AGENDA
UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION COUNCIL
February 1, 2022
7 PM PST
Zoom: https://ucla.zoom.us/s/97123303841

I. Call to Order
- Breeze calls the meeting to order at 7:05pm.

A. Signing of the Attendance Sheet
- Signed via google docs

II. Approval of Agenda*

- Strike Bruin Advocacy Grant Allocations
- Strike Capital Contingency Allocations
- Strike ASRF Allocations
- Strike OccuSpace Proposal
- Strike AAC Travel Mini Grant Allocations
- Strike TGIF
- Add Letter for Academic Lencieny
- Strike SWC Programming Fund

- Tayloneci moves to approve the agenda for 2/1/22 as amended, Jane seconds.
- By vote of 10-0-0, the motion passes and the agenda for 2/1/22 is approved as amended.

III. Approval of the minutes*

- Cassandra moves to approve the minutes from 1/25/22, Promise seconds.
- By vote of 11-0-0, the motion passes and the minutes from 1/25/22 are approved.

IV. Public Comment

- Quinn O’Connor: “Thank you Breeze, I am Quinn O’Connor. I am one of the co-founders of the Diasabled Student Union and I am here on the second floor of Murphy Hall participating in the diabled student union, USAC, and mother org coalition sit in in protest of administration’s complete lack of disregard, complete disregard for students of color and disabled students especially during a global pandemic. I am in front of Micheal Beck’s office currently who is one of the co-chairs of the Covid Response and Recovery Task Force who are in charge of making these decisions that are directly harming students of color and disabled students during this global pandemic. I am calling on all of the council to get your offices, get people from your office who are all able to come out to Murphy Hall tomorrow at 9:00am to continue with this sit-in. We have had people sleeping overnight last night and we will continue to sit here until administration agrees to our demands and comes to the table with promises and solutions that are followed through to benefit us as underrepresented communities. And also for all of the council members who are here in this hallway today, thank you for all those council members who have participated virtually throughout this week. Thank you and please continue to do so and call on your staff and because we as a student body united can show immense power against this administration who has continuously disregarded our needs throughout the pandemic as well as the past decade and a half. Thank you.”

- Hannah Reagan: “Hi, I am here as OSAC chair and council thank you for giving me this space. I am here to let everyone know that the office space allocation committee is officially opened and it closes Friday of Week 10. All student organizations who are interested in applying for office space in Kerckhoff are required to apply even if they have office space this year. Even though council USAC offices are automatically given office spaces, OSAC would still greatly appreciate it if all USAC offices could also apply. Please reach out to me with any questions. WE are hosting workshops a week from tonight and Tuesday of week 8 to answer any questions that anyone has. More information on
Anonymous: “Hi, I am a graduate student and I just want to say that as a disabled graduate student I have been repeatedly denied equal access to education here at UCLA. I stand in full solidarity with DSU and mother coalition needs of students who are paying them so just lots of questions. Thank you to council for all of your hard work.”

Sachi Cooper: “Hi all, I am here to speak in support of the DSU, USAC and mother coalition strike for hybrid access to education. We want universal hybrid access to education as being essential for communities especially communities of color given that we are the ones that are affected most by this pandemic. I also wanted to uplift the secondary cause of this protest since while hybrid education universal access to hybrid education is our primary cause. That case of neglect is not isolated. It is emblematic, it is symptomatic of a much broader trend of neglect within student affairs and within the university as a whole. Specifically, I want to bring light to the mother organizations specific demands which are the same demands that we have echoed since last year and the same demand for resources, programming space, community space etc. for 1700 black students because that's how many black students we got on this campus right now not to mention staff, not to mention faculty, and everybody else who programs and needs resources and needs things in this place. It's not enough. They got money for these administrative salaries and these budgets they need to put money into these resources. So I need you guys to really just look deep today and you know do the right thing to vote and to continue to the student body members. Continue to come out and support your mother org leadership, your USAC leadership. We are literally out here fighting for everybody’s future. Everybody to have an equitable and accessible education. That’s what DSU is here for, that’s what ASU is here for, that's what the ML coalition is here for, that’s what this whole thing is here for. That's what this whole thing is about to make sure our communities have the resources they need, the opportunities that they need to be successful. So thank you guys for coming out today.”

Ryan Factora: “Hi, my name is Ryan. My pronouns are he/him. I am a second year and I am the retention coordinator of Samahang Filipino. I am also here on the second floor of Murphy Hall. First of all, I wanted to reaffirm Quinn and the DSU's demands and let them know that Samahang Filipino as one of the 10 mother organizations in the coalition stand in full solidarity and support with the DSU’s demands. We see universal hybrid education as being essential for communities especially communities of color given that we are the ones that are affected most by this pandemic. I also wanted to uplift the secondary cause of this protest since while hybrid education universal access to hybrid education is our primary cause. That case of neglect is not isolated. It is emblematic, it is symptomatic of a much broader trend of neglect within student affairs and within the university as a whole. Specifically, I want to bring light to the mother organizations specific demands which are the same demands that we have echoed since last year and the same demand we have been owed for more than a decade. Now the community programs office is an administrative designee for our CRC and SIOC projects as is shown by constant harassment, gaslighting of student workers or student staff, of CPO employees, as well as the mismanagement of our student fees. SO all of us stand together in solidarity. We want universal hybrid access to education. We don't want CPO to be administrators over all of our projects at the end of the day. I also want to echo Someone's words. That all of us really are just advocating for all of our communities in their own ways to get the resources they need to thrive at this university because this university was not made for our bodies. It wasn't made with our interests in mind and it has been students continually who have had to fight for the resources we need to survive here.”

Sachi Cooper: “Hi all, I am here to speak in support of the DSU, USAC and mother coalition strike for hybrid access to education. I just wanted to kind of empathize with the university through the channel of USAC that as students we are paying for the school and it is really our choice what we do with our education at the end of the day. And so I don't really understand and I don't even know if I ever will understand why it is so difficult to allow students to have control over their own education that they are paying for. Ultimately UCLA is providing a service, that we are paying for and the fact that the service is not only inaccessible but also is directly putting students in harm’s way is just really unacceptable especially for a public institution to do. SO you know I wanted to raise that to the university. I also wanted to raise the question of why the university seems to intent on prioritizing the needs of staff at the current moment who they are paying which is great but not prioritizing the needs of students who are paying them just so much questions. Thank you to council for all of your hard work.”

Anonymous: “Hi, I am a graduate student and I just want to say that as a disabled graduate student I have been repeatedly denied equal access to education here at UCLA. I stand in full solidarity with DSU and mother coalition.
demands and I want to also validate what has been said by many of the very brave student leaders throughout regarding their interaction with administrators and administration is not transparent and it has to stop. They are requiring us as students to give hours and days and weeks of our time for free in good faith to make positive change at this university and they are pretending that they don’t know what we are asking for. They are pretending that they need to check with someone else. They are giving us different information on purpose to frustrate our efforts and that has to stop. There is a culture of corruption and lying with the administration and that is completely unfair and I just want to let you all know that I appreciate your calling it out and I appreciate your being strong against the gaslighting and the absolution resistance that you are facing with the administration. Thank you.”

- (written comment): “I was a disabled student at UCLA and benefitted incredibly from hybrid learning. I got to go to class even when my cardiac illness was slightly exacerbated before the pandemic. I would have to miss class constable because I felt too sick to get out of bed or too tired to get myself to the classroom. With remote options I can go to class from the comfort of my own home and not have to sacrifice my body for a lecture. Remote options make me feel safe. We are still in a pandemic and people are still dying. If I got covid, I would probably end up very ill in the hospital. It would take me weeks, even months or years to recover and I could die. I can not afford to risk my life to come to all my classes in person. I know many other people share the same experience with me too. Remote options need to be permanently implemented. You need to stop the start of confidence students need to be able to go to class online if they get exposed. In a post-pandemic world, we would still all benefit from remote options. For many disabled people remote options are already rights. It is a necessary affirmation that should never be taken away from us. Going to class in person is a luxury we can not afford. We need it to thrive academically and graduate on time. Thank you.”

- Public Comment concluded at 7:21pm.

- Promise motions to reopen public comment at 7:43, Jane seconds.
- By vote of 10-0-0, the motion passes and public comment is reopened.

- Tayloneei (reading letter sent to DSU): “The pigs in charge of our health and safety and learning environment and conditions are picking and choosing who they are willing to sacrifice and hurt and efforts to make their pockets bigger. THAN people putting us in harmful life positions are proving themselves to be exponentially undeniably intersectionality racist classes and ableists. This pig deserves to rot in hell for putting us in danger. They will use it for their benefit and dispose of us the moment we demand better. They will put us on posters and advertise their brand, but they will discard and silence us when they speak up about their abusive actions towards us. We may be vulnerable but we are valuable beyond measure and we will be heard and listened to.”

- Tayloneei (reading second letter sent to DSU): “UCLA’s handling of the Kovic crisis has left me feeling terrified and alone and only the solidarity of the organizations and the student strike has made me feel like I am not imagining the trauma and tragedy of this pandemic. So thank you.”

- Tayloneei (reading third letter sent to DSU): “The transition back to in-person learning has been tactless and clumsy. There is no time for students or instructors to prepare their classrooms. Many of whom have not taught a live lecture in two years. This had nothing to do with wanting to bring students back but to cover their behinds of the administration. We are still dealing with a pandemic that is dangerous for many and a hybrid model of learning is necessary and should be the standard going forward. Thank you.”

- Public Comment is concluded at 7:47pm.

V. Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital Contingency*</th>
<th>J. Wang</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contingency Programming*</td>
<td>J. Wang</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Allocation: $8,602.13 to 16 non USAC entities and 1 USAC entity

- Promise moves to allocate $8,602.13 to 16 non USAC entities and 1 USAC entity, Jane seconds.
- By vote of 11-0-0, the motion passes and $8,602.13 is allocated to 16 non USAC entities and 1 USAC entity and Contingency Programming is approved.
SFS Allocations# 
- Allocation: $984.76 to CSC groups and $300 to non CSC groups
- No opposition, passes as a consent item.

SWC Programming Fund Allocations#  

Bruin Advocacy Grant Allocations#  

AGRF Allocations#  

AAC Travel Mini-Grant Allocations#  

ARC Allocations#  
- Allocation: $22,163.26 to 12 non USAC entities
- No opposition, passes as a consent item.

VI. Special Presentations

VII. Appointments

VIII. Officer Reports

A. President  
- Last Thursday, I had a meeting with both the Academic Senate and leadership within the university.
- I also had several meetings with the Covid Response and Recovery Task Force where student needs were really disregarded and nothing was paid attention to.
- In meetings with several leaders at other UC campuses in terms of how they are supporting their students, UCSB and UCSD have brought on more equipment so that students can have a remote option and UCD is going as far to send students in to record classes. This was disappointing because it is much more than UCLA is doing.
- When I brought this point up in my meeting with the Academic Senate, they said that they would look into it but there was no follow-up.
- They canceled a Covid Response and Recovery Task Force which says a lot because we are moving into a big week of move and the fact that they canceled it says a lot.
- I had a meeting with Monroe Gordon in which I addressed the ongoing issues with CPO, the BRRC not having necessary funds and the covid situation and again none of these needs were met. I was told that I should speak with the Academic Senate, but the Academic Senate told me their hands were tied.
- My office sent referendums forward to be approved so thank you to Josh for helping with that.
- Late on Friday night we decided to collaborate with the mother orgs and DSu to stand in solidarity and start off with a strike to protest lack of hybrid options. The reason you see all these students here right now is because of the fact that UCLA administrators were not here when we showed up. We found out today that Chancellor Block and Gordon are not in town right now and yet they are requiring all of you to be in person.
- We met with the Vice Chancellor who has been very helpful who said that professors' academic freedom exists but if academic freedom exists for professors and this whole time they have been requiring professors to teach remotely why can't they do it now.
- During this meeting, I got a lot of messages from the student body who informed me of the potential of a mass shooter on campus. At this point, I interrupt and tell the Chancellor and he just tells me that oh we have been keeping tabs on him. So they knew about this but they didn't say anything about it. We were informed during our meeting that he was on the east coast, and came to find out that he was not on the east coast. So this just goes to show UCLA's transparency and lack of communication to the student body.
- Please come out Wednesday at 9:00am and support the strike.

B. Internal Vice President  
- Off campus living fair is this Friday from 6-7 via zoom reigastation on IVP’s instagram.
- We are also having a digital brochure for students who don't attend so they can still have access to the information that is being shared.
- I recently met with SGA and the ECRT to discuss the Housing Relief Award Initiative to plan out how this can be achieved.
- We are trying to finalize the off campus housing resource guide for students so that they can know their renter rights and all of that information.
- The Campus Safety Alliance is looking into plans to speak with administracion about how the threat made yesterday should have been handled better and the student body should have been informed better given that we were only informed officially at 12am today.

C. External Vice President

- We have a Title IX panel coming up in a couple weeks on February 16th at 5:00pm.
- We also have our first candidate debate for CD5 on February 28th at 6:00pm. We are currently welcoming moderators and representatives from different groups to come and ask questions. It is a great chance to connect with your representatives.
- On the UCSA front, for the UC We Vote campaign, we are trying to establish a non-instructional or at least asynchronous election day. We know we have the infrastructure to make most classes asynchronous but the academic senate was not very friendly to this idea so we are shifting to more of a call of action and trying to get faculty to sign on. If anyone is interested in giving a student testimonial please reach out. I would love to include you all in the campaign.
- I also want to remind you that for all the protests that are happening, they qualify for up to 2,000 per orang per quarter so we would really love to help fund these things. We are also able to do retroactive funding so if any of these things need to be reimbursed we would be happy to work with you on how to get that covered.
- SCA5 passed the senate floor so this increased the student voting power on the board of regents. SO currently only one of our student regents has full voting powers and this would give us a second student. The next step is going to the assembly and it is looking good so far so that is exciting.

D. General Representative 1 Written

External Updates:

I. Bridging the Gap
   A. Met with representative from the academic senate committee on general education governance
   B. Will be connecting with GE Committee Chair to push for the addition of STREAM GE

II. Decoding Academia
   A. Cohosting with Rewritten and SWC for You Belong Here campaign workshop on Thursday!

III. Foster Youth Advocacy
   A. Proposed Foster Youth Advocacy Scholarship!

IV. Seat in the Classroom
   A. Recruited 2 new directors!

Internal Updates:

I. REGROW
   A. N/A

II. Social Media
   A. Posting advertisements for office events!

III. Community Over Competition
   A. Preparing for Holistic Wellness Coalition meeting and meeting with CAPS

IV. Finances:
   A. N/A

V. Chief of Staff + GR1:
   A. Working on resolution and presentation on project collaboration with AAC
   B. Presenting for workshop for YBH campaign with SWC
   C. Will be meeting with CAPS administration to give updates on Holistic wellness coalition
   D. Met to review SIOC + CRC proposal
   E. Hosting a holistic wellness coalition meeting w 6 and working on starting up social media!

E. General Representative 2 Written

F. General Representative 3 Written
- Met with Executive Director of Ashe Center to discuss covid-testing and specific student oriented concerns regarding isolation practices, false positive covid tests and covid test supply.
- Participated in the DSU led protest as a safety proctor in order to promote free speech and help students.
- Attended UCLA Administration Townhall and completed and released a recap.
- Scheduled follow up meeting with Vice Chancellor Monroe Gordon regarding follow up on student complaints.

G. Academic Affairs Commissioner

- Myself and some other council members are working on a letter calling for academic leniency for midterms and exams amid the rising stress level of the student body. Not only are we in a pandemic but students are having to fear for their health and safety.
- In the meeting yesterday with Micheal Beck and the academic senate chair, they said it would be inconvenient for professors to offer remote options but they switched to remote for today so pointing out that hypocrisy as well.
- I am also starting monthly meetings with the academic senate for greater transparency and communication between the UC’s and the academic sensations to the UGC.
- The DSU and I are putting together a video in partnership with the center for advancement on teaching to make professors more aware of the ways they can promote hybrid options in their classes. This video will be filmed and released during Week 6.
- We have been inviting different student groups to participate including parenting students, transfer students and sidabled students.
- I onboarded the internship cohort.
- Our access and equity team hosted a resume workshop in partnership with the career center yesterday and my campus of care team is working on expanding access to Syllabi through an initiative in partnership with the UCLA library where professors provide their syllabi through an open access for students to view in advance prior to enrollment.

H. Campus Events Commission Written

I. Community Service Commissioner Written

J. Cultural Affairs Commissioner Written

K. Facilities Commissioner Written

L. Financial Supports Commissioner Written
- The FSC Academic Materials Rental Program (lab coats, goggles, iClickers) will begin Week 5, with a new accountability system.
-Canceled Day 2 when safety concerns arose with the shooting threat.
-GR2 and I met with the Economic Crisis Response Team (ECRT) director Serifa de la Cruz next week to discuss the possibility of working with ECRT to help non-California resident students with fees (travel related) incurred due to changes in the return to campus procedures for winter quarter. Made a surplus
-Reminder to all that the UCLA store is running the Blue Books and Scantron Sheets program that FSC helped coordinate, in which 6 of those materials each will be made available to any student who asks at the UCLA Store counter.
- Sat in and slept through the night in Murphy Hall in the Strike for Safety Protest.
-It's been a really rough 48 hours quite honestly.

M. Student Wellness Commissioner Written
N. Transfer Student Representative Written

● Herman Luis Chavez will be presenting at the National Institute for the Study of Transfer Students (NISTS) National Conference alongside the Transfer Student Center from 2/1-2/5 and will be out of office for that time. For emergencies or urgent questions, please contact Abeeha Hussain, Executive Office Assistant (tsr.execoa@usac.ucla.edu)!
● Stipended Positions on Executive Board AVAILABLE NOW! We are looking for two Executive Board member positions:
  ○ Co-Director of Transfer Summer Bridge: work with a committee of students with a drive to provide incoming transfer students with a comprehensive program as a welcome to UCLA!
  ○!NEW! Transfer Historian: work closely with the TSR to interview organizations and administration to curate a Transfer History Project.
  ○ Please apply using this link: TSR Executive Board Application
● APPLICATIONS EXTENDED: The Office of the TSR is recruiting Staff! Work amongst students who are passionate about student government, advocacy and educational opportunities for the transfer community! Deadline to apply is 11:59pm on February 11th, 2022.
  ○ Please apply using this link: http://bit.ly/TSRWinterApp22
● Winter TSR Office Hours are Tuesdays and Thursdays from 11 AM - 12 PM Pacific time, exclusively online for the time being. Students can schedule 15 minute meetings with the TSR. If more time is needed or anticipated, please reach out to Herman at tsr@usac.ucla.edu
  ○ https://calendly.com/transferrep/winter-oh
● Administrators or Daily Bruin reporters can also schedule a meeting with Herman depending on the purpose of the meeting:
  ○ UCLA Staff or Administration: https://calendly.com/transferrep/tsradmin
  ○ Daily Bruin Reporters: https://calendly.com/transferrep/tsr-db-interview

O. International Student Representative Written
Q. Administrative Representatives

- Josh: “Hello friends, I am actually in the same building as all of you, Murphy Hall. I just want to remind you to please be safe during this time and take care of yourself. I know that we are in the middle of midterms so please make sure that you are taking care of yourself during this time and each other. Just a final reminder that any ballots, anything that needs to go onto the ballot is due to me by this Friday, really it was last Friday so that I can get that up to setting it up this week and hopefully have an answer for you by next week so stand by that. Thanks everyone.”

- George: “Hi everyone, I hope everyone is doing okay after an intense two days of really scary news. Happy new year and year of the tiger for those who celebrate. No big updates again from the alumni association aspect of it all, but you know we are pushing a spark campaign to provide funds for the UCLA BBRC so you know if you want me to send you that link I can send that link on the slack but just know that alumni are supporting this initiate as much as we can. Other than that there are no other big updates for me.”

- Orlando: “Hello everyone, I would just like to echo what everyone is saying. Please, I know it's been a rough and tough week so hang in there and be safe. You definitely have support. Thank you.”
Fernando: “Hi, everyone, great to see you. Echoing Fernando, it is really wonderful to see so many of you over the last couple weeks and also your team members who have been doing such amazing work so it's nice to get a chance to connect. I am really excited. We are doing a couple collaborations coming up in the coming weeks. We have our WesCom student terrace movie night collaborating with CEC so I am really excited to be showing kind of a post Valentine’s day outdoor movie. It was really fun colloraint in the fall and look forward to doing that again. We are also collaborating with the Dashu Center for a Super Bowl watch party and so I’ll send out some flyers. So if anyone wants to join the celebration and looking forward to more meetings in the future and if you need anything we are here to support you.”

IX. Old Business
USAC Surplus Discussion*

X. New Business

Election Board Guidelines

- Palmer: “I just wanted to get this out of the way real quick because I know you all are doing a lot of important stuff right now but I just want to be sure that we give everyone the opportunity to have ample time to run a campaign. I know there is like a lot of important issues going on right now so I just want to make sure everyone has good access to office this year. Okay, the big update is just changing the social media guidelines. The main change that is going to happen here, like the social media guidelines, is just the document that we show all of the candidates so they know what they can and can't post on social media or how to run a social media campaign. So the main thing that we are doing here is just eliminating all the use of hashtags. Like as a requirement so I don't want to make people have to put the hashtag or potentially get like a sanction or a fine if they don’t include hashtags. I am just eliminating that by changing the word must to should. I am keeping everything else the same. You can look through like these are all the areas where I change it so instead of saying the hashtag must be included, I say it should. That is because I still think it is useful to have a hashtag on these. It is good for organized posts and everything but I just dont think it is like something that I am going to use my staffers and resources to hunt down people that didn't put a hashtag on a social media post. All these hange are just changing the wording. I wanted to change that when someone reposts something like if your friend wants to post something for you and they are not apart of the campaign I don't think they should have to include some sort of disclaimer of hashtag because I don't want to have to use my staffers to go through people’s posts who aren't related to the campaign at all and then attach sanctions to your campaign because you are not responsible for their actions. They are individual people and they are not associated with your campaign. The last thing is not something we need to vote on or anything but just something to put in about reddit for the cheat sheet and it just says that like we are sorry but we can not be hunting down anonymous users on reddit so i encourage people to just direct their campaigning way from reddit. I know it is a very toxic environment and we are all going to have to deal with something this year, but I just wanted to make sure like before anyone steps into the campaigning sphere that they know that reddit is potentially a pretty toxic area and the elections board isn't really super able to police anonymous users so that is pretty much all the social media changes here.”

- Promise: “Yeah, I think my only thing would be, I guess, in the part about the reddit thing. If we are going to say you can't regulate it, then no candidates should be able to campaign on reddit. I think if it is not going to be regulated in any way then people should not campaign on it.”

- Palmer: “Yeah totally, the main thing is that we kind of discussed just getting rid of reddit entirely and we decided that having no rpescens on reddit could actually be a little bit worse in this case and could just encourage people to like if they know that no one is going to read these comments no one cares about it or like they are banning reddit it is going to infuriate this body. I know like the body of people who use reddit or like we are being toxic during the campaign or the kind of people that like if you tell them they can’t do something they’re going to just make it worse and make it even more toxic. I think the best way for me was just to ignore it and say okay like obviously if someone has their name on their campaign like we do have regulations for campaigning on reddit. We had just said that we are giving a disclaimer that we can’t really do anything about that.

- Promise: “Okay, for me, I don’t necessarily know it like. I get that and I don't want to exclude that population of students of course but I think it would probably be best if election day was the only one posting on reddit and not
like the other candidates because not all candidates can actually safely campaign on reddit. I feel like if communication is going out it should be going out like an election board which is supposed to not necessarily be a part of anyone’s specific campaign and I think that would probably be the most fair way to write that."

- Breeze: “I wanted to add something. I guess to this point. So I am thinking that if there is some sort of way when some rhetoric gets spilled that is racist or is untrue, speaking particularly you know for like some folks on council that you know had stuff that wasn’t factual spread about them on the internet. I am wondering if there is some sort of way that then like other council members or other candidates can have some sort of rule about where, regardless of who is running, to be positive about it and go and respond to those and have people cleaning in here as well. If there is a way so that like any other candidate who is running in this election or all of the elections can go on there and make sure that they respond and say hey that is not true and actually make sure that every candidate is being held accountable and no lies are being spread about anyone. We really want to make sure the environment is very positive. I know sometimes it can be very traumatizing and people are left crying and it is just no fun for you all and not fun for the candidates themselves. I don’t know if that is something that has been considered.”

- Palmer: “Yeah, so I think that’s a great idea and I’ll definitely include something like that on here again. Again this is just part of the disclaimer. It is not any sort of official rule that needs to be voted on. It is just some specific work and I just want to be transparent with you all by like showing you this but this is part of the cheat sheet which is actually not part of the document with any sort of social media guidelines. The guidelines around reddit themselves are not actually being changed at all. It would just be me including this disclaimer in a cheat sheet for candidates and then what you said Breeze, I think that is a great idea and I will also include something along those lines which like be sure you support fellow candidates when you see something, say something sort of thing. I really like that so I will definitely keep that in mind.”

- Promise: “I was just going to quickly speak about that. I think if we do let people post on reddit then it should be required for them to say what the truth is. If they post untrue things then they have to say that it was untrue. Some sort of mandate would probably be good also.”

- Palmer: “Yeah, awesome, I think sanctioning people for false information is definitely a big part of like any sort of thing in the social media guidelines. I know that what I have provided here is the entirety of that but it kind of sets up our discretion in terms of how we would punish someone who violated that and so yeah, I think that is a great point. So yeah, Promise, that is a great point. If someone is saying false information they should be the one to go to the comments and say like this is wrong and this is why it’s wrong.”

- Jane: “Somebody who was on reddit last year and got kind of a lot of hate and like I think the most important thing to note about that is like if you put that on the internet, it is literally there forever. If I google my own name right now like reddit will come and up and I think it is really important that we emphasize that there is strict rules that like if anyone even if its anonymous is posting like something negative about a candidate, all the other candidates need to immediately be in there and be like this is false, this rhetoric is so bad and I also don’t know if we have any. I know like the UCLA Reddit would have like a moderate right like they have mods. If we could connect or permanently you can connect with them and talk to them before and how they also need to post that like anything that is defaming or just rude should be deleted by the moderators if possible. If anything that can be proven, I think some people are entitled to say you know whatever they would lie and that is completely you know everyone can have their own thoughts on candidates that are part of a fair election. I think that the issue is the fact that if it is factual and like that the elections board can find out that it is factual that needs to be pointed out and everyone needs to go and make sure. I think also, Palmer, If you want to like I would be down to meet with you and talk about it and help out with the election code before it gets presented to council.”

- Palmer: “I think the one thing was that for these social media changes, like since these are done, I think that is good because I wanted to drop the candidate packets this week but again we can update those throughout campaign season and online campaigning won’t start until Week 2 spring quarter. We yeah, we have plenty of time to meet to get this finalized. I definitely agree that these are some great points.”
- Josh: “I just wanted to check in with you Palmer, to make sure that candidate meetings, the endorsements, the debates, the meet the candidates are all set on the calendar as well. And then also when does flyering begin because I didn't see that on the calendar from the last time so anyways I just wanted to put that on your radar around those pieces and they might have already been added.”

- Palmer: “Yeah, so I threw all that on the calendar and so we can also look at that briefly when we are done with this because I know that that was going to be coming out this week as well.”

- Josh: “I also requested the space on the hill for you and I will know by tomorrow morning.”

- Palmer: “Awesome, thank you so much Josh.”

- Emily: “Oh, Hi I was just going to say, well Jane said it earlier, but I think it would be a very good idea Palmer for you to try to communicate with the moderators. I don’t think that they honestly have any obligation to agree to the election code which is concerning but I think it is very much worth it to reach out to them.”

- Palmer: “Yeah definitely, I think it is just a difficult space to navigate in general but I think we will be able to figure something out to at least make it better than it was last year.”

- Passa: “Yeah, so I guess a couple comments on this because I think like everyone here, I use a lot of reddit, you guys probably already know that, but like the moderators of the UCLA subreddit are very entertainment oriented people. So Palmer, I highly recommend that when you do reach out to them right away, you have to emphasize that this is for the safety of the individuals who are running and because you know my office has tried to work with them. I think that we’ve been probably the most friendly to them and a lot of times they will not pin information posts about things that you know your offices work on or what my office wore on because simply it's you know not entertainment. Right, so you have to make that very very clear to them that it is a matter of folk’s safety, their privacy and obviously like the stuff on the internet stays there forever right. You know I look up my name and it's on there and then the second thing about saying something I think that’s a really great idea. I feel like in the vet investigations or whatever that process within eboard I feel like it needs to be really clear that if there are untrue things being said on reddit there will be rigorous investigations that will happen. Hopefully people are going to hold themselves to that stand and then hopefully there will be no problems.”

- Jane motions to approve the Election Board Guidelines, Tayloneei seconds.
- By vote of 12-0-0, the motion passes and the Election Board Guidelines are approved.

Resolution in Support Student Choice & the Immediate Implementation of Wide Scale Access to a Hybrid Learning Model Across Campus*  

A Resolution in Support Student Choice and the Immediate Implementation of Wide Scale Access to a Remote Learning Across Campus

- Sponsored by:
  - Promise Ogunleye, Cultural Affairs Commissioner
  - Jane Ni, Facilities Commissioner
  - Angelina Quint, Academic Affairs Commissioner
- WHEREAS, the COVID-19 Response and Recovery Task Force notified students on the 21st of January 2022 of the January 31st 2022 return date for in-person instruction, providing students with no more than ten days notice to return to campus for instruction1 2;

WHEREAS, the Academic Senate provided faculty guidance for Winter 2022 return to in-person instruction; allowing instructors to dictate whether or not they will continue to provide Zoom lectures for students unable to attend in person;  

WHEREAS, as of January 31st 2022, in Los Angeles county there were 8,786 new confirmed positive cases with 37 new confirmed deaths; 

WHEREAS, as of January 31st 2022 there were 8,304 confirmed positive cases of covid on campus, with over 1,000 of those cases being in on campus housing; 

WHEREAS, in an email to instructors regarding the modality for Winter 2022 instruction, the Academic Senate made a note in favor of in-person instruction; further advising instructors to consider the benefits of in-person learning for students when deciding whether or not to offer remote instruction for their course;  

WHEREAS, the Academic Senate set allowable exceptions to onsite instruction for the Winter 2022 term; including few exceptions addressing virtual instruction mainly based on approved accommodations alone; those of which not all students are able to be access;  

WHEREAS, neither the Academic Senate nor the COVID-19 Response and Recovery Task Force provided guidance to faculty regarding students who may want to opt out of in-person instruction; leaving students vulnerable to academic penalties when the instructor has opted for in-person instruction; without protections for all students who may choose not to return to campus;  

WHEREAS, the Disabled Student Union gathered more than 25,000 signatures in support of the implementation of a hybrid learning model; while further urging UCLA administration to implement a hybrid learning model moving forward to for students’ safety and continued accessibility;  

WHEREAS, the UCLA COVID-19 Community Screening Protocol is utilized for identifying individuals with asymptomatic infection and placing them into isolation; and improving understanding of prevalence, thereby allowing more effective administrative decisions;  

WHEREAS, the purpose of the UCLA Summary of COVID-19 Public Health Mitigation Requirements for UCLA Campus is to provide information regarding the various COVID-19 protocols, requirements, and guidelines currently implemented on campus;  

WHEREAS, the purpose of the UCLA Procedures for Compliance and Enforcement of COVID-19 Public Health Mitigation Measures is to provide information regarding the compliance and the procedures for enforcing the compliance of the public health mitigation measures;  

WHEREAS, neither the Community Screening Protocol, the Public Health Mitigation Requirements, nor the Procedures for Compliance and Enforcement provide information regarding the systems the university utilizes to remain informed of violations that may be occurring in order to mitigate the possible health risks to the larger student body;  

3 Winter 2022 Guidance- https://ucla.app.box.com/s/er4q80sf43ox7y7q58c959m8tehvo9  
4 LA County http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/media/coronavirus/data/  
6 W22 Clarification Regarding Remote Instruction-https://ucla.app.box.com/s/vid7jmve1vyp5qjbhj8j9jicnhog7f3  
8 Remote Learning DSU Response- https://docs.google.com/document/d/1FJKOK23-h9H-CJQw8Wqf6mC8mlAcr_zYMib5tC-eKr0/edit  
10 UCLA Summary of COVID-19 Public Health Mitigation Requirements for UCLA Campus- https://ucla.app.box.com/s/qmayvpl8uw0lf8if74ekcz5mdionbck
- **THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED**, that the Academic Senate, the COVID-19 Response and Recovery Task Force along with UCLA administration address the current safety concerns of students and require instructors to provide virtual alternatives for students without penalty for the remaining 2021-2022 academic year;

- **LET IT FURTHER BE RESOLVED**, that moving forward the Academic Senate and the COVID-19 Response and Recovery Task Force along with UCLA administration include protections for a students autonomy in matters and decisions pertaining to their safety, health and wellbeing;

- **LET IT FINALLY BE RESOLVED**, that the Undergraduate Student’s Association Council echo and support the demands of the Disabled Student Union for the permanent implementation of remote learning options for all students at UCLA.

- Jane moves to approve A Resolution in Support Student Choice and the Immediate Implementation of Wide Scale Access to a Remote Learning Across Campus, Angelina seconds.

- By vote of 11-0-0, the motion passes and the A Resolution in Support Student Choice and the Immediate Implementation of Wide Scale Access to a Remote Learning Across Campus is approved.

---

### IVP Housing Relief Award Surplus Proposal

**Quint**

**Gatica**

**Background and Statement of Purpose:**

- IVP’s Meet Material Needs committee assists students facing financial, housing, and other material hardships
- Focus this year: housing and living costs exacerbated by Covid-19 pandemic and following economic fallout
- Aim: provide financial support to students to relieve housing costs
- Thus, we ask **$40,000** from USAC surplus funding to provide **160 disbursements of $250** in direct payments to students in need

**Our Findings:**

- 80% of students reported insecurity and concern with affording living expenses
- 90% reported feeling insecure and concerned with their ability to pay rent for off-campus apartments or costs of university-owned housing
- 50% of respondents struggled to pay for groceries over the last year, and roughly one in three respondents reported experiencing homelessness, eviction, or “doubling up” with friends to avoid sleeping on the streets.

  - From data collected, we conclude that the Covid-19 pandemic and the ensuing economic uncertainty have:
    - Interrupted the living situations for many UCLA students
    - Exacerbated already strained finances for students, such as
      - Increased unemployment
      - Reduced sources of income (from self or provider(s))
      - Raised costs of living

**Goal:**

- Aim: IVP MMN calls for **$40,000** to disburse **individual payments** of **$250** to **160 students**, based on need
- Funds from USAC’s surplus budget will occur in tandem with $5 raised in IVP MMN / UCLA Spark “Student Housing Relief Awards” campaign (Nov., 2021 $859)
- Scope: support for housing needs, such as rent, university-owned dorm payments, transportation costs for commuting students, and assistance for international students

**Logistics:**

- Cooperate with UCLA Emergency Crisis Response Team (ECRT) to develop application to determine students’ need
- Applications examine the following:
  - EFC, awards offered/accepted, current rent/housing cost, explanation of financial circumstances, effect of pandemic and recent economic instability on financial security
- MMN team will select eligible applicants
- Outreach through social media, departmental emails, and other forms of communication as needed

**Conclusion:**

- Problem:
- The Covid-19 pandemic and following economic uncertainties put immense financial strain on UCLA’s most
vulnerable students
- Proposed Solution:
- Using funds raised from IVP MMN / Spark campaign and USAC surplus budget, we will provide financial relief for struggling students
- We will develop and examine applications and disburse funds in accordance with UCLA ECRT

- Final Ask: $40,000

Professional Headshot Booth Proposal

Ogunleye

- Project:
  - The Community-based Programming Committee aims to provide various events and workshops pertaining to de-mystifying professionalism, career development, and overall community development.

- Purpose:
  - Professional headshots are a basic component of creating a profile for school and career-related purposes.
  - Typically, students either do headshots with fraternities, clubs, and organizations, or locate this service from an individual photographer.

- Proposal:
  - Professional headshot photo booth that will be accessible and free of charge to all students.
  - Creating this resource will enable students to apply to academic, job, volunteer, and other opportunities that require resumes and profiles.
  - Iris photo Booth:
    - Enclosed space for photos
    - Image editing
    - Photos delivered via email
    - Admin account to track usage

- Funding:
  - Requesting funds from USAC Surplus
  - Requesting total funds of $36,000
  - $20,000 booth (academic price)
  - $2500-3000 (shipping, installation, user training)
  - $12,000 software free

- Final Ask: $36,000

ComUnity Fund Surplus Proposal

Ogunleye

- The purpose of the CommUnity Fund is to help alleviate some of the fiscal responsibilities student organizations face when putting on culturally oriented programs while also providing resources through the Cultural Affairs Commission.
- The fund is an auxiliary financial resource and can be used supplementary to other monetary sources. Student organizations apply each quarter for the amount of up to $2500.00 for the student organization and $250 as a stipend to the leader of the project.
- The USAC Cultural Affairs Commission has the right to fully grant the applied amount dependent on the application’s thoroughness, applicant’s necessity, and program’s benefit for the general undergraduate student body. Upon receiving the fund, the organization will need to provide the Cultural Affairs Commission with proof of progress on the project used in application.
- Eligibility: Must be an undergraduate student group/organization registered with the Student Organizations, Leadership & Engagement (SOLE)
- Each student organization may apply once per project, per funding period (quarter).
- A project is only allowed to apply once per academic year.
  - A project is defined as a specific event, initiative, program, that an organization holds on a specific date
  - Reoccurring programs may apply more than once per year
All applications must include estimates/quotes/documentation outlining what the allocated funds would be used for.

- Allocated funds may only be used for the program for which it was applied for.
- The program(s) must have cultural relevance, either by promoting cultural diversity, various cultures, and/or cultural awareness.
- Funds may only be used to offset honoraria and/or facilities expenses and/or supplies.
  - The UCLA undergraduate student who will be leading the eligible project will receive a $250 stipend
  - All submissions must include at least five (5) other members of the organization in the case that the Cultural Affairs Commission cannot reach the project leader
  - Additionally, these members will keep the project leader accountable

OSAC Furniture Assembly & Installation Surplus Proposal

- Furniture Assembly & Installation
  - Overview
  - The Office Space Allocation Committee (OSAC) is requesting $1,700 of the surplus for furniture assembly and installation in Kerckhoff Hall. This funding will go towards finalizing the assembly and installation of storage furniture for ten student offices in Kerckhoff Hall. These efforts were begun last year and we hope to have them done by the end of the quarter.
  - Purpose
  - This is a major part of OSAC’s goal to turn Kerckhoff into a community center for all students and student groups to find resources and support. In recent years student offices have become overcrowded with student organizations’ belongings, making it nearly impossible to hold meetings or gatherings in offices. In order to continue our progress on this goal, we need to finish assembling and installing the furniture requested by students.
  - Process/Logistics
  - Allocations made to OSAC now will be used right away to finish the installation of furniture in Kerckhoff, working with ASUCLA to coordinate and pay for the labor. Our request is based on the previous invoice for the first installation of furniture. With the furniture there is left to install, we predict it will take two more rounds of assembly.
  - Summary
  - OSAC is asking for $1,700 of surplus to provide for the assembly and installation of storage furniture for a third of student offices. Storage furniture will allow student groups to clean office space, manage club belongings and legacy, and focus on community development. Storage furniture is a step towards making Kerckhoff Hall a community center and not a storage facility. Further, storage furniture is vital for safety for student organizations.

- Final Ask: $1,700

SIOC and CRC Surplus Proposal

- Our History:
  - The nation’s first student-run & student-initiated access and retention projects.
  - Born out of a struggle against University administrative disempowerment, harm, and danger, the CRC and the SIOC were created by students of the Mother Organizations (MO) Coalition to meet their communities' access and retention needs in a way only students could.
- Making history as the nation's first projects of its kind and in creating a model of autonomy and self-governance with the University, the CRC and the SIOC represent the success and aspirations of empowered students of color.
- USAC Winter 2022 Surplus Funding Proposal
- Office of the General Representative One x SIOC-CRC

**- Our Mission:**
- The mission of the CRC/SRC and the SIOC is to empower students of colors, from all backgrounds, by instilling and providing them with the skills, opportunities, and resources they need to access and retain themselves in higher education/career field and by becoming culturally and politically aware of their individual and community conditions and how to best address them.

**- Our Immediate Needs**
- Committee Programming
- Project Coordinator Honorariums
- Food Insecurity & Basic Needs
- General Project Support

**- Final Request:**
- We, the SIOC and the CRC, request $40,000.00 from the Winter 2022 USAC Surplus.
- We believe we should be allocated these funds due to:
  - The Historical & Formal Relationships between the USAC & the SIOC-CRC
  - Administrative Disempowerment
  - SRC & SIOC Impacts of COVID-19

**- Final Ask: $40,000**

**Non-Resident Student Support Surplus Proposal**

**- Problem:**
- Non-California resident UCLA students have been disproportionately impacted by last-minute changes to the transition online, which has resulted in the additional financial burden of travel-related expenses.
- The average travel-related expense incurred by students was $191.14
- This number ranged from the teens to thousands, but in general the number was often in the hundreds.

**- Cost Incurred:**
- After excluding several opportunity costs, such as rent, some common expenses students faced include:
  - Plane tickets (bought/rescheduled on short notice)
  - Hotel expense (due to inability to obtain a move-in time on the date of flight)
  - Ride service shuttles
  - Additional baggage fees

**- Funding Estimate:**
- ~14.4% of UCLA students come from out of state
- ~12.33% come from out of the country
- 47,518 UCLA students enrolled as of Fall 21-22
- 47,518*(14.4%+12.33%) = ~12,701 students
- Conservative assumptions that:
  - 20% of non-resident students experienced additional travel-related expenses
  - Reimbursement will be based on financial need, receipts, and/or first-come, first-served basis
- Ask: $30,000 of reimbursement funding, capped at $200 per person until funds run out

**- Execution:**
- USAC donates the $30k to ECRT (Economic Crisis Response Team) and Financial Aid
- ECRT + Financial Aid utilizes their infrastructure to holistically evaluate expenses/financial difficulties to provide funds in a timely and appropriate manner
- First Come, First Serve application
- International students (who don’t submit FAFSA) qualify
- Collaboratively drafted agreement involving ECRT, Financial Aid, GR2, and FSC will outline
  parameters of the use of funds

**Final Ask: $30,000**

USAC Surplus Vote: Form*  
Velazquez

Promise motions to fully fund the Lily Shaw Disability Cultural Center, Jane seconds.  
By vote of 12-0-0, the motion passes and $5,000 is approved for the Lily Shaw Disability Cultural Center.

Angleina moves to approve $40,000 to the Emergency Funding Scholarship for Former Foster Youth Impacted by Covid-19, Jane seconds.  
By 12-0-0 the motion passes, and $40,000 is allocated to the Emergency Funding Scholarship for Former Foster Youth Impacted By Covid-19.

Tayloneei motions to fully fund the Student Union Event Fund, Sarah seconds.  
By vote of 12-0-0, the motion passes and $48,000 is approved for SUEF.

Passa motions to fully fund the surplus proposal for the BBRC, Tayloneei seconds.  
By vote of 12-0-0 the motion passes and $230,000 is allocated to the Black Bruin Resource Center.

Herman motions to fully fund the Books for Bruins, Angelina seconds.  
By vote of 12-0-0, the motion passes and $33,600 is allocated to Books for Bruins.

Cassandra motions to fully fund the Basic Needs Care Package, Jane seconds.  
By vote of 12-0-0 the motion passes, and $20,000 is allocated to Basic Needs Care Packages.

Cassandra motions to fully fund the Capital Contingency Computers, Emily seconds.  
By vote of 11-0-0 the motion passes, and $12,000 is allocated to Capital Contingency Computers.

Tayloneei motions to fully allocate IVP Housing Relief Award, Jane seconds.  
By vote of 11-0-0 the motion passes, and $40,000 is allocated to the IVP Housing Relief Award.

Tayloneei motions to fully allocate Professional Headshot Booth , Angelina seconds.  
By vote of 11-0-0 the motion passes, and $36,000 is allocated to the Professional Headshot Booth.

Jane motions to fully fund the ComUnity Fund, Angelina seconds.  
By vote of 11-0-0 the motion passes, and $25,000 is allocated to the ComUnity Fund.

SIOC and CRC support 14,000 with 1800 coming out of contingency  
Jane motions to fully fund SIOC and CRC with $1,800 coming out of Contingency.

Angelina motions for a friendly amendment of 1815.37 to come out of Contingency instead of $1,800, Jane seconds.  
By vote of 11-0-0 the motion passes and it is amended.

Jane motions to fully fund the OSAC Surplus Proposal, Angelina seconds.  
By vote of 11-0-0 the motion passes, $3,500 is allocated to the OSAC Proposal.

Jane motion to fully fund Non-Resident Support Proposal, Angelina seconds.  
By vote of 10-0-0 the motion passes, $30,000 is allocated to Non-Resident Student Support.
Discussion about withholding of funds:

- Breeze: “I did want to open up the space. I mean we do have some student leaders here and I know this wasn’t on the agenda but I do want to have a conversation regarding the withholding of funds. I know that it is really late but frankly, we are here to figure this out and I think that this will also be very telling of the conversations we continue to have with admin so honestly, regarding that email and I know Jenny’s been messaging me and thank you Jenny so much for cooperating with us. I want to have a conversation right now about what is going on with the funding and can we please have a discussion around why we can’t withhold funds for CPO. Where is this outlined? It is our duty as USAC to be able to oversee these funds and you know be able to say how it is being spent and if we don’t think it is properly being spent then I don’t understand why we can’t withhold these funds and I think that not being able to pull the pfunds. I know Promise and I talked about it and you said that technically they were within their right and we have an obligation to make sure that we are releasing these funds because we have to get audited but I also feel like because we have an obligation to the student body and responsibility over these funds, we should also be able to withhold these funds. So can we talk about that and I am going to go ahead and put some student leaders here, but folks from ASU and yeah I just want to have a conversation right now.”

- Samone: “Hi yall, I am not understanding why these funds are saying they can be withheld when we literally have historical precedence. These funds were held for three years to create this committee because there was a mismanagement issue back in the 80’s. These funds, this is not the first time they have been withheld and in fact this is not the first time that you went over a students head because you went over Robertson and did it even though the bylaws say that you can’t so we do need to have a serious conversation as to what’s going on and why. It's basically undermining student leadership. That’s basically what you are doing here because council members have cite bylaws that say they have the authority to use these funds. We literally have proof that they are not fulfilling these agreements that are signed and that they are not present in proper paperwork. Administration has admitted that they didn't even realize that they were supposed to be looking for two million dollars. It's quite frankly ridiculous. If funds are clearly being mismanaged, why do you need to send them there. Their projects are not suffering because they are going without money, they are suffering because administrative staff is over there mentally, verbally, and physically abusing students and financially abusing students. You know I am going to ask some other people but I really dont think those funds need to go to CPO especially when you have committee representatives.”

XI.  Adjournment*

- Breeze adjourns the meeting at 10:25pm.

Good and Welfare;

* Indicates Action Item
# Indicates Consent Item
@Indicates Executive Session Item