
- Been working on office structure
- Met with USIE last week
- Meeting with Naomi, transitional meetings for the future

H. Campus Events Commission Naland
- Hired directors already

I. Community Service Commissioner Wisner
- Staff apps out right now
- Possible partnership with LAUSD
- Might be donating additional funds to Covid relief fund

J. Cultural Affairs Commissioner Ogunleye
- Opened director applications
- Having transitional meetings with Kelechi
- Releasing general staff apps this Thursday
- ARCF fund chair applications should be coming out

K. Facilities Commissioner
- Tying things up from transition meetings
- Going to have first official program on May 20th

L. Financial Supports Commissioner
- Working on applications, should be releasing them tomorrow
- Got 2,000 applications for Covid-19 relief fund, funded about 480 students

M. Student Wellness Commissioner
Read
- SWC leadership apps were due tonight

N. Transfer Representative
- Met with Isabel to go through office structure
- Applications for staff will be posted Friday
- In process of onboarding our new chairs
- Looking forward to doing transfer awareness training

O. International Student Representative
- Started working on recruitment

P. OCHC Representative

Q. Administrative Representatives
- Jessica: Training sessions upcoming for you all
- Fernando: Installation ceremony May 23rd at 1pm

VIII. Old Business
- None

IX. New Business
COVID-19 Working Group Discussion
Naomi: I just wanted to talk about the COVID-19 Working Group and have that as a discussion item because the previous council we created an Academic Working Group because we were getting a lot of complaints from different students when certain professors were heckling them with really hard midterms or being not accommodating for different students. So what we were doing was gathering information through there and then finding out how we we're going to approach it since old council was obviously knowing that they were going to transition, we haven't made any solid moves. That makes me uncomfortable. I really want to make sure that we have a plan of action going forward. So if anybody's interested in being part of that working group maybe we can just send an I in the council group chat and we'll create a separate chat. My first thing I really want to do is basically write a similar letter to the one that council released a few weeks ago but make it more so tailored towards professors. Specifically professors that are not being accommodating. A lot of our previous letters were addressed towards Administration and Academic Senate. For those of you that don't know academic policy or don't have as much knowledge within that realm, we can't force professors to do anything. But we can do is kind of play with Academic Senate or what the Dean's like to call like the “bully pulpit” and we can try to apply pressure and make sure that they are being reasonable with students. We can't force them but I do think that once they see that this is an issue and that there are so many reasons why a student isn't able to focus on a 2-hour midterm within a time period we're hoping that they would be a lot more flexible.
Breeze: I wanted to bring up that a few students had asked me about that. Would this conversation and letter help out with that?

Naomi: Yes. We can keep collecting which classes and professors they are so that way when we make the letter they know which students to give them to.

Resolution on the History and Development of the CUB Referendum*

A RESOLUTION ON THE HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE CULTIVATING UNITY FOR BRUINS (CUB) REFERENDUM

Sponsors:
Naomi Riley, President
Emily Luong, Internal Vice President
Aidan Arasasingham, External Vice President
Elijah Wade, General Representative 3
Promise Ogunleye, Cultural Affairs Commissioner
Zuleika Bravo, Transfer Student Representative

Whereas, this section shall serve as HISTORICAL CONTEXT for the creation and development of the CUB Referendum:

The creation and passage of the CUB referendum was rooted in the need for historically underrepresented and marginalized communities to have their own spaces. The need for a Black Resource Center, solidifying a space for the Transfer Student Center, and providing organizations office spaces were identified and sought to be remedied through this referendum. In collaboration with multiple USAC offices, student organizations, and the Transfer Leadership Coalition, this referendum was developed and approved by the various entities mentioned.

Conversations surrounding the need for improved spaces for marginalized communities have occurred for decades on campus, but were brought to ASUCLA attention through conversations initiated by 2018-2019 Afrikan Student Union Chair Isaiah Njoku. Months later, during Week 10 of fall quarter of 2019, the Mother Organizations (MO) Coalition invited USAC representatives Robert Blake Watson, Lalo Velazquez, and Naomi Riley to attend the MO Coalition’s weekly meeting to discuss the potential of developing a referendum to address the spatial and programmatic needs of underrepresented communities on campus.

From this initial meeting, Afrikan Student Union Chairperson Alexandria Davis, USAC President Robert Blake Watson, USAC General Representative 1 Lalo Velazquez, and Asian Pacific Coalition External Assistant Director Justin Suarez met on various occasions throughout Winter Quarter of 2020 with ASUCLA CEO Pouria Abbassi and ASUCLA Director of the Union Roy Champawat to discuss the logistics and feasibility of running a referendum. These meetings were used to draft rough outlines of a possible referendum to address underrepresented students’ needs. These outlines would later be used in the following meetings to develop the Cultivating Unity for Bruins (CUB) referendum.

On February 21st, 2020, in a Mother Organizations (MO) Coalition meeting chaired by Afrikan Student Union Chairperson Alexandria Davis, student leaders from across campus met with USAC, ASUCLA, the Transfer Leadership Coalition leadership in Kerckhoff Hall 417 to discuss the beginnings of what would become the Cultivating Unity for Bruins (CUB) referendum. This included developing the initial language that would later be sent to the University of California Office of the President for approval.

Student leaders that were involved in these various planning meetings included:
Designated Campaign Representative and Afrikan Student Union Chair Alexandria Davis
Asian Pacific Coalition External Assistant Director Justin Suarez
MEChA de UCLA Chair Vivyana Prado
Muslim Student Association President Hanaan Osman
Queue Alliance Intern Antonio Rodriguez
Upon approval from the University of California Office of the President, the Mother Organizations Coalition, the Transfer Leadership Coalition, the USAC Office of the President, the USAC General Representative Office, the USAC Academic Affairs Commission, and the USAC Transfer Student Representative Office led the campaign to successfully pass the CUB Referendum at the conclusion of elections of Spring Quarter of 2020.

Whereas, this section shall serve as a documentation of APPROVED CUB REFERENDUM LANGUAGE:

This memorandum is designed to formulate agreements between the USAC and ASUCLA leadership in order to clearly delineate how CUB referendum fees would be managed and allocated.

The following referendum was voted on in Spring 2020 during the undergraduate student body elections:

“Shall the Undergraduate Students Association address the space, resources, and programming needs of undergraduate students from historically underrepresented and marginalized communities by implementing a new ASUCLA CUB fee of $15.00 per undergraduate student per quarter ($9.00 per UC undergraduate student enrolled at UCLA in summer session), to supplement the Ackerman student union of $22.00 per quarter, beginning in Fall 2020?”

Upon the referendum’s passage, this Memorandum of Understanding would take effect immediately and would be in place indefinitely until otherwise altered or revised with the clear and mutual consent of both ASUCLA Board of Directors and the USA Council (USAC). Any changes that are made and/or initiated by either party must be made in consultation with the Mother Organization (MO) Coalition and the Transfer Leadership Coalition (TLC).

Whereas, this section shall serve as a draft of the MANAGEMENT OF CUB REFERENDUM FEES had the referendum been approved:

- The CUB referendum fees would be managed by the ASUCLA Board of Directors (BOD), but with the continuous guidance of the USAC.
- The ASUCLA BOD would prepare reports and consultation meetings with the USAC, the leadership of the Mother Organizations, and the Transfer Leadership Coalition on a bi-annual (twice a year) basis.
  - This would be done through ASUCLA BOD Leadership’s biannual attendance at the USAC’s weekly meetings, with a majority of Mother Organizations and TLC leadership present.
- The ASUCLA BOD would take into serious and continuous consideration the recommendations of USAC in how it would allocate CUB referendum fees.
In the event that the USAC is in need of financial support for programming related to the purposes of the referendum outlined in the ballot language and supplemental ballot language, the USAC would have the ability to request a portion of these fees for their individual office-based or all-council-based use in such programmatic endeavors with the approval of USAC Council, the Mother Organizations (MO) Coalition, and the Transfer Leadership Coalition (TLC).

- The USAC may request, at a maximum, 10% of the CUB referendum fee on programming related to the purpose of the referendum as specified in the supplemental ballot language. If the USAC is in need of additional funds, they would access such fee only with the approval of the Mother Organizations (MO) Coalition and Transfer Leadership Coalition.

Whereas, this section shall serve as a draft of the ALLOCATION AND USAGE OF CUB REFERENDUM FEES had the referendum been approved:

- The immediate development of a Black Resource Center within existing ASUCLA facilities, with financial support for programming.
  - 6% of these referendum fees would be directed on an annual basis for the creation and continuity of a programming fund for the Black Resource Center.
  - Upon the development of a new building under ASUCLA Board of Directors leadership, the Board would reevaluate the quality of the existing Black Resource Center in Ackerman Student Union, and consider moving and expanding the Black Resource Center in the new building.
- The full and immediate financial support of the Black Resource Center towards all rent, utilities and maintenance payment.
- The full and immediate independence of the Transfer Student Center towards all future rent, utilities and maintenance payments.
- The eventual development of high-quality meditation space for undergraduate students seeking to pray, meditate, or rest.
- The ongoing funding of student programming with additional funding specifically related to historically underrepresented communities on campus.
- The eventual creation of new office and storage spaces for organizations in ASUCLA facilities. This would be accomplished through the usage of this fee to support the interior spatial development of a new ASUCLA building in the old loading dock next to the Ackerman Student Union.
- The eventual maintenance and improvement of ADA compliance in new and existing ASUCLA facilities.

Let it be resolved that it is the USAC’s hope that future campus leaders will continue the work that was initiated through the CUB referendum, and will use this resolution as a guide and resource for the creation of meaningful change on campus.

- Jonathan motions to approve the Resolution on the History and Development of the CUB Referendum, Emily seconds

- IVP: Yes
- EVP: Yes
- General Representative 1: Yes
- General Representative 2: Yes
- General Representative 3: Yes
- AAC: Yes
- CEC: Yes
- CAC: Yes
- CSC: Yes
- FAC: Yes
- FSC: Yes
- SWC: Yes
- TSR: Yes
- By motion of 13-0-0 the motion passes, Resolution on the History and Development of the CUB Referendum passes

**X. Adjournment***  
- Naomi adjourns at 2:11am

*Indicates Action Item
# Indicates Consent Item
@Indicates Executive Session Item