



AGENDA
 UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION COUNCIL
 November 9, 2021
 7 PM PST
 Zoom: [https://ucla.zoom.us/s/97123303841](https://ucla.zoom.us/j/97123303841)

I. Call to Order

Velazquez

- Breeze calls the meeting to order at 7:02 pm.

A. Signing of the Attendance Sheet

- Signed via google docs

II. Approval of Agenda*

Gatica

- Strike Community Service Mini Fund: Emily Peng appointment
- Strike FiCom General Member: Dane Elliot appointment
- Strike FiCom General Member: Lucy Yin appointment
- Strike Bruin Advocacy Grant Allocations
- Add Admin at the Table: FC AFT

- Tayloneei moves to approve the agenda as amended, Hailey seconds.
- By vote of 10-0-0, the motion passes and the agenda for 11/9/21 is approved as amended.

III. Approval of the minutes*

Gatica

- Tayloneei moves to approve the minutes from 11/2/21, Hailey seconds.
- By vote of 11-0-0, the motion passes and the minutes for 11/2/21 are approved.

IV. Public Comment

Velazquez

- Public comment concludes at 7:05 pm.

V. Funding

Capital Contingency*

J. Wang

- Total Allocated: \$3,146.00 to 3 USAC entities

- Jane moves to allocate \$3,146 to 3 USAC entities, Emily seconds
- By vote of 12-0-0, the motion passes and Capital Contingency is approved for this week.

Contingency Programming*

J. Wang

- Total Allocated: \$11,686.96 to 21 non-USAC entities and 1 USAC entity

- Tayloneei moves to allocate \$11,686.96 to 21 non-USAC entities and 1 USAC entity, Angelina seconds.
- By vote of 11-0-0, the motion passes and Contingency Programming is approved for this week.

SFS Allocations#

Subhan

- Total Allocated: \$2,018.17 to 5 organizations; 2 CSC-organizations, 3 non-CSC organizations
- No opposition, item approved as consent item

SWC Programming Fund Allocations#

Jackson

- Total Allocated: \$1,490 to 4 non-USAC entities
- No opposition, item approved as consent item

Brain Advocacy Grant Allocations#

Wang

ASRF Allocations#

Quint

- Total Allocated: \$2,000 to 1 non-USAC entity
- No opposition, item approved as consent item

AAC Travel Mini-Grant Allocations#

Quint

- Total Allocated: \$700 to 1 USAC entity and \$5,427.50 to 7 non-USAC entities
- No opposition, item approved as consent item

ARC Allocations#

Ogunleye

- Total Allocated: \$12,120.92 to 7 non-USAC entities
- No opposition, item approved as consent item

TGIF

Ni

- Total Allocated \$2,733.06 to non-USAC entities
- No opposition, item approved as consent item

VI. Special Presentations

Seat at the Table: Muslim Student Association

Velazquez

- Hodari Harris speaking on behalf of MSA.
 - MSA is working on getting a designated outdoor prayer space for their community. In Islam, there are five daily prayers that must be completed in a specific window of time depending on the sun. 4 out of the 5 daily prayers are typically performed on campus. They occur at 5:26am, 11:48am, 2:31pm, 4:54pm, 6:11pm. Currently they are praying behind Kerchoff. This place is an exposed position especially for women. Because it is not a designated prayer space, it can feel like they are in the way of passersby and ASUCLA vehicles. This prayer space is also at mercy to the elements and weather conditions. They are working to designate the space behind Ackerman as their prayer space. There is less traffic and it would allow for more focus on their prayers.
 - Also working on getting halal food in the dining halls. IN the past UCLA dining has had a good relationship with MSA. Ramadan is a month that MSA fasts and UCLA has been super accommodating about providing halal food options during Ramadan. Last year, halal food was in a shortage but we are looking to increase its presence on UCLA's campus.

Admin at the Table: UC AFT

Velazquez

- Caroline Luce and John Bransetter speaking on behalf of UC AFT:
 - UC AFT is the union that represents lecturers in the UC. Currently they teach 30% of classes at UCLA and often they have the same training and credentials as their tenured colleagues. They are hired on short term temporary gigs. That means they have to reapply for their job every year and can not tell their students that they will be around in the future years to be able to write letters of recommendation. The median average salary for a UC lecturer is around \$20,000 per year. They have no job security and they have been bargaining with the UC for 2 and half years now. We have come asking for support because we are now in the impasse time of our campaign. We want to impress on President Drake and his administration that we need to make a deal and get this contract settled. If you have time, take a look at this article that was just released in the LA Times about the UC Lecturers and how it can be compared to Squid Game.
 - <https://www.latimes.com/opinion/story/2021-11-09/uc-lecturer-union-strike-pay>
 - UC AFT wants to thank you for the resolution that you are working to pass today. Bargaining session tomorrow, make sure to RSVP. You can RSVP on the linktree for UC AFT. We encourage students to use their voices. <https://linktr.ee/ucaftcontractcampaign>

TGIF Presentation

Ni

- Cheryl Ma and Jane Ni speaking on behalf of TGIF:

- TGIF is UCLA's funding body that comes from a referendum passed in 2008. It has around \$250,000 base to allocate each year before surplus which is usually around another \$200,000. TGIF must benefit undergraduate students and sustainability on campus.
- There are many ways and times that you can apply. For the Mini Fund, you can be awarded up to \$1,000 and the deadlines are the Fridays of Week 2, 4, 6, 8. For the Main Fund, you can be awarded up to \$10,000 and the deadline is Friday of Week 2. For the Capital Infrastructure Fund, you can be awarded more than \$10,000 and it has a rolling deadline. Applications are open online at <http://tgif.ucla.edu/>
- The TGIF funding process consists of the TGIF application submit, committee review and submit, an account opened with SGA, a grant agreement sent, the signed grant agreement is returned, req form submit to request funds, req signed and submitted to SGA, and finally funds are disbursed.
- What we Fund: green events, large infrastructure projects such as hydration stations, honorariums for speakers, research and engineering projects
- What we don't Fund: swag, anything from Amazon/Walmart, furniture
- You don't have to be a part of Sole to apply. Students, faculty, and staff are all welcome to apply. We have the ability to fund very large infrastructure projects. Money carries over from year to year.
- Our TGIF mission for this year is to fund more non-traditional sustainability organizations and more justice oriented projects/students. We want to increase outreach and accessibility to sustainability.
- We also have a single use plastic policy. We aim to prohibit or eliminate single use plastics. TGIF will not fund anything that uses single use plastics (catering, events, etc.) We encourage your funding bodies to adopt this rule as well.
- Email: fac@usac.ucla.edu or tgif@asucla.ucla.edu
- Instagram: @tgifucla

VII. Appointments

~~USAC Community Service Mini Fund: Emily Peng*~~

~~Subhan~~

~~FiCom General Member: Lucy Yin*~~

~~J. Wang~~

~~FiCom General Member: Dane Elliot*~~

~~J. Wang~~

FSC CRC: Samone Anderson*

Yu

- Jane moves to approve Samone Anderson as FSC CRC, Angelina seconds
- By vote of 11-0-0, the motions passes and Samone Anderson is appointed to FSC CRC.

VIII. Officer Reports

A. President

Velazquez

- In a meeting with the Recovery and Response Task Force, we discussed the 330 students who haven't got the Covid-19 vaccine. Now it is 306 students who have not received it. Moving forward our two possible steps will be 1) not allowing them to enroll in winter quarter 2) look into other means of punishing the students- Dean Rush said possible punishment might be suspension
- Hopefully these students can get informed of this because it would suck for them to have a deferred suspension.
- They have been reached out by email with this information. Discussed potentially giving them a phone call to avoid the emails getting lost in people's inboxes. We have time to work on this so students don't have to face punishment
- Met with UCSB president and discussed how the Student Advocate Board has position again in ASUC and how we can implement it here
- Office met with New York Times about expanding subscriptions for UCLA students; should have update soon on this
- First CAB meeting coming soon, scheduled for Sunday evening (remote for both sessions so hopefully more students can participate)
- Future Student Leaders of Color Fellowship have been released. Hopefully some of you can come out and be apart of these spaces.
- Online mental health platform was launched this past week by office
- Team went to meeting for Basic Needs, discussed how EBT for ASUCLA is going through final steps
- How to distribute food leftovers instead of throwing them out, working with admin

B. Internal Vice President

Gatica

- Mobilize mass directors is beginning social media series about social justice in two weeks, introducing vaccine equity
- Met with CARE to wrap up logistics about student org kit
- Finalized plans for CARE development
- Met with marketing to start social media series to promote student orgs on Instagram feed
- De-stressing final event is in the works
- Recruiting more people to join Leadership Lobby
- In communication with Good Clothes, Good People; hopefully bringing back collaboration like in the past

C. External Vice President

Wang

- Office almost ready to start with Instagram reels to keep people civically engaged, incorporated with USAC activities
- Doing prepwork for regents meeting that is coming up
- Planning winter registration event in time for different races that are coming up
- Met with ASUCLA, they generously offered to support us in civic related events
- Planning town halls and candidate debate events (mayoral, city district race) coming in winter/spring quarter
- Working on internship/job spreadsheet guide for people who are interested in government or politics
- UCSA formalized partnership for Menstrual Equity Plan
- President Drake voiced support for free menstrual products across UC system which is very exciting and promising
- Preserve eligibility for DACA students
- UC we vote office is working on resolution

D. General Representative 1 Written

Valles

E. General Representative 2 Written

Pungchai

On the MHP project:

- Support Division Director Amelie Lobo is meeting with Aunt Flow representative about those materials
- The Support Division is working on a public transportation infographic.
- On the Open Source Projects:
 - Ayesha Aslam-Mir, Academic Support and Retention director, is arranging a meeting w/ Kudu CEO Warren Essey. Essey has said that if UCLA expands Kudu usage, they'd be willing to drop the price from \$50 further.
 - Also met with software developers behind textbook selling app.
 - Ayesha is in the process of arranging a presentation with the Academic Senate's Committee on Planning and Budget to talk about committing institutional funds to Kudu. Thank you to AAC (Angie Quint) for helping with that follow-up!
 - Passa met with Ayesha to reiterate that the focus in the immediate timeline is Kudu expansion to all large lower division classes, with a longshot goal of making Kudu free.
 - Passa thought of reaching out to the admin about UCLA's own open source learning repository.
- Boelter Hall Braille Project:
 - Passa met with Facilities Commissioner Jane Ni to discuss progress and plans. Jane will follow up assistant vice chancellor of facilities management Kelly Schmader
 - Brandon McClelland, Support Division director, unveiled a braille sign prototype in a group meeting. This initial iteration followed ADA guidelines. Brandon is looking to write a script to auto generate the designs (hand generating 9 floors worth of signs would be a lot).
 - Passa reached out to DSU to get feedback on navigation issues in Boelter for blind and hard of sight students, and also get feedback on the prototype
- Dining Hall Project:
 - FAC, GR1-2, FSC and TSR met to discuss the initial meeting with dining. Survey will roll out in a week or so.
- USAC Expenditure Viewer:
 - FSC in process of bylaw filing to Bylaw Committee.
- Social Media:
 - Rolled out information about USAC Expenditure Viewer.
 - Preparing graphic to accompany dining hall improvement survey
 - Want to recruit students to the Coffee Improvement Initiative Project.

- Passa attended the weekly MO meeting.

F. General Representative 3 Written

King

- Attended MO meeting
- Met with GR2 and TSR regarding dining hall issues and a way forward to increase pay for workers.
- Planned in person event for GR3 exec and staff
- Collaborated and conducted Financial literacy workshop on “Credit/Debit cards” with *Bruin Women in Business*
- Secured Tax Specialist for future Taxes’ Financial Literacy Program
- Created follow up general student body survey to gather feedback regarding current quarter campus experience

G. Academic Affairs Commissioner

Quint

- Retention committee is finishing sending out awards for Books for Bruins recipients
- Appointments to support workshops to student orgs about mother coalition and retention projects
- Providing blue books and scantrons to ESRC
- Quarterly mental health event is being worked on, finalizing guest speakers
- Cafe 580 how to support them and their work
- Gen Rep 1 office meeting to discuss mental health coalition and what they will be doing moving forward with that
- Working on workshop with transfers and outreaching to transfer community
- Working to make syllabus available before course enrollment, reaching out to professors who have interest in that
- Working with campus retention committee on a letter to support DSU for hybrid courses for winter quarter, letter was sent yesterday (share instagram post about it)
- Released student feedback form
- Updated website with info on academic senate and different committees and positions
- Working with CAPS to put on counseling quarterlies
- UCLA will be transferring to Bruin Learn from CCLE which will be using Canvas.

H. Campus Events Commission Written

Sanghavi

I. Community Service Commissioner Written

Subhan

J. Cultural Affairs Commissioner Written

Ogunleye

K. Facilities Commissioner Written

Ni

L. Financial Supports Commissioner Written

Yu

- Submitted an FSC bylaw revision with GR2 to incorporate maintenance of USAC Expenditure Viewer into bylaws.
- Met with Patricia and Science Supply Store to negotiate a quote on lab coats for the Lab Coat Expansion surplus proposal.
- Met with SWC, OP, TSR to follow up with Vice Chancellor Gordon about restructuring the Basic Needs Committee.
- Met with other council members to figure out how best to support student workers on the hill and address food issues on the hill.
- Opened up Arduino Kit Donation Drive, collecting any new or gently used Arduino kits used in engineering classes to expand our academic materials loaner program to lend out to students.
- Rolling apps for FSC advisor positions are open. Apply at bit.ly/FSCStaff.

M. Student Wellness Commissioner Written

Jackson

- SWC Interns have been hired and will begin working with the executive board next week!
- Working on the Menstrual Hygiene Proposal to present to VC Beck and Council.
- Presente the Basic Needs Subsidy during the 10/26 Council meeting! Will post presentation slides to the SWC Website, Reddit, Facebook, and Instagram.
- Planning the You Belong Here Campaign that will launch the Winter Quarter!

- Planning WOW Nights with Westside Impact Project!

N. Transfer Student Representative Written

Chavez

- At last council, Ida Tongkumvong was officially appointed as the **very first transfer-specific one-year appointment to the ASUCLA Communications Board**
- USAC Elections Board applications are open for all those who are currently not involved with a USAC office! Apply here: <https://bit.ly/302MfcQ>
- If you would like to schedule a **Transfer Awareness Training with your respective student organization, please contact tsr.internal@usac.ucla.edu** for more information and scheduling. We would love to institutionalize TATs and having as many student orgs plan one would be awesome!
- Thank you to All Brains for presenting a Neurodiversity Training for the office this week! If you would like to schedule one with your respective student organization, please contact All Brains at trainings@uclaallbrains.org!
- Please fill out [this form](#) if you would like to help the External Relations-Lobbying committee with outreach to your publicly elected officials!

O. International Student Representative Written

Garcia

O. Administrative Representatives

Alexander, Chacon, O’Connor,

Luna, Perez, Solomon

- Patricia: “No major updates, just looking forward to some fun programming that is coming our way. We are going to be hosting a UCLA Basketball watching party for students who may not be able to attend the basketball game but want to watch the game and have fun with fellow students in Ackerman Grand Ballroom, Friday night. We are also collaborating with UniCamp next Wednesday for our arts and craft program that we do every Wednesday; Wellness Wednesday. So we will be doing some work together to do some really fun painting in Kerckhoff Grand Salon. We have some new partnerships that we are excited to support. We have a few other events coming up. We have another watch party coming up on the 20th for the football game. We have lots of fun ahead, I'll probably be sending out some flyers soon so I just wanted to let you all know.”
- George: “Hi folks, no big updates just that we had the Alumni Association Board meeting this past Saturday. What can I say, we had an audit and no big issues came out of the audit which is a good thing in terms of our financial management as an association. But I think beyond any other big news really, I think my plan in the near future is to hopefully invite representatives from the Alumni Association Staff to come talk to you all about opportunity and ways to prepare yourselves because y’all are going to be future alumni anyway of this crazy institution known as UCLA. Definitely wanted to connect y’all to some of our board members and look ahead to your post-grad plans. I'll be working closely with someone to do presentations to make meaningful connections with the Board.”
- Fernando: “Look out for an update on Slack for a parking permit tomorrow.”

IX. Old Business

USAC Surplus Proposals

- a. [FSC Lab Coat Expansion Proposal*](#) Yu
- b. [FAC Transportation, Equity & Access: Universal Transit Proposal*](#)
- Ni
- c. [OSAC x FiCom Storage Proposal*](#) J. Wang
- d. [USAC Offices Director Stipend Proposal*](#)
- Velazquez

Office	Director Count	Per Person Pay	Office Total
OP	42	282.372857	11859.6639
IVP	25	281.6078273	7040.195681

GR1	13	293.6433982	3817.364176
GR2	4	297.3142881	1189.257152
GR3	6	296.7390545	1780.434327
FAC	14	293.0215217	4102.301304
FSC	8	296.045622	2368.364976
TSR	20	287.9743138	5759.486275
ISR	9	295.6478365	2660.830529

- Contrary to popular belief, there are not significantly more directors in OP this year compared to last year
 - ~40 each year, last year had 43
- About 60% of OP directors are low-income
- 85% are students of color, 85% women and 5% gender non-binary
- 70% of the OP directors work, 31.6% work two or more jobs
- Director Testimonials:
 - “I often find myself short on money for school. Being compensated for the time spent in USAC would relieve stress.”
 - “A stipend would very much help me pay bills in my family, my dad just started working so I took on two jobs to try and help out”
 - “I am a first-generation, low-income student and I am also a student worker. In order to be able to put forth the required time and effort into my position I have to work less hours. Some weeks I have a total of three meetings dedicated to my committee which of course take time away from my availability to work. This stipend would help make up for the time I cannot dedicate to work and help me pay my housing, school supplies, and other necessities.”
 - “A stipend would allow me to pay for rent, food, transportation, and other expenses.”
 - “USAC is very rewarding, but it does take up time that I could be working more hours. A stipend alleviates a lot of my financial concerns and helps me better focus on my position without having to worry about the cons of the time commitment.”
 - “Although my low income status qualifies me for financial aid, there are a lot of hidden costs including additional course materials that have resulted in a burden to me and my family. These stipends would allow me to be more confident in my classes by having one less thing to worry about.”

- **Total Ask: \$12,265.54 for 42 Directors**

X. New Business

AFT Resolution*

Velazquez

- **A Resolution in Solidarity with UC-AFT**
 - **Sponsor:** Breeze Velazquez
 - **Co-Sponsors:** Cassandra Gatica, Hailey Valles, Angelina Quint, Jane Ni, Promise Ogunleye
- **WHEREAS,** lecturers across the UC have been working without a contract for over 18 months and serve as the backbone of our university; and
- **WHEREAS,** UC President Drake and the UC Regents have approved five consecutive tuition increases over the past year, but have yet to comment on the demands of lecturers, whose median annual salary at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic was \$19,067; and
- **WHEREAS,** the university’s plans to begin reopening the campus this fall places lecturers in extremely precarious conditions, given that they receive disproportionately low pay and few, if any, employment benefits; and

- **WHEREAS**, the UC's bargaining team responded unsatisfactorily to the UC-AFT's last, best offer last June through failure to address the issues of high turnover rates, lack of performance reviews, and widespread uncompensated labor among AFT members¹; and
-
- **WHEREAS**, the UC refuses make the same multi-year investment in their instructors that their students make in the UC by failing to close loopholes that prevent lecturers from having real job security; and
-
- **WHEREAS**, the UC's compensation proposal will most likely fail to account for inflation, resulting in a pay cut for members with regard to the real value of their wages; and
-
- **WHEREAS**, the behavior of the UC in response to AFT's demands for economic dignity are reflective of a disregard for the value that these members contribute to our education and the overall functioning of our university.
-
- **THEREFORE, LET IT FURTHER BE RESOLVED**, that the Undergraduate Students Association Council stand in solidarity with the UCLA Chapter of UC-AFT in their ongoing efforts to secure a fair labor contract for lecturers.
-
- **LET IT FURTHER BE RESOLVED**, that the USAC demands that the UC meet the reasonable demands of the UC AFT at the bargaining table as soon as possible with a contract that respects the full value of their labor.
-
- Jane moves to approve AFT Resolution, Angelina seconds.
- By vote of 11-0-0, the motion passes and the AFT Resolution is passed.

USAC Surplus Proposals

- a. [SWC Menstrual Access Installation Proposal*](#) Jackson
 - BruiNecessities and SWC aims to enhance student health and well-being through the means of supplying and distributing free menstrual products (pads and tampons) in different accessible locations on campus and on the Hill. In addition, we aspire to end the stigma surrounding menstruation and menstrual hygiene through Menstrual Hygiene Fairs, art fairs, and the installation of menstrual hygiene stations with free menstrual products in several publicly visible locations on campus.
 - Our Goal:
 - Our goal is to provide free and accessible menstrual products in all the bathrooms on campus and in residential dorms on the Hill. Our current priority, named the Fall-Winter Pilot, we hope to expand our initiative to the following buildings with a great deal of foot traffic and are central student hubs on campus. We propose to provide menstrual products in all restrooms (male, female, and all gender). Our goal is to provide products on both sides of campus, North and South to provide maximum accessibility as possible for all the students.
 - AB-367: This bill requires the CSU's and each community college district, and would encourage the Regents of the University of California and private universities, colleges, and institutions of higher learning, to stock an adequate supply of menstrual products, available and accessible, free of cost, at no fewer than one designated and accessible central location on each campus and to post a designated notice, as provided.
 - Our Plan:
 - Our current plan involves the Commission paying for a small pilot program to install menstrual access stations in high-traffic buildings to demonstrate to the Administration that we need more than one menstrual accessible bathroom. Additionally, as a basic need, this is an initiative that can't be placed on the backend. We are currently consolidating an extensive menstrual access proposal to VC Beck. In this proposal, we want to be able to show the exact pricing for all of the products and dispensers, as well as, how well and how they pay for it. This will, hopefully, minimize pushback from administration as well as ensure that our project simply gets done. After the pilot, Administration will be fully responsible in paying for the upkeep and installation of the rest of the menstrual stations.

¹ <https://ucaft.org/content/teaching-faculty-bargaining-update-impasse-reached-mediation-scheduled>

- Target Bathrooms: SAC, Powell Library, John Wooden Center and West Wooden, Young Hall, Franz Hall, Physics Astronomy Building, Board Art Center, Campbell Hall, Haines Hall (approx 109 bathrooms)
- To launch our pilot, we will be spending roughly \$16,000-most of this will come from our budget. We are requesting \$8,000 from the first round of Surplus. With this money, we will be able to dramatically expand menstrual access on campus. The Menstrual Access Pilot Project will also help us figure out how students utilize the stations to help us prepare for a full launch (all bathrooms). In funding this project, we will be improving the menstrual health of students on campus in the following ways by providing them access to pads or tampons to all UCLA students and increasing the accessibility to menstrual hygiene products in a safe and clean environment.

- **Total Ask: \$8,000**

b. ~~SWC Basic Needs Subsidy Proposal*~~ ~~Jackson~~

Discussion on Surplus Proposals:

- Josh: “We need to verify numbers to see if any office changed numbers. Please bear with us and if everyone in USAC can please have their updated proposals, please pull them up now so we can move fairly quickly through this process. Ok, I am going to give it over to Cass now.”
- Cassandra: “Ok, so I started the google form with all of the surplus proposals but I still need to verify numbers for Director Stipend Proposals. We had that discussion last week and we have the spreadsheet that was sent in Slack, but I still need your total and confirm with your office that you want to do that approach.”
- The offices and projects are all confirmed.
- Patricia: “In regard to the Director Stipends, as mentioned last time, if you're not hitting the weekly minimum for a stipend, it seems like a lot these are below that range, we won't be able to give a stipend with an honorarium or making them an employee, but what we can do is funding to a bruin card or to the UCLA store. I just want to make sure everyone is aware of that.”
- Breeze: “Vong told me today that we can do Bruin Direct Deposit.”
- Patricia: “ Great so that is different from an honorarium. I just wanted to make sure everyone was aware of what the process would be.”
- Breeze: “ When you do a bruin direct deposit it will work like a financial aid deposit right? And then you can spend it on whatever you want.”
- Patricia: “That's correct. I also wanted to clarify that we can allocate surplus up to \$100,000.”
- Passa pulls up a spreadsheet showing the director stipend amounts for each office and shows the total among all offices. Total from all of the offices would be \$50,127.52 to pay everyone. The total amount from all of the surplus proposals is \$78,127.52 but does not include the proposal that Tay said today. With Tay's proposal the total comes out to \$86,127.52. This did not include Books for Bruins, so with this proposal added the total would be \$104,127.52. Patty made a comment in regard to surplus, council will allocate a maximum of \$150,000 to student group surplus to augment the programming fund, capital item funds and contingency fund according to the following formulas. USA programming should allocate at least 45% to student group surplus available after the following audit and publications of the previous fiscal year. Capital items should be allocated at least 12% available after the closing of the audit. The remainder goes to the contingency fund. To put that into numbers, if you have the full \$150,000 to allocate, 12% is about \$18,000, just to clarify. Based on the bylaws, some money will already go to capital contingency so this money that you're asking for goes to a specific project. Josh said by taking Books for Bruins out, you would be under the \$100,000 you can vote to fully fund everything. Josh recommends that everyone should still go through and vote so that everyone makes sure they agree on the amounts supplied. Breeze does not get to vote unless it ties.

- To fully fund every project, it would cost \$86,127.52. (does not include Books for Bruins) Council is allowed to fully fund every project.
- Cassandra makes a google form so that everyone can vote on the amounts they want to allocate to each surplus proposal. In the google form, council members voted to either fully fund a proposal or they inserted their own number based on how much they think they should allocate to that particular project. This vote would be to determine the numbers that they will later vote on. Breeze is allowed to vote in the google form.
- Once all the averages are all calculated, it will be rounded up to the nearest five or a zero for accounting purposes. This will not make them go over the \$100,000.
- Results from google form are shown in a spreadsheet that is shared among council members.
- Cass: "Emily, do you have any thoughts regarding the total?"
- Emily: "I can work with the total, I'm just curious to hear about row 13."
- Breeze: "I do want to remind people, whoever voted that amount. This money is going back to the university and if we can better use it to help students, I want to know why this person voted down the amount of Menstrual Equity which is kind of needed on our campus for women who may be low-income."
- Cassandra: "I'd like to also hear from the person who didn't vote for the full amount. Like Breeze said the SWC Menstrual Equity program is very needed and I'm just curious why someone voted down that amount. They also voted for less allocations for both Breeze and I's office when both of us talked about how our offices are very diverse and with directors and executives coming from low income backgrounds and first gen students. I feel like our offices would benefit from this model that Passa created that seems very equitable and so I'm very interested to hear why."
- Tay: "And I know the person who allocated less doesn't want to be put on the spot. I don't know if we can make another google form so they can express why because I'm not really sure why Emily's initiative was only \$1,000. I think that is ridiculous. Especially since she explicitly stated in her presentation that she is only asking for surplus funds once for the lab coat expansion. So can we do another google form?"
- Passa: "I mean my opinion is since we are all voting on this and we all value accountability and transparency, I feel like whoever this person should at least inform the public and stakeholders why they think the numbers that they have suggested are reasonable or unreasonable. And I will also say, this person made suggestive cuts to IVP and OP which again were based on the model we used to allocate to each office. The way this model works, if you have more than 35 directors, the per person pay is much smaller. If you look at IVP and OP, the model already puts them on the lower end. They have a lot of folks, keep this in mind because they are already on the lower end of the range."
- Promise: "I just wanted to echo what Passa said about how it is important accountability wise, especially since this person and the issues a lot of people are having about what is being cut and what was not even considered. I just definitely want to echo that if you are the person who did this, put these numbers, it would be great to have a discussion just to hear where you are coming from, not necessarily to change your mind, because that is not what anyone is trying to do. It is important to know why since you are representing students and I guess just wanting to lead by example, I am more than willing to say what I voted for or what line that I was. I was row 8 and I did vote for \$15,000 for OSAC and that mainly came from the importance of this fund to have storage but I also feel like we will need this fund to fund other things in the future so I felt like we shouldn't vote to give the full amount to storage. So, if anyone else wants to come forward."
- Passa: "Yea, I'll follow Prom's move. I was line 11 and similarly I voted to give OSAC a little bit less of their ask because obviously storage is very important for student groups but given how these funds are especially limited this year I thought it was reasonable to cut about a \$1,000 and I also thought that alternatively these groups could apply to other funds out there that could support them in that. It has to do with space and storage and sustainability so I can think of a couple."

- Breeze: "I don't know if anyone at this point in time wants to say anything, if not Patty."
- Patricia: "One thing that I would mention is that there is more opportunity to come forward with surplus and it can be the same project. For example, last fall there was a project that was called Student Leadership that was ran through the IVP office and similarly their proposal was cut by a couple thousand and they received partial funding during the first round of surplus and they came back a little bit later and asked for the remaining amount and they were able to receive that at that time. Like Tay said that she might want more funding for the Menstrual program and that might be an example of coming back later and asking for the remaining amount in the second round. So I just wanted to remind you that there is more opportunity if you want to move forward with the average amount."
- Breeze: "Yes thank you Patty. I feel like the council knows about that, they just wanted to know why this individual speaks as to why."
- Tay: "I just wanted to ask if we could re vote again if they changed their mind, or is that unethical?"
- Breeze: "Yea, I don't think we can vote again but moving forward next time, I think we have learned our lesson about speaking openly about how much we want to vote for each proposal."
- Promise: "I was just going to say that if you don't agree on the amount being voted on, and the vote fails then it could be potentially voted again."
- Breeze: "Wait Prom, if it does fail, would we vote on a new amount of what we would do then?"
- Promise: "Wouldn't it be like if you don't agree with it and you vote np, is it you don't get that fund amount or would it be like you don't get funding at all. I guess that is also a question."
- Josh: "It depends on how you motion, but if the motion is to move forward with the funding based on the amounts, the majority would have to vote in favor and it would pass with the amount. If the majority votes negative, the vote fails and I would say that we do one of two things. We would have to have a discussion of why the vote failed. If it was the amount then we would revote either this week or next week. So Promise is technically correct, if the vote fails, we could come back. I just want to clarify, Patty, is there a time constraint on this vote. Does it have to happen this week?"
- Patricia: "No, it's just the time constraint is when the students will have access to these projects."
- Promise: "I was just going to quickly say that since there are folks who are upset that some numbers aren't fully funded, that if it does fail, people would still be in the place to vote again today, especially on a different number. "
- Josh: "I just wanted to point out that there can also be an amendment that can be made to fully vote and majority would need to pass that for full funds if the majority of the council votes on that then we can move on to a full vote. So that is another option to amend this proposal. So one of the two can take place."
- Breeze: "So, Prom is saying that would be preferable. Do folks want to make an amendment and then vote for full amounts?"
- Tay: "Yes, please."
- Breeze: "Ok, perfect. I just want to remind folks that if we don't spend this money, it will just go back to the University. So if we can spend it on lab coats, it would be better spent that way, but that is just my thoughts. "
- Emily: "Honestly I said that it could work because technically it can an dI was trying to be diplomatic but I feel like it's going to help students and as I mentioned in my proposal that it is an expensive academic expense for many students and there is a large demand for it among students. Also again the size problem is a huge problem because we only have tiny people sizes which is not ideal. And it also is like the \$1,000 in particular was very small and I wish there had been

more discussion about that because I feel like that is a signifier of someone who has a pretty big problem with it and I wish we could have discussed that.”

- Promise: “I wanted to clarify in regard to the voting because I want us to get to a vote. If we are able to vote per item, can't we just like when we are doing the vote per item, whoever motions and you can say to amend that amount and we can vote on whether or not we want that amount. Does that make sense?”
- Josh: “We can go down and just follow it down. George, I might need your help here. I think we need to make a motion to move forward with individual voting down the line for each one and if the majority votes yes on that then we can move forward with what Prom says. We need to pull ourselves out of this average ena dwe need to move forward with a plan of what we want to do.”
- George: “I think it is a good idea to vote individually to proceed with what Promise has provided. “
- Josh: “When we move forward it will be a public vote.”
- Jane: “I just wanted to read it, if it's not in the minutes yet or not, I know that Promise and Passa had said out loud what they voted for in the average thing and I just wanted to put in the minutes that myself, Emily, Hailey, Angie, Mominah, Tayloneei, and Sarah had all voted on the form to fully fund every proposal and to not change the amount in the averages.”
- **Requested Allocations for Each Proposal:**
 - FSC Lab Coat Expansion: \$5,000
 - FAC Transportation, Equity, and Access: \$3,000
 - OSAC x FiCom Storage Proposal: \$20,000
 - USAC Director Stipend for Office of the President: \$12,265.54
 - USAC Director Stipend for Office of the Internal Vice President: \$7,438.25
 - USAC Director Stipend for Office of the General Representative 1: \$4,612.90
 - USAC Director Stipend for Office of the General Representative 2: \$1,984.82
 - USAC Director Stipend for Office of the General Representative 3: \$2,973.09
 - USAC Director Stipend for Office of the Facilities Commissioner: \$5,691.52
 - USAC Director Stipend for Office of the Transfer Student Representative: \$6,952.08
 - USAC Director Stipend for Office of the Financial Support Commissioner: \$3,561.08
 - USAC Director Stipend for Office of the International Student Representative: \$3,853.53
 - SWC Menstrual Access Installation: \$8,000
- Promise motions to move forward with voting on the allocations for surplus individually, Jane seconds.
- By vote of 13-0-0, the motion passes and the amendment is approved.

FSC Lab Coat Expansion Surplus Proposal:

- Emily moves to allocate \$5,000 from surplus to FSC Lab Coat Expansion, Tayloneei seconds.
- By vote of 12-1-0, the motion passes and \$5,000 is allocated to FSC Lab Coat Expansion.

FAC Transportation, Equity, and Access Surplus Proposal:

- Jane moves to allocate \$3,000 to FAC Transportation, Equity, and Access, Hailey seconds
- By vote of 12-1-0, the motion passes and \$3000 is allocated to FAC Transportation, Equity, and Access.

OSAC x FiCom Storage Surplus Proposal:

- Jenny moves to allocate \$20,000 to OSAC x FiCom Storage Proposal, Hailey seconds
- Promise moves to amend to allocate \$18,804 to OSAC x FiCom Storage, Tayloneei seconds.
- By vote of 13-0-0, the motion passes and \$18,084 is allocated to OSAC x FiCom Storage.

SWC Menstrual Access Installation Surplus Proposal:

- Tayloneei moves to allocate \$8,000 to SWC Menstrual Access Installation, Hailey seconds.

- By vote of 13-0-0, the motion passes and \$8,000 is allocated to SWC Menstrual Access Installation

USAC Director Stipend for the Office of the President Surplus Proposal:

- Cassandra moves to allocate \$12,265.54 to the Director Stipend for the Office of the President, Promise seconds
- By vote of 13-0-0, the motion passes and \$12,265.54 is allocated to the Director Stipend for the Office of the President.

USAC Director Stipend for the Office of the Internal Vice President Surplus Proposal:

- Cassandra moves to allocate \$7,438.25 to the Director Stipend for the Office of the Internal Vice President, Hailey seconds.
- By vote of 12-1-0, the motion passes and \$7,438.25 is allocated to the Director Stipend for the Office of the Internal Vice President.

USAC Director Stipend for the Office of the General Representative 1 Surplus Proposal:

- Hailey moves to allocate \$4,612.90 to the Director Stipend for the Office of the General Representative 1, Promise seconds
- By vote of 12-1-0, the motion passes and \$4,612.90 is allocated to the Director Stipend for the Office of the General Representative 1.

USAC Director Stipend for the Office of the General Representative 2 Surplus Proposal:

- Passa moves to allocate \$1,984.82 to the Director Stipend for the Office of the General Representative 2, Promise seconds
- By vote of 12-1-0, the motion passes and \$1,984.82 is allocated to the Director Stipend for the Office of the General Representative 2.

USAC Director Stipend for the Office of the General Representative 3 Surplus Proposal:

- Tayloneei moves to allocate \$2,973.09 to the Director Stipend for the Office of the General Representative 3, Jane seconds.
- By vote of 12-0-0, the motion passes and \$2,973.09 is allocated to the Director Stipend for the Office of the General Representative 3.

USAC Director Stipend for the Office of the Facilities Commissioner Surplus Proposal:

- Jane moves to allocate \$5,691.52 to the Director Stipend for the Office of the Facilities Commissioner, Natalia seconds.
- By vote of 12-1-0, the motion passes and \$5,691.52 is allocated to the Director Stipend for the Office of the Facilities Commission.

USAC Director Stipend for the Office of the Transfer Student Representative Surplus Proposal:

- Herman moves to allocate \$6,952.08 to the Director Stipend for the Office of the Transfer Student Representative, Tayloneei seconds.
- By vote of 12-1-0, the motion passes and \$6,952.08 is allocated to the Director Stipend for the Office of the Transfer Student Representative.

USAC Director Stipend for the Office of the Financial Support Commission Surplus Proposal:

- Emily moves to allocate \$3,561.08 to the Director Stipend for the Office of the Financial Support Commission, Herman seconds.
- By vote of 12-1-0, the motion passes and \$3,561.08 is allocated to the Director Stipend for the Office of the Financial Support Commission.

USAC Director Stipend for the Office of the International Student Representative Surplus Proposal:

- Natalia moves to allocate \$3,853.53 to the Director Stipend for the Office of the International Student Representative, Cassandra seconds
- By vote of 12-1-0, the motion passes and \$3,853.53 is allocated to the Director Stipend for the Office of the International Student Representative.

Total Allocated: \$83,421.00

Josh: "We are rounding up to the nearest dollar for accounting purposes. We will always round up to the nearest dollar when disbursing the amount."

USAC Fall Council Meetings: In Person

Velazquez

- Suggesting that next council meeting be in person (11/16/21)
- Public comment would be allowed to be in room (6 people max)
- Hybrid options would be available- people can stream in (ex. people for public comment would be able to zoom in)
- Can't do hybrid with council members, Patricia recommends in person for council members because it can get very complicated, have done it in the past and ran into technical difficulties
- Hailey is not sure if she wants to do for accessibility reasons (might not be most conducive setting for things to be productive)
- Sarah seconds what Hailey and says she worries about health
- Breeze upset about screens off , makes people not as engaged
- Jane works with Fernando to make hybrid more of an option even for council members if they were sick or something
- Promise agrees with Jane and wants to advocate to at least one in person council meeting this quarter (helpful to feel what being in council feels like)
- What do they feel about going back in person in winter quarter (wouldn't start until week 2)
- Tayloneei is fine with doing a test drive next week.

- Tayloneei motions to meet in person for the next fall council meeting, Promise seconds.
- By vote of 12-0-0 the motions passes and the next meeting will occur in the Bruin Viewpoint at 7pm.

15 people are expected at the meeting.

USAC Fall Retreat Discussion: In Person/Online*

Gatica

- Cassandra discussed having in person retreat instead of an online one (wants to hear thoughts and vote on it)
- Emily thinks it would be good to have an in-person retreat but everyone needs to share the understanding that if you are feeling sick you don't come.
- Following Covid-19 guidelines is very important for the retreat.
- Outdoor activities could be the focus.

- Tayloneei motions to have USAC Fall Retreat in person, Passa seconds
- By vote of 13-0-0, motion passes and the USAC Fall Retreat will happen in person.

XI. Adjournment*

Velazquez

- Breeze adjourns meeting at 10:15pm.

Good and Welfare;

* Indicates Action Item
Indicates Consent Item
@Indicates Executive Session Item